“Just call my name, and I’ll be there.” —The Jackson 5

The Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study has emerged as a signature project of one of the nation’s most significant and distinctive regions. Many people were “there” when their name was called to help put it together, whether that was to serve on a committee, to answer a question, to write and research key sections, to contribute a fresh idea, to attend a public comment session, or to simply continue to lead and pitch in on one of the many creative projects that make the region a better place to live, work, and play. The group that put this Study together has drawn energy and renewal from the region’s inspiring people and places, many of whom are listed, with deepest gratitude, in Appendix A.

The Calumet Heritage Partnership, of which it has been my pleasure to serve as President for the past several years, has advocated for a National Heritage Area since 1999. The Partnership drew its initial inspiration from the leadership of the Calumet Ecological Park Association, led for many years by Judy Lihota. CHP’s bi-state all-volunteer board represents a range of connections to regional heritage. It has devoted countless hours in its mission to “identify, protect, and preserve the natural, cultural, and recreational heritage of the Calumet region of Illinois and Indiana.” Board members Jason Berry, Karen Brozynski, John Cain, Kate Corcoran, La’Kisha Girder, David Holmberg, David Klein, Mike Longan, Sherry Meyer, Bill Peterman, Diane Pugh, Tom Shepherd, and Tiffany Tolbert have wrestled with the purpose, shape, and content of the study for many years. Sherry, Mike, and Bill have exerted exceptionally strong leadership and have played a critical role in increasing the partnership’s capacity to bring this project to scale.

The Field Museum, a partner in the Calumet Heritage Partnership, has taken on a leadership role in producing this Study, from securing financial support, to engaging consultants, to devoting staff time and energy to its completion. Bill Steers, ArcelorMittal’s General Manager for Communications and Corporate Responsibility for the Americas, has taken an active and exemplary role in ensuring that regional efforts have the capacity and leadership needed to be successful, including leadership in the new Calumet Collaborative. Consultants August Carlino and Nancy Morgan have brought their long experience with successful heritage areas to bear on the shape and direction of this Study. Those who have come to know Field Museum staff Madeleine Tudor, Mario Longoni, Alaka Wali, Lara Gonzalez, Ellen Woodward, Marc Lambruschi, and many other colleagues and interns, know that they are encountering people with an unusual combination of knowledge, skill, and the sheer ability to listen. The leadership of the Museum has been strongly supportive of this entire project, and I am very grateful to work with folks like President Richard Lariviere, Vice President Debra Moskovits, and Rowe Family Director Nora Bynum of the Keller Science Action Center.

A major point raised in Chapter 4 of this study is that the Calumet region has a rich network of partners. Many are named in that chapter and in Appendix A. What needs to be said is that the level of commitment that they each demonstrate individually and in partnership continues to inspire. The work of these people and organizations is never easy in a landscape as complex as the Calumet region. But that work, as this study shows, is nationally significant.

Mark J. Bouman
President, Calumet Heritage Partnership
Chicago Region Program Director, Keller Science Action Center, The Field Museum

The Calumet Heritage Partnership is a bi-state non-profit organization dedicated to preserving and protecting the unique heritage of the Calumet region. The Field Museum is a partner of the Calumet Heritage Partnership. Together, they lead the feasibility study to designate the region as a Calumet National Heritage Area and are editorially responsible for the content of this study.

The Field Museum gratefully acknowledges the following partners for their support of programs in the Calumet Region:

ArcelorMittal, BOEING, Nicor Gas
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Introduction: The Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative

Congressman Pete Visclosky at the 2013 Calumet Summit: Connecting for Action challenged the two hundred-plus regional stakeholders in attendance from both Illinois and Indiana to “think big.” In response, the attendees overwhelmingly selected a National Heritage Area (NHA) as the big idea that could call together the disparate themes and interests in the region and coalesce them into a shared vision.

This Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study is the fruit of that idea and the extended regional conversation that ensued. It gathers into one place a story of national significance, backed by an extensive inventory of regional cultural and natural resources, and aligned with regional goals and objectives. It is produced by the Calumet Heritage Partnership but it is the region’s story. It is a story that has been years in the telling, and now it can be clearly stated that a Calumet National Heritage Area is desirable, feasible, and poised to get started.

The most immediate roots of the effort date to 1998. At that time, the National Park Service wrote in its Calumet Ecological Park Feasibility Study that “the Calumet region exists as a unique mosaic of globally rare natural communities and significant historic features in juxtaposition with heavy industry.” The study suggested that “protection and public enjoyment of natural, cultural and recreational resources in the Calumet region would be possible through National Heritage Area designation.” The Calumet Heritage Partnership (CHP) was formed the next year to begin the process of convening and aligning key regional stakeholders around the prospects of a National Heritage Area (NHA). Within a few years, the initial momentum toward an NHA slowed, as it became apparent that more consensus would be needed around the scope and significance of the region’s story and time would be needed to account for the significant, diverse interests that characterize the area. But CHP remained committed to the idea, and in 2012, with significant support from The Field Museum, replanted the seeds which, this time, have found fertile and receptive ground.

To become a National Heritage Area, a feasibility study must be completed. Feasibility studies are analytical documents designed to assess whether a region has a collection of natural, cultural, and historic resources that tell a nationally significant story and whether opportunities exist to enhance public access to and understanding of the resources. They also investigate whether an organization that has the capacity to operate an NHA exists or can be created. Feasibility studies also gauge the level of support for the effort in the region. The study that the National Park Service conducted in 1998 to determine the feasibility of a Calumet Ecological Park laid important groundwork for the present study. It looked into regional resources, assessed their significance, and considered management alternatives that created the present path to a National Heritage Area. But that study’s prevailing question strove to answer whether an Ecological Park was feasible, not a National Heritage Area as such. It also covered a more limited geography than the present study, stretching roughly from Lake Calumet to the western edges of the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore.
This study took as a starting point a broader geography, rooted both in longstanding regional scholarship and in new understandings of the importance of regionalism, fresh efforts to create regional dialogue, and increasing recognition that the study area as described here contains a story of critical importance to the nation. The study contains the following elements:

- In Chapter 2, a statement of national significance, key themes that emerge from that statement, and a proposed boundary.
- In Chapter 3, a regional history that situates the statement and themes in the context of American national development.
- In Chapter 4, a consideration of the operational feasibility of the National Heritage Area, including the regional partner network that undergirds the effort, management alternatives, coordinating entity, and financial sustainability.
- In Chapter 5, a summary and set of recommendations for further action.

- Appendices that include among them a comprehensive inventory of 226 cultural and natural resources that is itself a major contribution to the region.

A SPECIAL PLACE: Affected Environment

A lived-in landscape like the Calumet region that has a nationally significant story to tell is well-suited to be a National Heritage Area. National Heritage Areas are not parks as such. They require no federal taking or ownership of land to come into existence. Congress prohibits the use of federal funding in the acquisition of real property. But the “national” quality of an NHA helps it to bridge dialogue across political boundaries like state lines and when designated, “national” attention can come to places critical to the development of the nation for the benefit of visitors and residents alike.
There is no question that the Calumet region is such a place. One quickly comes to appreciate not only the dynamism of industry, but its close and continuing relationship with natural and human communities of extraordinary diversity. The region is studded with places where important stories come together, combining in ways that fill the senses on the one hand and raise important questions about the environmental, social, and economic winners and losers of the American experience on the other.

Consider, for example, Marquette Park in Gary, Indiana. Step up onto the deck of the lakefront Gary Aquatorium and a take a good look around at the diversity of this corner of the Calumet region’s landscape. Northerly winds pile up sands as they have at the end of Lake Michigan and its forebears since the Ice Age. Father Marquette came to these shores in the late 17th century, fresh from encounters with Native Americans and a path to the Mississippi. From the high dunes right here Octave Chanute glided into aviation history two centuries later. The dunes are now gone a half mile or so to the west, where the view is filled by U.S. Steel’s Gary Works. Between you and the mills are lagoons, where once a channel of the Grand Calumet River found its way into the lake. The steel company blocked that connection, diverted the river, and along with other companies began to fill it with a toxic legacy that is now being systematically remediated in a landscape renewed.

Around the lagoons, recently restored dunal vegetation communities take hold. The Marquette Pavilion, a grand architectural statement itself now renovated, is the place where the Calumet Summit attendees brought forward the “big idea” to become a Heritage Area in 2013.

Captured in this one view of the region are some big themes: when industry encountered nature major changes occurred; the sprawling steel industry itself marked a high point in technological prowess and innovation for both businesses and workers; and cultures have been contacting and re-convening in the region for a very long time. From this vantage point in Gary can also be seen some characteristic sites of the Calumet region: the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, founded fifty years ago; the epicenter of the nation’s steelmaking industry and its fourth largest refinery; and great buildings and cultural institutions that have made their way to the National Register of Historic Places.

From top: The view from the Gary Aquatorium east to the steel mills at Burns Harbor; the Marquette Park Pavilion; lagoons; Maquette Park, where the Grand Calumet River now begins; and the Calumet Summit 2013 at the Pavilion.
CHAPTER ONE

The Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore has now been joined by the Pullman National Monument in Chicago to form bookends of the most industrialized part of the region. The proposed National Heritage Area extends from this core to reach into two states, five counties, and seventy-one municipalities. One and a half million people of a wide variety of cultural backgrounds call the region home, which would make it a significant metropolis in its own right, though one can easily see the Chicago skyline from Marquette Park. The park itself is part of an extensive set of protected lands, which with the two units of the National Park System also includes units of the Illinois and Indiana park systems, county parks in Indiana and the historic Cook County Forest Preserves Calumet unit, and numerous large city parks such as Gary’s Marquette Park, Chicago’s Calumet and Steelworkers Parks, Hammond’s Wolf Lake Memorial Park, the Portage lakefront, and historic Washington Park in Michigan City. The Chicago Park District now owns and is restoring 400 acres of land on the southeast side that two decades ago was slated to become sanitary landfill or railroad facilities. Significant land trusts like The Nature Conservancy and Shirley Heinze Land Trust spearhead ecological restorations. All told, there are more than 61,000 acres of protected land across the region.

The noteworthy features of this region were gathered into a special edition of Chicago Wilderness Magazine, funded by the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation and produced in Spring 2009. The magazine featured a special pull-out map of the area that not only summarized the assets of the region, but also established a workable compromise boundary. The boundary incorporated landform, watershed, economic, and social considerations. It soon found wide use, and the Foundation supported the further printing of 10,000 stand alone copies of the map for free distribution across the region. When the 2010 Calumet Summit: A Call to Connect was held, every speaker across the two-day event incorporated the map into their presentations. The map was used in the conference’s logo, as it was for 2013 and 2015 Summits. It became an excellent starting point for a study area for the feasibility study.

A SPECIAL PROCESS:
The Path Toward a Feasibility Study

The Calumet Heritage Partnership is a bi-state non-profit organization, formed in 1999, to advocate for a National Heritage Area. Since 1999, CHP has conducted conferences on the region’s heritage that have moved from one side of the state line to the other. The conferences contain significant mixtures of both up-to-date scholarship about the region and educational tours to significant sites and sub-regions.

From top: President Obama signing the order creating the Pullman National Monument; the Florence Hotel, at the Monument, pictured in a newspaper editorial advocating for a trail connection to the Indiana Dunes National Park; public input on the possibilities for the new National Monument presented in Positioning Pullman; a map from this publication showing the geographic position of Pullman in relation to the rest of the Calumet Region.
Conference keynoters include scholars who served as part of the panel of experts who reviewed the historical substance of this study.

CHP’s volunteer board has a bi-state reach from Blue Island to Valparaiso, and as a group contains a set of heritage content experts with connections to the spheres of education, museums, municipalities, arts, industry, historic preservation, heritage tourism, archives, community development, and outdoor recreation. The board has a key partner in The Field Museum, a collections-based institution with an estimated 20,000 specimens and objects collected in the Calumet Region. The Museum has lent significant staff capacity—including ethnographers, geospatial analysts, ecologists, educators, and administrative staff—to the creation of this feasibility study, supported both by its operational budget and grant funds. The Museum also serves an important institutional role, as a major civic non-profit able to straddle the state line. Through the environmental conservation and cultural heritage work of its Keller Science Action Center, the Museum has provided support to nurture the development of other regional partnerships in addition to CHP, including the Calumet Stewardship Initiative, Calumet Collaborative, and Calumet Land Conservation Partnership.

The first step in preparing this feasibility study was for the Museum to engage consultants on CHP’s behalf. August Carlino and Nancy Morgan had prior experience in directing National Heritage Areas and had excellent knowledge of the forty-nine other National Heritage Areas gained through consulting on projects with existing and emerging National Heritage Areas, as well as through leadership roles in the Alliance of National Heritage Areas. They were able to advise the CHP board on necessary steps to take and which portions of the 1998 National Park Service (NPS) study might still be usable in the present context.

When it mobilized in 2014 to produce this study, the CHP board set up an Advisory group comprised of regional leaders. The group provided important feedback on the statements of national significance and key themes before they were circulated for public comment. CHP also formed Public Engagement and Themes Task Forces. The Public Engagement Task Force advised on media contacts and speaking venues. The Themes Task Force managed the process of writing the statement of national significance and accompanying themes, and the process of soliciting expert comment. Meanwhile, The Field Museum’s staff conducted a series of “Community Conversations” designed to elicit resident comment on sites and events of significance. Community Conversations were topically organized around the themes of Art and Heritage, Industrial Heritage, Environmental Heritage, Recreation and Heritage, and Ethnic/Cultural Heritage.

CHP used several of its annual conferences to focus on potential heritage area themes and to inform the general public of its efforts. In 2012, the conference gathered experts from other National Heritage Areas to discuss the concept and its application to the region. In 2013, the conference focused on the role of archives and historic sites in the heritage of the region. 2014’s conference considered the role of public art in telling the region’s story. By 2015, the conference format was changed to become a regional public comment period on the statements of national significance, key themes, resource inventory, and boundary as they had been prepared to that point. Instead of one conference session, four separate sessions were held across the region to reach the widest possible audience.

As the story of national significance gained integrity, the CHP Board set an aggressive schedule of public presentations to make the public aware of the effort and to solicit both comment and support. Presentations to regional planning and governmental agencies included reaching every municipal chief executive through the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission’s Executive Commission and the South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association.

From asking participants to talk about objects to having them write place-based information on post-it notes (and put these on maps), the Community Conversations collected memories and meanings at the heart of people’s sense of their heritage.
A number of municipal leaders are also present on the Millennium Reserve Steering Committee, appointed by Illinois Governor Patrick Quinn in 2011. Millennium Reserve named pursuing National Heritage Area designation as a “priority project”, and it was also a highlighted strategy in Indiana’s Marquette Plan update of 2015. Presentations were also made to service organizations, local and regional institutions and organizations (such as the Calumet Ecological Park Association which has advocated for the 1998 NPS study to be completed).

A unique opportunity to disseminate the themes occurred as the documentary film *Shifting Sands: On the Path to Sustainability* was filmed beginning in 2013 and released in 2016. That film meditates on the dual significance of the Indiana coastline as the home of both huge industrial enterprise and a major conservation movement. The film had its Chicago premiere at The Field Museum, and excerpts from the film and the release of its companion volume authored by Kenneth Schoon were key components of the October 2016 Calumet Heritage Conference. At that event, the findings of this feasibility study were reviewed with the public. Comments were considered, and a draft written version of the feasibility study was shared online with the public beginning in early January 2017.

An important element of the feasibility study process was stakeholder interviews conducted by the consultants. A consistent theme emerged from the interviews: the National Heritage Area is a great concept for the region, and while financial resources and a partnership network to support a National Heritage Area exist in the region, efforts should be undertaken to be sure that the NHA has organizational capacity to succeed.

A significant pathway to build organizational capacity occurred when the Millennium Reserve effort began to transition to being a bi-state non-profit. This new Calumet Bi-State Sustainable Development Collaborative or simply the Calumet Collaborative is being created precisely to lend capacity to regional scale projects, including as it does in its founding group not only key regional municipal, business, and non-profit leaders, but the heads of key foundations who invest in the region. The Calumet Collaborative and CHP agreed in Fall 2016 to serve as joint coordinating entity for the National Heritage Area through the next phase of planning, which brings to the effort the tremendous knowledge and regional expertise embodied in the CHP board as well as the financial and managerial capacity represented by the Calumet Collaborative.

Next Steps

This feasibility study’s major findings and conclusions were presented at the 17th Annual Calumet Heritage Conference in October, 2016. After accounting for public feedback in that meeting, the stage is now set for presenting the feasibility study to the general public. The present document will be available for public comment for a thirty-day period beginning in early January 2017. The study report will also be reviewed by the National Park Service’s National Heritage Areas program.

After final edits, and secure in the knowledge that what is being presented is an accurate and compelling reflection of the shared regional vision, the Calumet Heritage Partnership and the Calumet Collaborative will work with other regional partners to prepare legislation for designation by the United States Congress.
Significance of the Calumet Region

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

From a National Lakeshore in the Indiana Dunes to a National Monument at Pullman, the Calumet Region contains both globally rare natural areas and the colossal evidence of industrial urbanization. These National Parks do not exist in isolation. Near them and between them are huge industries set next to delicate habitats and distinctive communities. The Parks’ own stories reflect the ever-increasing complexities of American life during the peak period of the “second Industrial Revolution” between the Civil War and the Second World War. Innovative construction of a company town in a wetland area in 1882 signaled the stunning attractiveness of this region to the large scale factories that would soon anchor the western end of America’s Manufacturing Belt. As it ushered in an era of enormous industrial production, massive immigration, labor conflict, and environmental degradation followed. Industry filled in wetlands, thrust into Lake Michigan, cut down dunes, and advocated for wetland drainage and the complete rearrangement of river flow. It built upon and spun a thickening web of rail lines, canals, roads, and pipelines second to no other region in the country. The encounter between growing industry and fragile dunes at the beginning of the twentieth century gave rise to a new kind of environmental conservation in an urban environment that focused on the protection of open lands for city people. A new kind of National Park, developed fifty years ago, characteristically wraps around the last large integrated steelworks constructed in America, the sort of contrast that defines this uncommon place.
The Calumet Region at the southern end of Lake Michigan is a crucible of contrasts where the American encounter with industrialization radically changed the landscape and gave rise to new patterns of everyday life.

Its national significance stems from how the natural world was changed to make way for industry, transportation, and peoples from across the country and around the world. What emerged were characteristically American relationships among industry, labor, and the creation of place. The impact of these changes is felt in American life and landscape to this day. The American people – those in other urban industrial areas, those who continue to pass through, those who stop to visit, and most importantly, those who live in this landscape – will benefit from knowing the coherent story of human and nature interaction in this region.

Consider some significant Calumet contrasts:

- A river whose very name means “pipe of peace” and prosperity to the Potawatomi is now the Great Lakes’ most significant area of environmental concern.
- A still changing landscape of singing sands and gentle swales is altered again by human hand, which levels hills, fills wetlands, and reverses rivers.
- A habitat crossroads and biodiversity hotspot that neighbors furnaces and cracking towers.
- A well-integrated economic region of production and distribution with international reach and formed by people with roots from around the world, marked by place identities at the most local scale.
- A place where new models for cities exalted individual entrepreneurship but spawned gritty nationwide labor solidarity.
- A society where people of color were long excluded from housing but drove to national leadership in municipal governance and the pursuit of environmental justice.
- A hearth where women frequently tended home fires in an industrial world but took on leading roles in forging new forms of environmental activism and conservation.

Contrasts like these can be seen on the landscape. Sand dunes, wetlands, steel mills, ethnic neighborhoods, and railroads wrap around each other in an intertwined mix that is a crucial part of the significance of the region and a key part of the story that begins with the re-working of nature.

The story’s headline is this: The Calumet region contains globally rare natural areas, the nation’s premier steelmaking district, and distinctive communities that continue to shape the natural and built landscape. Its two urban National Parks—the Pullman National Monument and the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore—bookend and highlight these contrasting features. Today’s Calumet landscape—taken as an industrial, environmental, and community whole—shows how American life changed during the boom years of industrialization that followed the Civil War and how changes continued through booms and busts in the economy to the present day.
THEME 1

Nature Reworked: The Calumet’s Diverse Landscape

Natural areas, industries, transportation, and neighborhoods are found side by side in the Calumet region. Industry and nature meet each other here like few other places in the country. The mix of forest, prairie, lakes, and rivers attracted large-scale industry, agriculture, trade, and city growth. But in places, dry sands and wetlands proved too challenging to build upon. In time, and through much effort, they were preserved for their value as open space and as refuge for diverse plants and animals.

A natural crossroads. Chicago’s exploitation of its location at the easy passage of the continental divide amidst forests and prairies of stunning verdure made it “the city of the century” and “nature’s metropolis”. But its flat site also made it the “mudhole of the prairies” and provoked pathbreaking engineering solutions to the challenges of urban growth. This epic development occurred ten miles north of the southern edge of Lake Michigan, and it projected the city’s commercial reach to the “Great West”. When the American economy emerged from the Civil War ready to be turbocharged by a new wave of industrialization, its western anchor would be the Chicago region, and its anchor within the Chicago region would be those lands by the lake that the first wave of mercantile urban development had passed over—the Calumet area.

Industrialization came quickly and forcefully to a region that happened to have unusually high species richness. It is situated at one of the great Ecotones of the mid-continent, where vestigial boreal vegetation meets Indiana’s great hardwood forests and Illinois’ tallgrass prairies. Its sands and marshes are textbook examples of Wisconsinan glaciation that made the wet-dry alternation of sand and marsh a boon to biodiversity and a bane to European farming technique. The Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, with its successional vegetation features and its outholdings that include elements of bog, prairie, and marsh plus two National Natural Landmarks, is one of the most diverse in the National Park system.

This fundament sparked scientific questions that anchored new disciplines in glacial geomorphology and ecology, provided the land base for the development of a vast urban-industrial complex, and ultimately inspired people in the growing industrial belt to develop pathbreaking approaches to land protection and restoration. Experts agree: the Calumet region’s interplay of industry and nature is for Andrew Hurley a theme of “exceptional national significance” and for Christine Walley, “the most compelling narrative.”

Resources illustrating the themes that are cataloged in the Resource Inventory are in bold.
Changes to lifeways and landscape. The vast changes which made the American economy truly continental in scope after the Civil War also made previously bypassed regions, like the Calumet, central to the nation’s expanding urban-industrial system. A vast economic region called the “American Manufacturing Belt” became the nation’s growth center and focus of its industrial, political, and economic power. It extended roughly between the Great Lakes and the Ohio River, and between the Midwest and Atlantic ports. The Calumet anchored the western end of this region. It splendidly exemplifies this epochal phase in American national development. At the same time, it is a leading example of how a local landscape was re-made to accommodate and attract industry, and how it bore the effects of such industrialization.

With uncanny timing, and as if to illustrate the textbook “epochs” of industrialization, the remaking of the Calumet area for industry can be said to begin with the creation of Calumet Harbor in 1870 and the widening and straightening of the Calumet River. When the Joseph H. Brown Iron and Steel Company (later Wisconsin Steel) was built south of 106th street in the 1870s, dredge spoil from the slip created along the Calumet River was dumped into adjacent wetlands to provide drier footings for the factory. When the North Chicago Rolling Mills moved to the mouth of the Calumet River in 1875, it began to add land to Lake Michigan for its facilities, which later evolved into U.S. Steel’s South Works. The Town of Pullman literally rose from the bottom of Lake Calumet in 1882, when clay from the lake was used to make brick for the houses. The company also built docks and an edge to the western shore of Lake Calumet, that, coupled with the four feet of fill on which the homes were built, permanently set the lake apart from surrounding wetlands.

These types of processes would continue for the next century, with harbors created at Indiana Harbor, Gary, and Burns Harbor; lands extended a mile into Lake Michigan at East Chicago; rivers either re-routed, straightened, deepened, and repurposed; continental drainage divides moved; and dunes destroyed in Gary, Portage, Burns Harbor, and Michigan City. Along the way, engineering landmarks like the Cal-Sag Channel (short for “Calumet-Saganashkee Channel, an integral part of what is now the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago) would be opened in 1921. To vault across the waterways, a web of landmark bridges would be constructed, as in the set of truss bridges over the Channel at Blue Island, the Chicago Landmark lift bridges over the main stem of the Calumet River, and the trunion bascule 106th
Street bridge in Chicago, whose status even today as the busiest in this city of bridges testifies to the incessance of river traffic in this reach.

But yet another reappraisal of the region’s value would come as residents of the industrial city started to take stock of what they were losing.

A heritage of activism and stewardship. Amidst these scenes of the American “technological sublime,” and even granting their greatness and role in building up the mid-continent as an epicenter of American industrial civilization, there was a growing sense that something was being lost. In 1916, agitation and advocacy for a Dunes National Park to become part of the new National Park Service reached a fever pitch. The advocates were led by the Prairie Club of Chicago, whose members included pioneering ecologist Henry Chandler Cowles, noted for his work on ecological succession at the dunes. Efforts were slowed by World War I, but the Indiana Dunes State Park was established in 1926. Renewed advocacy after World War II led to the creation of the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore in 1966. Cowles Bog in the Park, a National Natural Landmark, memorializes Cowles. It sits immediately adjacent to the Burns Harbor steel mill.

It is characteristic of the Calumet region that what might seem like fundamental conflict between industry and environment would result in such pathbreaking compromises.
That the interests of “environment” had a place at the table is key but ultimately not surprising, since, as historian Heath Carter notes, “Citizen action is characteristic of the region.” Women had an especially significant role in the preservation of the Indiana Dunes and in the fight for environmental justice. Bess Sheehan, secretary of the National Dunes Park Association, played the leading role in the creation of Indiana Dunes State Park. The later effort to prevent further industrial encroachments on the dunes and pave the way for a National Park was led by Save the Dunes Council advocates Dorothy Buell, Charlotte Read, and Sylvia Troy. Lee Botts founded what is now the Alliance for the Great Lakes and spearheaded many local initiatives. Cowles’s student Norma Pfeiffer discovered a plant called *Thismia americana* in the shadow of a metallurgical coking facility in 1912 that is endemic to the region, was last seen in 1916, and its only photograph is in the magisterial tome, *Plants of the Chicago Region*. She went on to become the first Ph.D. in Botany from the University of Chicago. When the City of Chicago promised to build a Lake Calumet Airport twenty years ago, local activists organized large-scale “Thismia hunts” to highlight the uniqueness of what could be lost under runways.

The proximity of residential areas to industrial zones has also made the Calumet area a hotbed of concern for those who have borne a disproportionate share of polluted land, air, and water. Key activists like Hazel Johnson, organizing from a base in public housing at Altgeld Gardens (for a while with the support of a young community organizer named Barack Obama) became leaders in the national environmental justice movement. Marian Byrnes, a retired schoolteacher, led grassroots efforts on the southeast side of Chicago and became a leader in the Southeast Environmental Task Force, Calumet Stewardship Initiative, and Calumet Heritage Partnership.

So a century of grassroots citizen activism has conserved, protected, and restored the biodiversity, native beauty, and recreational quality of the natural environment, making the region a significant place to the American conservation and environmental justice movements. Lee Botts wrote that a “restoration revolution” has coursed across the region, and now significant sites of the region’s globally rare patrimony of dune and swale habitat are preserved, frequently by and on behalf of the residents themselves.
THEME 2

Innovation and Change for Industries and Workers

As one of the great workshops of the world, the Calumet region lays bare epic stories of entrepreneurship, industrial development, the struggle for decent working conditions and wages, and of what happened when certainties crumble.

Manufacturing and industrial urbanism. Icons of industry like Pullman, Carnegie, Gary, and Rockefeller forged an industrial region that became the buckle of the American Manufacturing Belt. Built on extraordinary local, regional, and national interlinkages in both metal and non-metal industries, it rose to become the nation’s premier steelmaking district by World War II and remains so today.

The Calumet region rose to industrial prominence during a time that scholars call the “Steel Rail” period, when the intertwined development of a national railroad network and integrated steel production moved the nation’s industrial production center of gravity westward from the mills of New England and the mines of Pennsylvania.

Steel manufacturers began to move to the region in 1875, with the construction of the Brown Ironworks. Shortly thereafter (1881), the North Chicago Rolling Mills Company built its South Works at the mouth of the Calumet River. As manufacturers sought to lay out ever more efficient plants, Indiana sites became more important, especially with Inland Steel (1901), Gary (1906), and Mark Manufacturing (1914). When Wisconsin Steel closed in 1980 and the South Works of U.S. Steel soon followed with a major downsizing and then closure in 1992, it signaled the end of the century-long “boom” period in steelmaking in the Chicago portion of the Calumet region. Elements, such as the Acme coke plant and the ore walls at South Works, still stand.

The evolution of these firms also illustrates the growing vertical and horizontal integration of the industry characteristic of the era: the very evolution of the name of South Works into Carnegie-Illinois into U.S. Steel suggests the ever expanding scope of operations and administration. U.S. Steel built its sprawling integrated Gary Works and an accompanying town in 1906. ArcelorMittal’s Burns Harbor plant (originally Bethlehem Steel) was the last integrated steel facility to be built in the United States, and its Indiana Harbor facilities produce more steel than any other plant in the country.

Other firms built or operated equipment that ran on steel rails. Few places in the nation better illustrate the rise of railroads, as hubs of a transportation network, as centers of industrial production, or as engines of economic, labor, and social change, than George Pullman’s town, now the Pullman National Monument. Pullman’s reach as a manufacturing concern extended across the Calumet region, to include
the Pullman-Standard works in Hammond and the facilities of Haskell and Barker (now hub of the Haskell and Barker Historic District in Michigan City). The Pullman Company’s 1913 switch from wood to steel car construction was paralleled by the rise of other steel railcar manufacturers across the region.

Once established in the region, the steel industry proved to be magnetically attractive to a variety of other related businesses. A further web of industrial and short line railroads moved steel from the mills to fabricators with relative ease. Steel supply companies burgeoned. Others firms were attracted by the availability of inexpensive steel in the context of location in the Chicago market, or by the region’s centrality to the national rail network, as did the G.H. Hammond Meatpacking Company, founded in its namesake city in 1869. Industrial facilities opened across the region in new industrial suburbs like Chicago Heights or old country towns like Valparaiso and LaPorte, where Allis-Chalmers (previously the Rumely Companies) built agricultural machinery for the Midwestern market into the late 20th century.

As the technological underpinnings of the American economy changed in the twentieth century, the “steel rail” elements remained fundamental for the Calumet region. But the region retained its national importance as automobiles, airplanes, electricity, and petroleum assumed greater significance. Nothing sums up this new period better than the grand American combination of Rockefeller and Ford. While these two entrepreneurs’ bases of operations were elsewhere in the country, their respective facilities constructed here in 1889 and 1924 point to the fundamentally interlinked nature of the Calumet regional economy and its embeddedness in the American Midwest. Both Chicago’s Ford Plant and the British Petroleum Whiting Refinery (originally Standard Oil of Indiana) have undergone major reinvestments. BP’s nearly $5 billion reinvestment to handle heavier Canadian tar sands crude has placed it again at the center of North American debates about the long-term prospects for an economy built on this form of energy and an environment continuing to bear its consequences. The production and storage of petcoke as a byproduct of the refining process and BP’s announced plans to buy out and raze the neighboring Marktown neighborhood has sparked regional activism around environmental justice. A contrasting pathway to industrial innovation is seen at the Method facility in Pullman, which aims for a zero impact approach to the landscape and is topped by the nation’s largest rooftop greenhouse.
Labor takes a stand. The profound remaking of the Calumet landscape in an industrial image brought thousands of workers to the region and at a new scale. By 1920 one out of five manufacturing workers in the Chicago metropolitan area worked in the area’s leading “Iron and Steel Products” employment group, most of it concentrated in the Calumet area. To the interests of labor as well as to capital, the Calumet region was defined by its heavy industry.

Workers’ struggles for better conditions, wages, and rights captured national attention in the Pullman strike of 1893. The strike’s spread to the nation’s entire rail network pointed to the critical importance of that network and of the labor movement to the nation’s economy. After the strike ended, Congress established Labor Day, a significant marker on the national path toward better working conditions and living standards for all Americans.

That path had many turns and switchbacks. A sculpture now marks the Memorial Day Massacre of 1937, one of the most violent moments in American labor history. The Steelworkers Organizing Committee won recognition from U.S. Steel in 1937, and by 1942 SWOC had become the United Steelworkers International Union of America.
The effort to widen the path to be inclusive of all workers is memorialized at the National A. Philip Randolph Pullman Porter Museum. Randolph’s efforts to organize the nation’s first African American union, the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, can be seen as an innovation in American history on par with the entrepreneurialism of the man who built the Pullman Company. It also points again to the steely mesh of interconnection between the region’s economy and its railroads, and the far-reaching effects the rails had on everyday American life.

Deindustrialization. An era of drastic shutdowns dramatically changed the region’s industrial powerhouse and caused widespread job loss. Mills closed; firms went bankrupt; workers were cast out of their jobs; communities were devastated. This fate befall other places in the American Manufacturing Belt, and, indeed, what happened to all of them is one of the most significant national stories of the past four decades. A major impetus for the National Heritage Area effort in the Calumet region is to turn the regional narrative from one of loss and destruction, to one that builds on assets of natural and cultural heritage. That sense is taking hold, another turn in the changing historical perception of the value of this area.

Regional resources remain that tell the stories of past industrial endeavor, most notably in the Administration/Clock Tower building at the Pullman National Monument. The Landmarks Preservation Council of Illinois named the remnant Acme Steel structures to be one of the “ten most endangered structures” in Illinois and provided seed money for an effort to preserve them.

More importantly, government, for-profit, non-profit, and grassroots entities and individuals have been gathering to re-vision the region in light of the changes it has undergone and the realities it faces. The Field Museum, one of the world’s leading collections-based natural history museums, has devoted time and resources, and a neutral convening table to shine a light on the region’s assets, as it did in its award-winning Journey Through Calumet community ethnography process. Indiana’s Marquette Plan, launched by Congressman Pete Visclosky, is a sustained effort to envision and create a coastal corridor that still has a place for industry and that embraces community access to the lakeshore. The Marquette Plan update incorporates historical and cultural resources and embraces the notion of a Calumet National Heritage Area. In Illinois, the Millennium Reserve effort similarly calls out a Calumet National Heritage Area as a priority project with potential to fulfill the effort’s goals of linking community, economic, and environmental sustainability.
CHAPTER TWO

THEME 3

Crucible of Working Class and Ethnic Cultures

Cultures came together as people moved to the Calumet region in large numbers. As they worked, played, and set down roots, they developed a significant popular culture. Strong advocates led struggles for equality, inclusion, and civil rights that achieved national prominence.

Working class housing and cultural traditions in the landscape. Their names tell us that steel was made: Millgate, Irondale, Slag Valley. They tell us who owned the mills, forges, and shops: Hegewisch, Pullman, Marktown, Gary, Hammond, Ford Heights. Colloquial (“the Bush”) or formal (“East Chicago”), geographical (“East Side”) or personal (“Whiting”), these are the names attached to islands of human community scattered across the Calumet wetlands and ultimately, into the morainal hills to the south. Separated from each other by patches of wetland, by belts of railroad tracks, and by the mills themselves, the communities developed distinctive identities strongly shaped by physical, economic, and social attachments to nearby industry.

The Calumet region’s residential structure is part of what makes it such a significant landscape and distinctive from the rest of the Chicago region. More than half of the communities in the Calumet area found their origin as industrial suburbs or satellite cities. The region has only a few railroad commuter suburbs, a type with which the Chicago region is otherwise well supplied. But as places founded squarely within the “Steel Rail” period, railroads were an obvious part of everyday life in most of the region.

The Calumet region contains nationally significant models of homes built for workers and their families. Landmark planned communities include Solon Beman’s Pullman, Charles van Doren Shaw’s Marktown, the city of Gary, East Chicago’s Sunnyside community. A wide variety of other house types include the concrete Edison Concept Houses in Gary, Frank Lloyd Wright’s Foster House and Stable in Chicago’s Stewart Ridge community, and the small home in Gary where Michael Jackson grew up.
People came from around the world to work in the Calumet region and put their stamp on the landscape. By 1930, the region had an extraordinary diversity of ethnic origins. Within some Calumet communities, pocket enclaves developed especially strong local attachments to local churches, schools, social halls, savings societies, and taverns, which ultimately fostered highly local—even isolated—place identification. Taken as a whole, this archipelago of very locally centered communities is a significant element in the national story of immigration, enculturation, and group identity.

Race relations. Most of the issues discussed above had a strong racial dimension. While the transportation equipment and steel industries were a major ground for recruitment of labor from the American South, and exerted a huge pull effect in the Great Migration to places like South Chicago, East Chicago, and Gary, racially-charged struggles of national resonance erupted over schooling, housing, and politics. Theodore Roosevelt High School in Gary was built specifically to house Gary’s African-American students, thus keeping them out of “white” schools. In 1945, the historic but isolated Altgeld Gardens public housing project was built in Chicago for returning African American veterans. Conflict in the steelmaking Trumbull Park neighborhood emerged in 1953 when Black families attempted to move into public housing there, triggering a response from city authorities that, according to Arnold Hirsch, led to “making the second ghetto.” Richard Hatcher’s election as the first African American Mayor in America in Gary in 1967 sped the postwar processes of white flight to suburban “South County”, leading to the creation of a “dual metropolis” and the “environmental inequalities” that historian Andrew Hurley has documented. But it also led to the National Black Political Convention of 1972, the largest such gathering of the twentieth century.

A variety of dwelling types were used to house the rapidly expanding population of workers. Clockwise from top left: Row houses in Pullman; single-family home in East Chicago; town homes in Trumbull Park; classic Chicago bungalow on the East Side; Edison concept homes in Gary.
Living cultural traditions. Renowned among a constellation of local history museums in the Calumet region, the Southeast Chicago Historical Museum wonderfully highlights the many aspects of family and associational life in its community. Similar stories could be told about other vibrant museums. But more lively are those resources on which you cannot put a plaque: these are the traditions, festivals, foods, music, and literature that make the region and its heritage come alive. Especially active traditions include Labor Day commemorations, ethnic showcases like Whiting’s Pierogi Fest, and church oriented events like Southeast Chicago’s AnnunciataFest. Music has long pulsed out of the region, with especially notable examples being Gary’s VeeJay records (the first American label to release the Beatles) and the Jackson family.

Celebrations of cultural heritage have long been a part of community life in the Calumet region. Top: A float in the Mexican Independence Day Parade through the South Chicago community area, circa early 1950s. At the time, South Chicago was also the home of U.S. Steel South Works and is still home to the oldest Mexican American Catholic parish in Chicago. Bottom: Dancers perform at a recent celebration of Pierogi Fest in Whiting, Indiana. While a celebration of Eastern European heritage, it has become more generally associated with celebrating the ethnically diverse working class heritage of the region.
Calumet Region Goals and Priorities

Multiple stakeholders and entities have coalesced in the region over the two decades since the National Park Service’s Calumet Ecological Park Resource Study. They have stated many goals and priorities in various contexts, and now all these aspirations and voices are coming together as the region is poised to become a Calumet National Heritage Area. It has been repeatedly stated, especially at multi-stakeholder Calumet Summits in 2013 and 2015, that a National Heritage Area is the clearest path to bring coherence to these efforts, strengthen regional identity, and bring necessary resources to activate the great thinking.

Environment and Stewardship

The Calumet region has played an important role in conservation, ecological study, and environmental protection. The area continues to possess a rich conservation ethic, ecologically significant sites, and outstanding services by agencies to protect the environment and public health. Priorities to enhance environmental treasures across the bi-state region are:

- Identify, connect, and enhance important sub-geographies such as the dune and swale, moraine forest, and river corridors (NR)
- Coordinate land management, ecological restoration, land acquisition, and trail development activities in key habitat areas (NR, II, CC)
- Provide improved access to existing natural areas (NR, II, CC)
- Restore, manage and promote healthy watershed systems (NR, II, CC)
- Promote the protection of coastal and estuarine areas and waters (NR, II, CC)
- Develop a stewardship model for bi-state Calumet that includes measures of success for both ecosystem restoration and volunteer engagement (NR, II, CC)
- Connect environmental stewardship to health/well-being activities (NR, II, CC)

Cultural Heritage/Historic Preservation

The communities of the Calumet region are sites of significant cultural history. But sites of significance are often unrecognized and unappreciated. Priorities are:

- Identify and showcase the industrial, natural, and community heritage of the bi-state region through education, festivals, and other cultural activities (NR, II, CC)
- Protect, conserve, and restore significant landmark sites, including homes, commercial and religious structures, public buildings, and planned industrial communities (NR, II, CC)
Identify, protect, and preserve important archaeological sites in the region (NR, II, CC)

Build a bi-state dialogue between the Pullman National Monument, the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, and the lands around and between them (NR, II, CC)

Create a bi-state regional consortium/network of local heritage groups, museums, archives, and historical societies (NR, II, CC)

Recreation
The Calumet region historically has contained significant places to relax and play. Priorities across the state line are:

- Continue to develop the region’s system of trails and improve the connections between them (NR, II, CC)
- Improve existing and develop new recreational sites (NR, CC)
- Increase access to the Lake Michigan shoreline (NR, II, CC)
- Promote tourism and ecotourism (NR, II, CC)

The Arts
The region’s landscape and heritage are significant sources of artistic inspiration, especially with attention-grabbing proximity of nature and industry. There is a thriving arts community in the Calumet region, but it is not well recognized. Priorities are:

- Promote and protect the existing folk and fine arts heritage of the region (NR, II, CC)


Support and promote existing artists and arts organizations (II, CC)

Promote the role of the arts in regional-scale placemaking (NR, II, CC)

Activate and transform heritage spaces that build community and enhance civic engagement for local residents and that are attractive to visitors using creative placemaking approaches (NR, II, CC)

Economy

Industry has been a key identifying factor and the backbone of the Calumet Region. The region’s industries are in flux, making stability and redevelopment key goals. Conserving the industrial heritage of the Calumet region is important, but should be coupled with efforts to support existing industries and attract new investment, and build on environmental and community assets. Priorities are:

- Make the most of opportunities that meet the “triple bottom line” that enhance economy, build community, and protect environment (NR, II, CC)
- Improve the Lakeshore in ways that balance industrial development and water-based tourism and recreation (NR, II, CC)
- Utilize brownfield sites for industrial development (NR, II, CC)
- Increase tourism marketing at the bi-state regional scale (NR, II, CC)
- Attract and retain a workforce that enjoys a high quality of life by residing in the region (NR, II, CC)
- Identify and elevate opportunities for adaptive reuse of buildings and other structures, such as closed steel mills and Union Station in Gary, to become regional gateways or interpretive centers (NR, II, CC)

Wayfinding and Branding

Develop a comprehensive regional system of signage and wayfinding to guide visitors and local residents through the region, provide details about specific locations, build regional identity through branding, and connect the region’s places through themes and stories.

- Create a brand identity for wayfinding that boosts regional connectivity and pride in place (NR, II, CC)
- Interpret sites and spaces through signage, exhibitions, and other media (NR, II, CC)

Renowned local artist, Roman Villarreal, coined the phrase, “Art is the new steel,” at the 2014 Calumet Heritage Conference, which explored the role of the arts in expressing the rich heritage of the Calumet region. A flourishing public art scene has been integral to placemaking throughout the region, with murals like the The Jackson 5 in downtown Gary, (top) by muralist Felix Maldonado, and Roman Villarreal’s sculpture dedicated to steelworkers and their families at Steelworkers Park located on the former site of U.S. Steel South Works, Chicago.

The Method company’s soap factory nears completion, early 2015, in the Pullman neighborhood. The wind turbine, greenhouses, and solar panels are all visible, echoing the company’s and many residents’ hopes to build on the area’s industrial heritage, while using new greener and cleaner technology and practices.
Education

The cultural and environmental heritage of the Calumet region offer unique opportunities to engage children and adults in place-based learning. A Heritage Area could provide a network to facilitate the creation, connection, and enhancement of educational programming around environmental conservation and stewardship, economy, the arts, cultural heritage and historic preservation, and interpretation.

- Develop heritage-based curricula in partnership with local primary, secondary, and post-secondary educational institutions (NR, II, CC)
- Develop life-long learning programs (NR, II, CC)
- Connect with area scientists (NR, II, CC)

Recommended Boundary

The recommended boundary encompasses the area where the three themes and the resources illustrating the national significance of the Calumet are strongest.

The themes are especially well represented in the immediate lakeshore area from South Chicago to Michigan City. However, experts such as Alfred Meyer, Kenneth Schoon, and Powell Moore would locate the regional boundary southward, where the occurrence of local “Calumet” place names from Chicago Heights...
to Valparaiso argues that the region’s natural features, along with its key themes of economic and cultural development also resonate.

Therefore, recognizing the strength of the set of traits that make up the region, and the ongoing patterns of employment, information flow, and trade that circulate within the area, this plan recommends the following boundary. It aligns generally with key historic trails across the area, particularly the Sauk Trail and Vincennes Trace. Locally, some adjustments have been made so that jurisdictions are not split.

In Indiana, the boundary is extended to the borders of Lake, Porter, and LaPorte Counties. The Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC), a key supporter and collaborator, has planning authority to the extent of these counties.

In suburban Illinois, the boundary is three miles to the south of the Lincoln Highway and three miles to the west of the Dixie Highway, the modern successors of the Sauk Trail and Vincennes Trace. Any municipality that touches this boundary is considered to be within the National Heritage Area.

In Chicago, the boundary continues three miles west of Vincennes until it reaches 67th Street, where it returns to the lake shore along the southern boundary of Jackson Park. It therefore includes the bulk of the “Greater Calumet” and “Greater Stony Island” regions of the City’s new Chicago Neighborhood Now planning initiative, which clusters the City’s original 1930s non-overlapping planning and statistical Community Areas into functional planning regions.

- Greater Calumet includes the Community Areas of Washington Heights, Morgan Park, Hegewisch, West Pullman, Riverdale, East Side, and the southern portions of Roseland, Pullman, and South Deering.
- Greater Stony Island includes the Chicago Community Areas of Greater Grand Crossing, South Shore, Chatham, Avalon Park, Burnside, Calumet Heights, South Chicago, and the northern portions of Roseland, Pullman, and South Deering. The 77 Community Areas are non-overlapping planning and statistical zones that were established in the 1930s.

A steelworkers’ vision of the Calumet region, bounded with remnants from the shop floor. The hook locates Gary as the center of the steel-making hub.
Background and History

INTRODUCTION “Have you met the Calumet?”

“Have you met the Calumet?” Many longtime residents—including the steelworker involved in the National Heritage Area effort who first posed this question—are struck by the subtlety and complexity that lies behind the region’s public face as a premier industrial center in the Western world. To meet the Calumet is to encounter a region with rich and memorable personality. In this chapter we take the measure of this lead character on the national stage, so significant for the pivotal role it plays in the boom period of post-Civil War industrialization that vaulted America into global economic leadership, so important for the swath it cut environmentally, so dramatically central to the diversity of the American cultural experience. We shall see that to meet Calumet is to be confronted with a strong but diverse personality, shot through with elements of conflict, cussedness, craft, inspiration, innovation, and implacable hard work.

The region’s rich personality has attracted generations of scholars who, in trying to capture a coherent picture of the complexity of nature and culture, have found it to be a lead example in their fields. Fifty years ago, the geographer Alfred Meyer wrote that “...if we want to depict and interpret the environmental ensemble of a region correctly, we must keep our eyes fixed on the way things actually occur together.” The things that occur—each one of them, it seems—are deeply important not only to this region but far beyond it. Large numbers of people and goods circulate through this geographical pivot of American commerce, creating ties to this place that extend far from the lakeshore. The table on the next page lists some key traits and the scholarly work that has highlighted them. How the traits relate—in sometimes jarring juxtaposition—is what makes the region both unique and archetypal of what happens when industry meets nature at the landscape scale.¹

For the most part flat as a pancake pressed around the southern edge of Lake Michigan, the Calumet region could—and did—suffer shape-changing impacts from a century of heavy industrial activity and city-building that moved rivers, leveled hills, filled wetlands, and imprinted the likenesses of many peoples and their lifeways in an area of great biodiversity. Key themes emerge around the interplay of industry and nature, the rise of the greatest industrial hub of the mid-
continent, and the confluence of cultures from around the world. When industrial urbanites looked up some fifty years into the amazing boom to see what they had unleashed, they came to understand they had also wrought a complicated zone of highly contrasting scenes, textures, styles, and purposes.

This chapter introduces the Calumet region, describing the extraordinary endowments that make it a crossroads for nature, industry, and people. The first section describes a region rich in plant and animal life. Successive waves of peoples built their lives around this richness, and also found the area to be abundant in connections to many other places. The second section describes how just after the Civil War, people in the Calumet region used these connections to explode into prominence as one of the world’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIT (research interest)</th>
<th>SCHOLAR</th>
<th>Year of Publication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological succession</td>
<td>Cowles</td>
<td>1901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequent occupancy</td>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>1954; 1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial organization</td>
<td>Appleton; Lewis</td>
<td>1927; 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water transportation</td>
<td>Meyer</td>
<td>1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deindustrialization</td>
<td>Markusen; Bensman and Lynch; Clark; Walley</td>
<td>1985; 1987; 1990; 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste and justice</td>
<td>Colten; Pellow; Hurley</td>
<td>1985; 2002; 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community development</td>
<td>Peterman</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational and open space development</td>
<td>Gobster and Westphal</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Generalized Ecological Silhouette Studies in Sequent Occupance Geography

Calumet Region, Northwest Indiana–Northeast Illinois  
Alfred H. Meyer and Michael Longan, Valparaiso University

Alfred Meyer’s “sequent occupancy” diagram depicts the Calumet region’s development in four historical layers (or stages), with characteristic features for each time period being placed upon a south-north cross-section of the region’s landforms. In 2016, Dr. Michael Longan of Valparaiso University built on Meyer’s careful research to offer his personal perception of how the landscape has changed in the sixty years since Meyer made the diagram. In Longan’s diagram, the landscape elements are not necessarily in their proper locations, but are intended to represent a generalized view of the region. The inclusion of the redeveloped steel mill site, restored river, and the national monument among other landmarks suggests that the region is on the cusp of transition to a sixth stage of sequent occupancy that could be called a 6th Stage of Sustainable Development and Environmental Restoration.
most important industrial districts. That prominence remains today, although there have been many ups and downs. The final section describes the social, economic, and environmental aftershocks of this explosion, and considers how the region’s resilient response to these effects is integral to the story of its national significance.

PART ONE

“A natural botanical preserve”

So many significant remnants of the pre-industrial landscape survive—and so much attention has been paid to their preservation, protection, and restoration by many committed and engaged people. Faulkner’s notion that the “past isn’t dead; it isn’t even past,” seems especially true here. What the landscape once was continues to play a significant role in shaping how many think its post-industrial future should be conceived. One recent documentary, Shifting Sands: On the Path to Sustainability, takes its visual cue from the dunes that emphasize to all that the physical landscape is still very much in the making. The ecological restoration movement attempts to re-create “pre-settlement” conditions. A network of local history museums and historic sites keeps the pre-industrial landscape of European settlement constantly in mind. The area’s ecological inheritance prompted an effort in the late 1990’s to create a Calumet Ecological Park, and the National Park Service Resource Study that documented the resources and weighed the potential is a direct progenitor of the current Heritage Area effort.

In short, the past is made present here through the activities of interested people, which in some places cohere to become interest groups of significant energy and capacity.

Natural Environment:
A flat, wet, post-glacial topography

Taken as a whole, the region’s landforms provide a textbook example of the effects of late Wisconsinan glacial deposition, with excellent and intact instances of characteristic features.

From the dunes and mills that line today’s Lake Michigan to the enveloping Valparaiso Moraine that rises as much as two hundred feet above lake level some twenty miles to the south, the northern part of the region occupies the flat former bottom of glacial Lake Chicago. That lake was formed as the Pleistocene ice age began to come to an end roughly fifteen thousand years ago. As the climate warmed, the mile-high ice melted. The resulting lake, held in place by the moraine to the south of it and the ice behind it, was drained in stages (over Niagara Falls, or out the spillway through the moraine now occupied by the DesPlaines River southwest of downtown Chicago).

Each time the lake level dropped, a series of low, sandy beach ridges paralleling the lakefront developed. From south to north these were the Glenwood, Calumet, and Tolleston ridges. Sand Ridge Nature Preserve, a unit of the Cook County Forest Preserves which was rooted in the Burnham Plan and the visionary civic activism that marked turn of the century Chicago, sits astride the Calumet beach ridge. The ridges served to frame the watery swales that hold the floodplains of the Little and Grand Calumet Rivers, which meander through the flatness in search of Lake Michigan just a few miles away. For at least ten thousand years the ridges have also served as dry foot paths across the sodden landscape.

Ice age deposits mostly cover up bedrock, though where it appears, the Silurian dolomite that underlies the region creates significant landscape elements. At Thornton Quarry, the “grand canyon of the south suburbs,” the nearly 8 billion gallon capacity Thornton Composite Reservoir is the latest landmark effort in the re-engineering of the region’s hydrology. Lake currents moving in a southerly direction down the western shore of glacial Lake Chicago encountered another outcrop—Stony Island—and piled trailing spits of sand into bars that dropped southward from the end of the island. In later days, these ridges would serve to impound the waters of shallow Lake Calumet—only 3 to 6 feet deep—and its nearby wetlands.
In this corner of the region, at the cul-de-sac of Lake Michigan where the shoreline changes from a north-south to an east-west orientation, the last two thousand years of lake recession and sand deposition played notes of landscape formation that echo to the present. Sandy lake bottom sediments ferried to the beach were blown into gentle dune ridges, a pattern repeated roughly a hundred times and ultimately yielding the finely textured “ridge and swale” landscape that characterizes the space between Lake Calumet and the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. This Tolleston strandplain is now home to some of the most significant ecological restoration in the nation, side-by-side with massive industrial concerns that still call the region home.

Across the region’s mid-section sits the Valparaiso Moraine, where richly forested “knob and kettle” topography serves as a key landscape change from the flatness of the lake border country. The hills contain such features as Pinhook Bog, a National Natural Landmark. Streams flowing off the southern slopes of the moraine carried glacial outwash materials toward the now channelized Kankakee River. In the wide, flat floodplain of that river, a marsh called the “Everglades of the North” served as a distinct boundary of the region until the river was drained in the early twentieth century to make an important farming zone. In places, though, where outwash sediments were blown into dunes in immediate post-glacial times, distinctive “sand islands” of oak-savanna remain.

The Calumet River rises in hilly moraine country just outside the lake plain in Red Mill County Park in LaPorte County, Indiana. Once the waters reach the lake plain, both the Grand and Little Calumet Rivers flow slowly parallel to Lake Michigan, held between intervening beach ridges. The Grand and Little Calumet Rivers today unite not far from the lake (about six miles south of it in Illinois), although the main channel through South Chicago was not likely created until the early nineteenth century. At one time the Grand Calumet River that—to put it strongly—“flows” across the landscape, actually had two outlets into Lake Michigan. (The western mouth was widened to form the main stem of the Calumet River beginning in 1869; the other, just east of the site of today’s U.S. Steel’s Gary Works, seems to have been closed by drifting sand by 1872.) Which mouth was “active” depended on wind and on current-borne sand in Lake Michigan.
On the other side of the moraine surrounding the lake plain, waters flow toward the Mississippi River and Gulf of Mexico, not to the Great Lakes, St. Lawrence River, and Atlantic Ocean. As Native Americans knew, and as French explorers beginning with Joliet and Marquette were to find out, one could canoe up either the Chicago or the Calumet to a point where one of the tributaries of the Mississippi might be just on the other side of the moraine, and a relatively easy portage away. One such passage could be found near present-day Portage, Indiana, in the Calumet system. But a far better one connected to the Chicago River.

The Chicago Portage: this was the key, as Joliet surmised in 1673 and as generations of city builders and historians have emphasized since, to the creation of the great American metropolis of the mid-continent. The early American government took steps to secure the canal corridor that Joliet suggested through purchases from Native Americans and through the construction of Forts Dearborn I and II at the river bend near Lake Michigan. Canal commissioners finally laid out a Town of Chicago in 1830 and the completion in 1848 marked a new phase in the vaulting expansion of Chicago. In the 1850s, the port of Chicago became the busiest in the world, and by time of the Civil War, the City was home to more than 100,000 residents, its river and lakefront crowded with grain elevators, lumber yards, warehouses, river barges, and lake vessels. At this point, the Calumet, while closer to the routes that converged on Chicago around the lake bottom, had become eccentric—off to the side of the main path of development—and subservient—its waters being diverted to feed those of the Illinois and Michigan Canal. But, as we shall see, its time as the hub of water-based commerce in the entire eastern United States would soon come.

Natural Environment:
Habitat for diversity of flora and fauna

The landscape variations between sand and clay, ridge and marsh, lakeside and landside, set up local variations on grand continental themes and make for a place of uncommon—and given the subsequent urban/industrial land uses, unexpected—biological richness.

From Top: Quarry workers of Thornton; wetlands impounding water; Oak Savanna of Shirley Heinze Land Trust’s Ivanhoe South preserve.
The Calumet region is an “Ecotone”—a transition zone where three great bio-regions come together. Like clasped fingers held parallel to the lake shore, one region gradually hands off its characteristics to the other. From the east come the deciduous forests of eastern North America, dominated by oak and hickory in well-drained soils and by beech and maple on wetter ground. The west opens up into the stunning tallgrass prairies of Illinois. Northern plant types and habitats are reminders of the recent glacial past, and a glimpse into boreal forest habitats of Michigan and Wisconsin. The transitions can be seen fairly clearly on maps of what Meyer called the “fundament”, or the landscape as it appeared to European land surveyors in the first decades of the nineteenth century. Only a few prairies—such as Door, Morgan, and Robinson—perforate the wooded hills of the Indiana moraine country. On the other side of the state line, only a few groves—such as Thorn, Bloom, and Bachelor’s—punctuate the Illinois prairie.5

A rich array of habitat types is found in the Calumet region. Eastern hardwood forests meet grasslands, with arctic remnants from the ice age and dry land plants that thrive on sand.

The Field Museum holds collections from the Calumet region that date back to the 1890s. Botanical collections from this time are illustrated in the middle row above of publications, a collection record, illustration, and photograph of Thimia americana, the Calumet region’s only endemic plant. Field Museum scientists continue field studies and collecting today, including of iconic native species like the yellow headed blackbird and the eastern box turtle (here being studied by collections manager and Calumet resident Alan Resetar). Anthropology collections from the region include contemporary objects, such as the hard hat of an electrician worn at Inland Steel’s East Chicago Mill in the 1970s. It sports labels identifying his national and local union affiliations.
Land surveyors’ eyes were trained to watch the landscape for settlement possibility. As the Chicago area filled up in the nineteenth century, simple curiosity and a desire to discover, collect, classify, and investigate drove an increasing number of local scientists into the field. Institutions like the Chicago Academy of Sciences, The Field Museum, and local colleges and universities took an especially strong interest in regional natural history. The Field Museum’s collections now contain more than 20,000 objects and specimens from the region, including some rare or extirpated species. After its founding in 1892, botanists from the University of Chicago mastered train schedules and fanned out across the region. In the dunes area, Henry Chandler Cowles and Victor Shelford laid the groundwork for some of the key concepts in modern ecology, especially how plant communities undergo the processes of succession.

The high dunes at the southernmost part of the lake provide a variety of niches to plants and animals specially adapted to particular mixes of shade and sun, wind and calm, dry and wet. As Cowles put it:

*Within a stone’s throw of almost any spot one may find plants of the desert and plants of rich woodlands, plants of the pine woods, and plants of swamps, plants of oak woods and plants of the prairies. Species of the most diverse natural regions are piled here together in such abundance as to make the region a natural botanical preserve, not only of the plants that are characteristic of northern Indiana, but also of the plants of remote outlying regions.*

Cowles’s studies started a chain of ecological work leading to the present that is attentive to the integrity of particular plant communities at the dunes. Starting at the lakeshore, these include the lower, middle and upper beaches, foredune, jackpine and black oak savanna, hardwood forest, and pannes. The Dunes are also home to bogs, fens, swamps, sedge meadows and marshes.

On the lake plain away from the dunes, beach ridges and interdunal swales provide a patchwork of different habitats. Where water tables are high, marshes, swamps, and wet prairies predominate, with many bird species attracted to food sources and nesting sites. Where sandy beach ridges allow soils to drain, oak woodlands and prairie savannas hold sway.

The number and variety of life forms that call the region home is staggering. More than seven hundred plant species grace the region, more than eighty-five of which are deemed rare at the state or global scale. Among them is a plant seen nowhere else in the world, *Thismia americana*, which was last spotted in 1916. The 2002 Calumet BioBlitz, a regional effort centered at Eggers Woods, Powderhorn Lake, and Wolf Lake, turned up 2,259 plant and animal species in the twenty-four hour counting period.

![In July 2011 Field Museum scientists organized participated in Calumet Region “BioBlitz;” this time at the eastern end of the region. In just 24 hours, scientists and citizen scientists surveyed six natural areas in the Trail Creek Watershed in Michigan City, Indiana. This BioBlitz helped the municipal government identify high-quality natural areas and significant natural features in anticipation of designing a green corridor along Trail Creek.](image)

The Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore counts as the fourth most biodiverse of America’s national parks, where plants like arctic bearberry might be found just steps from prickly pear cactus. Thirty percent of Indiana’s threatened and endangered species are found at the Dunes.

The Illinois Natural Areas Inventory (INAI) lists eleven sites that are of statewide significance in the Calumet region. These sites represent over 4,500 acres with eight different natural community types. Twenty-six endangered and threatened species occurrences are also recorded by INAI. Striking examples of the region’s rare and iconic plants are shown in a rapid color guide in Appendix H.
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Threatened and endangered birds are attracted by the marshy setting along the great north-south continental flyway that passes through the region. The wetland ecosystems are excellent sources of food, nesting sites, and resting points for a wide variety of migrating birds. Today more than two hundred species of birds have been identified in the region, including eighteen that are rare at the global or state level. Twenty-five percent of Illinois’ threatened and endangered bird species nest in the region. Birders are especially fond of the Illinois endangered Yellow-headed Blackbird and the Illinois and Indiana endangered Black-crowned Night Heron. Ecological restorations have been designed to sustain habitat for these species, but their numbers in the Calumet region are very low, a far cry from what a Field Museum curator wrote in 1909, that the Yellow-headed Blackbird was “once abundant in the vicinity of Chicago about Calumet Lake and is still not uncommon in that locality.” They, and all the species that today are rare to the region, no doubt graced the daily lives of the people who lived there just a century before.

From top: Canadian bunchberry (cornus canadensis), eastern prickly pear (opuntia humifusa), and sky blue aster (symphyotrichum oolentangiense). These three plants illustrate how the Region supports plants whose range extends to the arctic, the western deserts, and the expanse of the great plains. To learn more about these and other iconic or rare plants of the region, see Appendix H.

Peopling the landscape

A region’s ability to sustain population partly depends on the level of local resources and partly on peoples’ ability to assemble what they need from many other places. The Calumet region’s local resource base, with its species richness and diversity of habitats, was great for some ways of life, but, with its extensive wetlands and sandy soils, not so good for others. The region’s location relative to other places, with its excellent access to other parts of the continent via water, and later trail, road, rail, highway, and pipeline, always seems to have been attractive to settlement. Early Woodland cultures and later Potawatomi thrived in the region, but European Corn Belt-style farming proved more difficult.
Native Americans. People have lived in the region since the glaciers retreated 10,000 years ago. Evidence remains of Archaic, Woodland, and Mississippian peoples. The Hoxie Site is evidence that some “Fisher” cultures around 1400 AD found so much abundance in the biodiversity of the region that intensively occupied fortified villages were sustainable. Across the region’s 10,000 years, a wide variety of food production was practiced in the region, including hunting, fishing, gathering, horticulture, and agriculture. There is also strong evidence of trade with other regions in North America.

When the French arrived in the 1670s, the southern borders of Lake Michigan were occupied by Miami and Sauk, neighbored to the south and west by the groups collectively known as the Illinois. But peoples were on the move, adapting rapidly to new conditions sent in train by the fur trade, by the struggle between European powers for continental control, and by the intense Iroquois conflicts to the east that swept into the region. By 1700, as the Illinois moved west and the Miami moved east, their place in the region came to be dominated by the Potawatomi, though they were in frequent contact with a number of other peoples. The French distinguished the Potawatomi—“the people of the fire”—as the branch of the Miami that lived in forested regions as opposed to the prairie-dwelling Mascoutens. The Potawatomi lived in wigwams in agricultural villages. They hunted, fished, and raised turkeys and cultivated corn, beans, squash, peppers, potatoes, grapes, melons, and sunflowers. By 1830, there were thirty-six Potawatomi communities in northern Indiana and forty in Northeast Illinois with a combined population of over 6,000 people. Near present-day Westville, one village, Ish-kwan-dem, “the door”, was a “favorite location, being on the boundary of the prairie and at the entrance of woods or forest.” This “door” was the likely source of the name LaPorte. Another large village, at the location of present-day Merrillville, was a crossroads in the trail network like many others. Trails kept to the high ground wherever possible, including along the beach ridges.6

The fur trade. French fur traders and trappers first reached the Calumet region in the late seventeenth century. Accounts of their activities were written by Father Jacques Marquette, the best known French missionary to explore the Calumet region in 1673-75. Father Marquette, together with Father Louis Jolliet, explored and mapped the Mississippi and Illinois Rivers, discovering a shorter route with the help of native peoples in returning to the Calumet area. In all likelihood, he traveled a route that took him into what is now Marquette Park in Gary.

With the French came the use of the term “Calumet.” This was their common term for the “peace pipe” so ritually important among native peoples in the mid-continent. These pipes consisted of pipestem reeds affixed to a bowl of good Minnesota pipestone. The reeds grew in many places, but their abundance in the Calumet wetlands helped to fix this name in place. In time, as Meyer would write in 1945 of the term’s “sentimental and euphonious appeal,”

The name Calumet has been applied, at one time or another, to more than a dozen and a half landscape forms—two rivers, a channel, a marsh, a lake, a harbor, a geologic formation, a township, four towns (Roseland, Calumet City, Chesterton, and Calumet), a gun club, a country club, a beach, a grove, two city parks, and multiple streets and industries. A golf course selected “Pipe O’Peace” as a suitable variant.

Jean Baptiste Point de Sable was the first known non-Native American resident of the Calumet area and founder of what was to be Chicago. He and his Potawatomi wife Catherine ran a fur trading post at Trail Creek in present-day Michigan City at the time of the American Revolution. After the land became a part of the American Northwest Territory in 1787, the fur trade came to be organized under the American Fur Company. In 1822, Joseph Bailly established a trading post along the Little Calumet that is reconstructed within the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore.

European settlement. The fur trade had a profound effect on the Potawatomi, tying them into a vast trading network that brought new metal goods but lessened their self-sufficiency. And as European settlement came, pressure on the Potawatomi to move away increased. In seventeen short years between 1816 and 1833, twenty-eight treaties involving the Potawatomis and their neighbors saw them cede eighteen million...
acres of land east of the Mississippi. As historian Ann Durkin Keating put it, this was “an almost bloodless conquest of monumental proportions.”

As part of the “Ten Mile Purchase” from the Potawatomi in 1826, Indiana’s northern boundary was nudged that much further north from the very southern point of Lake Michigan. (Northward from roads now marked Indian Boundary in Miller and Chesterton now lie the Indiana ports of Michigan City and Burns Harbor.) By 1833, the Potawatomi were forced to leave the region, although the Pokagon Band of Potawatomi Indians, who were awarded Federal recognition in 1994, remain in southern Michigan and northern Indiana (close to but not in the Calumet region) and still live in this area.

A man deeply involved in the Potawatomi “trail of death” removal to Kansas in 1838 was John Tipton, who became a government agent for the Potawatomi in 1823. Two years earlier he played a key role as surveyor of the state boundary line that caused the Illinois-Indiana State Line Marker (the oldest structure in the City of Chicago) to be set in place. Not only did Tipton’s role as surveyor signal a new approach to land ownership, he also expressed a new attitude about the possibilities of the Calumet region. And he was not impressed: “the country falls off into pond and marshes that can never admit settlement nor ever be of much service to our state.”

The federal government’s land sales and Indian removal practices played a significant role in attracting Euro-American settlers to the region. The vast Kankakee marshes tended to slow migration from the south, and early settler populations tended to have a “Yankee” character. While the state of Indiana was formed in 1816, counties in the northwestern part of the state were among the last to be formed: LaPorte in 1832, Porter in 1835, and Lake in 1837. A federal land office at LaPorte was established in 1833 and facilitated early land sales, including the 1836 sale to Solon Robinson, the founder of Crown Point. Early settlers typically selected land with a mix of timber and prairie, and for this, the moraine country was far better suited than the nearly impassable lake plain. County seats appeared at LaPorte, Valparaiso, and Crown Point, serving as centers of commerce, banking and transportation. Blue Island, IL was founded on a remnant spur of the Tinley Moraine in 1835.

By the 1850s, the early phases of the establishment of a European farming presence in the region were maturing into a landscape increasingly well-connected to markets—especially that of the burgeoning city of Chicago—by better transportation and by rapid adoption of agricultural innovation. Key routes like the Vincennes Trace and Sauk Trail followed earlier Indian and fur trading paths and the stage was set for the rapid expansion of railroads in the decade before the Civil War. A firm that would become widely known for agricultural equipment production, the Rumelys, migrated from Germany to LaPorte in 1848 and by the time of the War was producing threshers and shelling machines. By 1860, LaPorte County led Indiana counties in wheat production.

Though the Calumet region was off the beaten path of European settlement in Illinois and Indiana, by the time of the Civil War the stage had been set for significant change. In less than three decades, Potawatomis had been “removed”, new farms and towns had been planted, and the skeins of steel that would catalyze change in post-bellum America were already knotting up at the south end of Lake Michigan, amid the tranquil sands and wetlands.
PART TWO

“The World’s Largest Industrial District”

Not only does the Calumet region provide textbook geological and biological examples, it also headlines the texts of industrial developers, economic geographers and urban historians. They find it to be a leading example of the post-Civil War industrial urbanization of the United States. The Calumet region would be a central player in the remaking of the American landscape in the industrial era, with changes wrought to how and where things were made, how the very landscape was re-shaped to make a place for industry, how peoples and communities were drawn together around the needs of production, and how new movements emerged to assert and ensure—from the workshops themselves into the communities and landscapes around them—that massive changes in the world’s largest industrial district should not come at the cost of a better quality of life.

Most writers on the history of American urbanization and economy point to the major re-orientation that occurred between the Civil War and World War II. It was based on the interrelated extraction of coal and iron ore; the rise of integrated production systems especially in the iron and steel industry but also vehicles, chemicals, machine tools, and electric appliances; the stitching together of these systems by an integrated national transportation network especially in railroads; the rise of corporate forms of business organization; and the recruitment of large labor forces from Europe and the southern United States. This national re-orientation gave rise to the regional dominance of the western Great Lakes, and particularly, in the area of steel production, the dominance of the Western anchor of the Manufacturing Belt—the Calumet Region. This region developed into what historian Robert Lewis argues was the “world’s largest industrial district in the first half of the twentieth century.”

The Calumet region went from being an afterthought “of no service to the state” to being the symbol and center of industry at the western end of the Great Lakes. By 1882, Calumet historian Weston Goodspeed predicted that “…whatever this region lacks that it should have, or has that it should lack, it has unquestionably advantages of location that in time will produce great results. Its features that have proved most disadvantageous in the past may be the most advantageous in the future.”

The region possessed a set of features beautifully suited to the new age, and soon began to exert a magnetic attraction on industrial development. Geographer Charles Colby thought the area was a perfect example of the “centripetal” tendencies in the development of cities. While mindful of industries like transportation equipment manufacturing and oil and gas refining, Colby drove his point home about the region’s irresistible pull by marking the rapid rise of the iron and steel industry. He relied on the classic list of Calumet’s site and situational virtues first proposed in John Appleton’s pioneering economic study of the iron and steel industry in 1927. It included:

1. large parcels of unoccupied land available at low prices...
2. lake front or river locations...
3. the sandy character of the lake plain which made dredging and excavations for slips a simple engineering task...
4. an abundance of water...
5. marsh or lake areas for dumpage of waste materials...
6. freedom of use, in that control of the land made it practicable to perfect economies of plant layout and operation...
7. adequate transportation facilities...
8. proximity to the Chicago reservoir of labor.

Of great appeal for industrial developers was how the physical landscape of the region conformed to the models of the economic geographers. Setting aside the need for a little hydraulic and civil engineering, what could be more flat and featureless? The great steel making cities of Sheffield and Pittsburgh are loaded with textbook-violating, eye-filling physical geographic “character”—hilly, timbered, riven by meandering

Top: An ore boat on the Calumet River passes a blast furnace at Illinois Steel’s South Works, which would become US Steel. The convergence of channelized water, rails, and open space along the Calumet River resulted in four steel mills lining its banks by the time of this photo (1918) along with other industries such as flour mills. Bottom: US Steel South Works, a decade later.
The “Steel Rail” period

The region’s rise to industrial prominence after the Civil War is a major illustration of what John Borchert called the “Steel Rail” period in American economic history, which lasted from roughly 1870 to 1920. In an influential article written forty years ago, Borchert, who grew up just south of the steelmaking district in Crown Point, traced the effects of transportation and technological change on “American Metropolitan Evolution.” The metropolitan areas that “boomed” in this period were those best positioned within the national railroad network and able to make the most of business innovations that made it possible to picture vast quantities of increasingly inexpensive steel in efficiently laid out mills.

The Calumet region had both; it was central to the rise of the “Steel Rail” period. The Bessemer converter vastly increased the potential to produce large amounts of cheap steel. The less expensive steel was, the more it was used. The more it was used, the more steel could be made. Steel rails extended into the coalfields of the western interior; steel-sided lake boats could bring in iron ore from the upper Great Lakes; steel locomotives could efficiently burn coal instead of wood on steel, instead of iron-strapped wood rails; steel railcars could carry heavier loads. Trains could go faster, longer, stronger.

While it was first employed by the North Chicago Rolling Mills along the North Branch of the Chicago River in the early 1860s, the logic of the new steel making technology suggested that bigger sites were necessary to replace the cramped quarters along that stream. The Calumet Region awaited, and by 1881, North Chicago Rolling Mills was building its South Works at the mouth of the Calumet River, joining the Brown Ironworks (1875) which had built upstream and was to evolve into International Harvester’s Wisconsin Steel Company.

After the turn of the century, Indiana sites became more important, especially with Inland Steel (1901), Gary (1906), and Mark Manufacturing (1914). The evolution of these firms also illustrates the growing vertical and horizontal integration of the industry characteristic of the era: the very evolution of the name of South Works into Carnegie-Illinois into U.S. Steel suggests the ever expanding scope of operations and administration. When it was completed in 1962, Bethlehem Steel’s Burns Harbor, Indiana, plant was the last integrated steel facility to be built in the United States where materials moved all the way from raw form to finished product.
In spite of closures, the region is still critically important to the American steel industry. In 2014, five of the nation’s eleven integrated steel mills were located in the Calumet region, including its largest producer, ArcelorMittal’s Indiana Harbor works. In addition to the large integrated mills, there are several other key producers, including a plant that Russian-based Novolipetsk Steel purchased in Portage, Indiana in 2010. In a move reminiscent of North Chicago Rolling Mills more than a century before, A. Finkl and Sons moved from a plant by the North Branch of the Chicago River to the Calumet Region in Chicago in 2011.

Railroads were central to the ability to assemble raw materials and to distribute finished products to the market. The lines themselves had begun to come around the cul-de-sac at the end of Lake Michigan in the 1850s, connecting Chicago to the national grid by the time of the Civil War. After the war the number of lines increased, with multiple pathways between Chicago and the East Coast and Chicago and the South enhancing competition and ensuring that most places had rail service. (When this thick network began to be pruned in the later twentieth century, it would offer the region a wealth of “rails to trails” recreational opportunities.)

Building the lines through the Calumet region posed no problem: a map of railroads through the region shows a series of straight-line tangents cutting across the Calumet, and then markedly deviating from the “air line” when encountering the moraine country. While building in wetlands required some special engineering considerations, usually these were solved with relatively simple filled embankments.

Due to the network of railroads, farmers now had places to bring their produce for quick transport to the Chicago market. Farmers of the Calumet region began to specialize in milk, vegetables, and floral products, which because of their bulk and perishability were best produced near the major market. Stations and junctions blossomed into towns. Messages running on telegraph poles or in the mail and freight cars extended the “metropolitan corridor” through the region. Passengers on trains had a window on the regions they passed through as “scenery”, at first as a somewhat remote wetland region. Henry Chandler Cowles’s first experience of the Dunes in 1896 was a leg-stretching break when his train stopped for water. But by the turn of the twentieth century, factory smoke and steam filled the passengers’ views.15
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After the turn of the century, passengers and freight were increasingly carried through the region on steel framed railcars. Pullman was an iconic producer and operator of passenger cars, but was also a leading freight car manufacturer. By the 1930s, and through mergers with companies in Hammond and Michigan City, the Pullman-Standard Company was the nation’s leading railcar manufacturer. And it was not alone in its production of steel railcars in the region: other leading producers included Western Steel Car & Foundry in Hegewisch, Hicks Locomotive and Car Company in Chicago Heights, and General American Tank Car in East Chicago. Railroads like the Rock Island and Illinois Central had major shops in the region where cars were produced.

Once established in the region, the steel industry proved to be magnetically attractive to a variety of other related businesses. A further web of industrial and short line railroads like the Elgin, Joliet, and Eastern, Indiana Harbor Belt, and Belt Railroad of Chicago moved steel from mills to fabricators with relative ease. Steel supply companies, refractory manufacturers, and by-products producers burgeoned. Other firms were attracted by the availability of inexpensive steel in the context of location in the Chicago market, or by the region’s centrality to the national rail network, or by the region’s location immediately adjacent to agricultural areas. G.H. Hammond was attracted to the rail junction that would become its namesake city in 1869 and used ice cut from nearby Wolf Lake in his refrigerated railcars. Industrial facilities were located across the region in new industrial suburbs like Chicago Heights and Harvey or old country towns like Valparaiso and LaPorte. Urschel Laboratories in Valparaiso was founded in 1908 selling its Gooseberry Snipper to canneries in Michigan.

Building on the boom

Having grown to a critical mass during Borchert’s “Steel Rail” period, the coal-steel-rail complex remained integral during the next phase he names: the “Auto-Air-Amenity” period. In fact, there is a close relationship between the steel producers and the automotive industry.

Standard Oil established a refinery at Whiting in 1889, initially to refine crude from Indiana-Ohio oil fields into kerosene. As the automobile industry burgeoned and the demand for a wider variety of fuels surged, the refinery became a major supplier to the Midwestern gasoline consumer and the leading supplier of jet fuels to O’Hare and Midway airports. It has risen to become the sixth largest refinery in the United States.
Meanwhile, Ford has undertaken round after round of reinvestment at its Torrence Ave. plant in Hegewisch, where it has made everything from Model Ts to hybrids. In 1926, Ford’s architect Albert Kahn built one of his characteristically “modern” structures, a hangar at what is now Lansing Municipal Airport to house Ford Tri-Motor planes. In 1956, Ford Motor built a Stamping Plant along Lincoln Highway in Chicago Heights. Lincoln Highway itself was a pioneering coast-to-coast road built in the days before highway numbering, and the one-mile “ideal” stretch of road in Dyer set a path for what future divided highways might look like.

Currently, about a fifth of ArcelorMittal’s steel production is destined for automotive use worldwide, but Burns Harbor primarily serves the automobile industry and about two-fifths of Indiana Harbor’s market is automotive. Automotive customers are also highly important for U.S. Steel’s Gary Works. ArcelorMittal maintains a Research and Development facility in East Chicago designed by noted modernist architect Myron Goldsmith that is primarily oriented to the auto industry, including developing lighter car bodies for improved gasoline mileage.

Another key element of the “Auto-Air-Amenity” complex is the use of electricity. Industrial users are huge consumers and vast “cathedrals of power” such as the now demolished State Line Generating Station were built to serve the regional demand in the early twentieth century. In order to even out load factors in off-peak times (the relationship between actual use and peak capacity), systems-building utility industry managers like Samuel Insull encouraged the domestic consumption of electricity and also set up electrical street and interurban railroad systems. Like many metropolitan areas, the Calumet Region developed a set of “streetcar” suburbs with slightly larger homes for workers who could afford the fares. Unlike other metropolitan regions, the region retained an interurban rail line longer than anywhere else: the South Shore railroad is the last electric interurban in the country. It connects at Kensington in Chicago with the former Illinois Central electric line, the only line in Metra’s commuter rail network that uses electricity.  

The creation of a vast industrial complex drew on the combination of abundant rail and water connections. They worked like a huge magnet for industrial development, especially where rail met water. To create this magnet was a significant undertaking with far-reaching effects.
Re-arranging the waters

Much reworking of the landscape, particularly of hydrology, was indeed required in the Calumet region to make it industrially productive. This meant straightening the Calumet River, connecting to the Chicago and DesPlaines rivers systems, filling and draining wetlands, and adding land to Lake Michigan.

Work began in earnest in 1870, when the Army Corps of Engineers built structures three hundred feet out into the lake on either side of the Calumet River to prevent the relentless sand from filling in the mouth. Then they dredged a channel in a soggy swale to the junction with the Grand Calumet, and soon made several serious, though ultimately futile, efforts to make the Grand Calumet navigable east of that junction through Hammond. Industrial activity began in earnest with the movement of the forerunner of the South Works of U.S. Steel to the river mouth in 1875. (Today, as if to declare the Grand Calumet off-limits to navigation, a sunken vessel named the Baby Doll, marks the river’s entry into the main stem of the Calumet.) By 1871, the first cargo ships called at Calumet Harbor. Since 1906, when Calumet Harbor surpassed Chicago Harbor in tonnage received, it has been the city’s principal port. 17

Navigation interests became more organized with the establishment of the Chicago Harbor Commission in 1908. The Commission forwarded a number of proposals to improve navigation, but it is important to note that it also oversaw, with the completion of the Cal-Sag Channel in 1922, the reversal of the flow of the Calumet River. Subsequent widenings made the potential reverse flow even greater. By 1965, when the O’Brien Lock and Dam was constructed just south of 130th Street in Chicago, the drainage system and pattern of flow had been completely altered. Today, that dam serves as the continental divide between the waters of the Mississippi and the Great Lakes. 18

A somewhat similar chain of events unfolded in Indiana. In 1901, work began to create Indiana Harbor and to connect it to the Grand Calumet River via the Indiana Harbor Ship Canal. When Gary was developed in 1906, the Grand Calumet River was relocated about a half mile south of its historic course, and now ran through banks of masonry and slag for several miles. In 1926, the Burns Ditch—now Burns Waterway—connected the upper reaches of the Little Calumet River with Lake Michigan just east of Ogden Dunes. These canals and diversions not only made it possible for port development, but they also served to drain the marshes, to create a new set of passages where storm runoff could go, and to provide pathways for invasive aquatic species to enter the river system. A check dam at the Indiana Harbor Canal sends most waters east of East Chicago into Lake Michigan via that canal. West of that structure, environmental managers now consider the Grand Calumet a part of the Illinois Waterway system. West of Burns Waterway, waters of the Little Calumet River also head toward the Illinois Waterway. 19

Since there is such a shortage of natural drainage in the area, a network of municipal sewer feeders and mains has been created across the region that speeds storm water to the waterway system. A set of “interceptors” paralleling the Lake Michigan shores catches runoff before it can move into the lake and, driven by huge pumps, channels it to area sewage treatment facilities. This was accompanied by the same raising of street grade seen in downtown Chicago, although in the poorer communities of the Calumet region, property owners rarely jacked up their buildings to the new grade level. A common sight across the region is to see homes with first floor below street grade connected by bridged entrances from “vaulted sidewalks” abutting the street to a main entry relocated to the second floor. 20

To vault across the newly tamed waterways, a web of landmark bridges were constructed. The bridges include a set of truss bridges over the Channel at Blue Island, Illinois, the Chicago Landmark lift bridges and the adjacent Chicago Skyway over the main stem of the Calumet River, the Bridgeworkers memorial bridge that once vaulted over the Indiana Harbor Canal in East Chicago, and the trunion bascule 106th Street bridge in Chicago, whose status even today as the busiest in this city of bridges testifies to the incessance of river traffic in this reach.

The O’Brien Lock and Dam separates the waters of the Great Lakes and the Mississippi River.
Re-making the land

As government created access to land and transportation infrastructure, industries, attracted by the waterfront location and cheap land soon began to alter their sites. The growing scale of late nineteenth century industrial operations meant that factories themselves were larger and internally and externally linked to each other in “integrated” fashion. They needed docking and rail facilities to receive raw materials and to ship finished products. They needed land to stockpile raw materials and parts. They needed room to grow. Frequently, they also needed room to dump waste. The Calumet area not only had lower land costs (a function of distance from the congested Chicago real estate market) but it also had sheer size. 21

The regional attractions for industrial development that exerted so strong a pull to South Chicago soon extended across the state line. Being further from the city of Chicago meant that land costs were cheaper and competing urban land uses less were of a factor. A thick web of trunk rail lines already coursed through the area by the time heavy industrial development began in earnest. The sandy lakefront could easily be pushed aside to make way for port facilities and urban development. And while Illinois’s Public Trust Doctrine required that any lakefilling proceed for the public benefit, Indiana allowed private expansion into Lake Michigan. 22

The railroads were the “first major change agents of the landscape.” Railroad rights-of-way had an enormous impact on the area that went beyond the noise, smoke, and danger of the rolling trains. Their embankments fragmented wetlands and altered drainage patterns. Hot cinders started prairie fires. Maintenance of way crews trimmed and pruned back vegetation, in later years applying pesticides to the task. Railroads demanded water and sand, both regional specialties.

Not only did they project their own level grades across a subtly undulating landscape, cutting here and filling there, but they also fostered land re-shaping trackside industries. They hauled “astronomical” amounts of sand from convenient locations in the dunes country. Where clay soils predominated, clay pits and brickyards clustered along the tracks. Post-fire Chicago was a huge market for bricks, new wood frame housing construction having been banned within the city limits. In 1927 it was said that “by far the most of the clay products used in the region of Chicago are of local manufacture” and in that year Cook County ranked “as the foremost brick-producing county in the United States.” Most brickyards have now closed, but the associated pits frequently remain. 23

Sand was a spectacular resource by itself. Sand mining was an important industry in Porter and Lake counties, even before the steel industry came. Thousands of railroad cars of sand were exported to help fill Grant Park in downtown Chicago after the Chicago Fire. Railroads required sand for locomotives to increase friction on steel rails and steel driving wheels when getting underway. Much of the material for building Chicago’s elevated rail embankments in the first quarter of the twentieth century came from the dunes. By 1927 it was said that “whole trainloads are daily hauled away.”
Glassmaking firms such as Ball Glass of Muncie and Pittsburgh Plate Glass of Kokomo removed tons of sand, much of it from the Hoosier Slide dune that towered over Michigan City, in the first two decades of the century. The result was that huge sections of the dune country—as much as a square mile at a time—were leveled of this defining material.24

Leveling land on the one hand, industry made land on the other. The distribution of “made land” in the Calumet region roughly aligns with the areas of heaviest industrial usage, and even the casual reader of a regional road map could pick out the relatively geometric projections that encroach on Lake Michigan. From approximately 75th Street in Chicago southward, and around to Miller Beach in Gary and then a hopscotch over Ogden Dunes to Burns Harbor, the Lake Michigan shoreline is made land. To be sure, some of this is civic or recreational space, as at Rainbow Beach and Calumet Park in Chicago, or the Hammond and Whiting parks. To make land for parks is a Chicagoland tradition that precedes even the Burnham plan.

But the most extensive made land was put there by industry. From west to east, these are the significant portions of made land and the companies that built them: U.S. Steel and Youngstown Sheet and Tube in South Chicago, Commonwealth Edison at the State Line, Amoco Oil in Whiting, Youngstown Sheet and Tube and Inland Steel (on its huge peninsula) in East Chicago, and the various facilities of U.S. Steel in Gary. Eleven million cubic yards of sand were moved when the U.S. Steel Gary Works was built, much of it pumped onto the site from Lake Michigan.25 Dune mining continued into the 1960s at Burns Harbor, where in addition to removing some of the highest and most spectacular of the sand dunes, Bethlehem Steel built part of its new operations on fill in Lake Michigan. According to Schoon, between 1900 and the late 1970s, more than 3,775 acres—roughly six square miles—of Lake Michigan in Indiana were filled in.26

At times the fill used to create this new land was a byproduct of the industrial operations themselves. When making steel a residue called slag is created, and a great problem in the industry is what to do with it. Using it as Lake Michigan fill was highly attractive to South Works and to Inland Steel, which had big peninsulas to build. But the steel manufacturers who were a few miles from Lake Michigan like Wisconsin Steel and Republic Steel had no such place to put it. The solution? The slag would simply be dumped into adjacent wetlands. The showy process by which Wisconsin Steel dumped hot slag in the South Deering community area fascinated neighborhood onlookers and dating high-schoolers and bequeathed a local neighborhood name—Slag Valley.
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Other types of industrial land use created striking landscape changes. For example, large portions of land in the Tolleston strandplain of Northwest Indiana are given over to the storage of crude and refined petroleum in tank farms. In case of leakage from an individual tank, each one is set within a bermed, graded, and drained containment area, the entire group constituting a checkboard-like grid that can stretch for a mile or more. These tank farms cover more than a thousand acres.

In various ways, then, building on the impetus provided by public agencies such as the Army Corps of Engineers, private industries played a geomorphologic role in rearranging the physical landscape of the region: they cut down the heights and filled in the lowlands and thereby flattened an already flat terrain. In the process, soggy land was made dry; shallow waters were made to run more deeply; and the boundary between land and water, formerly subject to great daily, seasonal, and annual fluctuations—if indeed a “boundary” existed at all—was fixed tightly in place. 27

Attracting workers, building communities

Industrial expansion not only brought shattering change to the lands and waters of the Calumet region in the “Steel-Rail” period, it also changed the lives of thousands of people. Drawn to work in a previously sparsely settled region where industrial plants of unprecedented scope now operated, many people made epic voyages from points around the compass to work where labor was demanded. In environments dominated by the needs of production, families and communities built resilient and diverse communities.

Historian Ann Durkin Keating examined the origins of two hundred thirty-three nineteenth-century and early twentieth-century settlements in the “Chicagoland” area and classified them according to the nature of their origin as farm center, industrial town, commuter rail suburb, or recreational/institutional center. Table 1 presents Keating’s data and further breaks it down into Calumet region (the study area boundary) and non-Calumet region.

![Image of Wisconsin Steel dumping slag in Slag Valley.](image)

From left: Wisconsin Steel dumping slag in Slag Valley. Slag Valley was located northeast of the mill and just west of the Slag Valley/Veterans Park neighborhood, which made it an easy place for residents to view the dumping. Rows of petroleum storage tanks fill former wetlands spaces in northwest Indiana.

Sign cautions workers in five languages at U.S. Steel South Works.

TABLE 1: Community type by impetus for origin, Chicago and Calumet regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Settlement Type</th>
<th>Chicagoland</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Calumet</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Non-Calumet</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural trade centers</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite cities/industrial towns</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad commuter suburbs</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational/institutional towns</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Keating, Chicagoland.
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The table offers important clues into the residential structure of the Calumet region that make it such a distinctive and significant landscape:

- More than half of the communities in the Calumet area found their origin as industrial suburbs or satellite cities. More than twice as many communities were founded on this basis in the Calumet region than in areas outside the Calumet. More than a third of all the industrial-origin communities in the Chicago area are to be found in the Calumet region.

- The Calumet region has no railroad commuter suburbs, a type with which the Chicago-region is well supplied and, indeed, according to Keating, is “a factor that makes Chicago distinctive.”

- The Calumet has a backcloth of agricultural settlement similar to the rest of Chicagoland. These are important local examples of the transformation of farming regions in the shadow of large-scale industrial urbanization.

### TABLE 2: Industrial-origin communities/satellite cities (by date of foundation/incorporation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Dominant Industry</th>
<th>Population in 1930</th>
<th>% Foreign Born</th>
<th>Significant Ethnicities</th>
<th>% Black</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater Grand Crossing CA</td>
<td>1850s</td>
<td>RR junction</td>
<td>60,007</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>Irish, German, Swedish, Italian</td>
<td>.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Chicago CA</td>
<td>1870s</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>56,683</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>Polish, Italian, Mexican, Serbian, Croatian</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Side CA</td>
<td>1870s</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>16,839</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>German, Swedish, Croatian, Slovenian, Serbian, Italian</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Deering CA</td>
<td>1870s</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>7,898</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>Irish, Swedish, Mexican</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullman CA</td>
<td>1880s</td>
<td>Railcars</td>
<td>6,705</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>Italian, Polish</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Pullman CA</td>
<td>1900s</td>
<td>Farm machinery, Paint</td>
<td>28,474</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>German, Scandinavian, Italian, Polish, Hungarian, Lithuanian, Armenian</td>
<td>.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hegewisch CA</td>
<td>1890s</td>
<td>Railcars</td>
<td>7,890</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>Polish, Serbian, Croatian, Czech, Swedish, Irish</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td>Railcars</td>
<td>26,734</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>1883</td>
<td>Railcars</td>
<td>64,560</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Chicago</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>54,784</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>Bricks</td>
<td>5,787</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Diverse</td>
<td>16,374</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Heights</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>22,321</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>Italian, Polish, Slovak, Lithuanian, Irish</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>1892</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>2,504</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Irish, Swedish, German</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>Petroleum Refining</td>
<td>10,880</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>Slovak, Croatian, Finnish, German, Hungarian, Irish, Polish</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steger</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Pianos</td>
<td>2,985</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesterton</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>RR junction</td>
<td>2,231</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>Dutch, Polish</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posen</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>4,517</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenwood</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>RR depot</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffith</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>Junction</td>
<td>1,176</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>Steel</td>
<td>100,426</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>Italian, Greek, Polish, Russian, Serbian, Croatian, Mexican</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnham</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calumet City</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>12,298</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>Polish, German, Irish</td>
<td>.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazel Crest</td>
<td>1911</td>
<td>Railyards</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>Polish, Italian, Serbian</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markham</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Railyards</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “CA” = Chicago Community Area. “Date of Foundation” is date of incorporation for municipalities. For community areas, it is the first effective date of industrialization. “Dominant industries” and “Significant ethnicities” are as mentioned in community entries in the Encyclopedia of Chicago, approximately in 1930. 24.9% of the City of Chicago was foreign born in 1930. 6.9% of the city’s population was African-American.

Source: Keating, *Chicagoland*, p. 73; Chicago Encyclopedia, Appendix
Who were the people who came to these new communities? In relatively short order, an industrial labor force was assembled from many parts of the world. While some workers came to the region from Western and Northern Europe, Southern and Eastern Europe were especially strong source regions until the disruption of World War I and new immigration restrictions shortly thereafter. At that time, labor recruiters turned to the American South and to Mexico. By 1930, the region had an extraordinary diversity of cultures. In every one of the industrial origin communities, foreign born population exceeded the national average of 11.6%. A number of them had significantly higher concentrations than the City of Chicago’s 24.9%.

In 1930, very strong ethnic pockets of one community or another existed across the region. Strong attachments to nationally-based churches, schools, social halls, savings societies, and taverns fostered highly local—even isolated—place identification. There were especially strong concentrations of people from Armenia, Bohemia, Croatia, Finland, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Lithuania, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, Russia, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Sweden in the various communities across the region.

Mexican *colonias* had become established in the steelmaking communities of South Chicago, South Deering, and Gary. Chicago’s oldest Hispanic neighborhood had only recently developed in what Historian Michael Innis-Jimenez called the “Steel Barrio” of South Chicago when Mexican immigrants came to work at South Works in 1919. By 1924, the oldest Mexican church in Chicago, Our Lady of Guadalupe, was founded. 29

Churches in the Calumet region typically reflected the ethnic makeup of the surrounding area, with single congregations often being composed primarily of a single ethnic group that gathered at church for worship, social interaction, and civic causes. With significant neighborhood demographic changes in recent decades, large Roman Catholic parishes, like those of the Cathedral of the Holy Angels in Gary (bottom right) and St. Michael’s in the South Chicago neighborhood (top center), have welcomed more ethically and racially diverse congregations. Our Lady of Guadalupe (top left), the oldest Mexican American Catholic Parish in Chicago, continues to have a strong Mexican identity, but, as the National Shrine of St. Jude, it welcomes Catholics from across the country for worship. Some churches continue to reflect strong ethnic identity, but in new locations. St. Simeon Mirotocivi (bottom left) was built in 1980, to serve an expanding Serbian population on Chicago’s East Side, while the former Serbian St. Archangel Michael (top right) in South Chicago is now home to the Ethiopian Orthodox Church of Madhame-Amem.
At a time when the formation of Chicago’s Black Belt was in full swing in the Bronzeville area, only a few places in the Calumet region attracted a significant portion of African-Americans. Only Gary and Phoenix, Illinois contained a larger concentration than the City of Chicago’s 6.9%.

How to adequately house this burgeoning population of workers and their families and to build up a satisfying urban infrastructure was a question that occasionally drew nationally significant answers. Landmark planned communities include Solon Beman’s Pullman, Charles van Doren Shaw’s Marktown, and East Chicago’s Sunnyside community. When Gary was developed in 1906, it represented an extraordinary opportunity to lay out an industrial development and a related town on modern planning principles. But many contemporary observers felt that US Steel missed the chance to make an urban planning mark. As Graham Taylor wrote, “While it may fall short in its community features, there are those who see in it an extraordinary degree of industrial strategy.” Industrial priorities included monopolizing the lakefront for industrial use, building an infrastructure-rich enclave for executives, and leaving much of the low-income housing provision for immigrant and African American labor deliberately to the margins. South of the Wabash tracks, “The Patch” had no paved roads, water, or sewer and quickly became a slum. In the words of historian James Lane, “because of U.S. Steel’s limited concept of town planning, two strikingly different Garys emerged: one neat and scenic, the other chaotic and squalid.”

Some housing in the region was innovative, such as the concrete Edison Concept Houses in Gary and Frank Lloyd Wright’s Foster House and Stable in the Stewart Ridge community of Chicago. But more often than not housing was built through the private market with a growing mixture of vernacular styles and sizes. In the first decades of industrialization, residential communities developed near the factory gates – including in Pullman and Marktown. After electric streetcars became common in the 1890s, those who could afford it tended to move away from the smoke, sound, and smell of the factory. In the South Chicago area, for example, the neighborhood of the “Bush” was most beset by noise and smoke from the nearby South Works; those who could afford to migrated to the East Side, long “considered a suburb” of South Chicago. The Woodmar subdivision of Hammond allowed residents to move “out of the smoke zone and into the ozone.”

Streets along which the streetcars ran were lined with shops, offices, and public buildings. Notable among them were Commercial in South Chicago, Hohman in Hammond, and Broadway in Gary. Automobiles became relatively common in the Calumet district after about 1920, and more widespread after World War II. Of course they spawned “roadside America” landscapes common elsewhere in the United States, and not particularly unique to the Calumet. What it did increasingly signal, however, was the possibility to make a move even further from the factory gates and beyond the reach of the streetcars. Factory gates themselves needed to include extensive areas of parking for commuting workers.
Moving away became one response to racial issues. Struggles erupted over schooling, housing, and politics that had national resonance. In an era when post-World War II African American migration continued to climb, already limited housing options were further closed off through discriminatory real estate and lending practices, violence, and legally enforced segregation through restrictive covenants. African-American settlement in the region was typically confined to discrete districts like mid-town Gary, the “Millgate” in South Chicago, or the pioneering “All-Negro Town” of Robbins, Illinois. In 1917, to answer the housing demand by a growing population of African Americans in Gary, U.S. Steel constructed an entire segregated district – the “Steel Mill Quarter”. In 1945, the historic but isolated Altgeld Gardens housing project was built in Chicago to house returning African American veterans. Conflict in Chicago’s steelmaking Trumbull Park neighborhood emerged in 1953 when Black families attempted to move into public housing. This and other hostile reactions to an integrated racial pattern of public housing provision triggered a response by city authorities that, according to Arnold Hirsch, led to “making the second ghetto.” Richard Hatcher’s election as the first African American Mayor in America in Gary in 1967 sped these processes of white flight and the creation of a “dual metropolis” that were already underway. The duality settled into place just as the boom in steel industry employment was coming to end.

Labor takes a stand

By 1920 one out of five manufacturing workers in the Chicago metropolitan area worked in the area’s leading “Iron and Steel Products” employment group, most of it concentrated in Calumet. For labor as well as capital, the Calumet region was defined by its heavy industry.

Worker’s struggles for better conditions, wages, and rights captured national attention in the Pullman strike of 1893. The strike was triggered when, during the middle of an economic downturn, Pullman cut wages but not rents in the homes in the company town. Eugene Debs and the American Railway Union took the lead in representing the workers and settled on the tactic of refusing to handle trains that carried Pullman-operated cars. Within days this had the effect of stymieing the nation’s rail system. A few days after the strike began, Debs held a major meeting in Blue Island, site of the Rock Island railyard, to try to win support for the strike from rail workers there. Some violence ensued. Days later, when President Cleveland mobilized troops to ensure trains would move again, they were sent to rail junctions like Blue

Some places of great historical significance no longer exist, but continue to be important to locals’ sense of place and community pride. One such place was the Robbins Airstrip; founded, owned and operated by African American aviators including Bessie Coleman and John C. Robinson (on the right). It housed an aviation school for African Americans that ultimately provided instructors and a model for the training of the Tuskegee Airmen. The airstrip was destroyed by a tornado in 1933.

Workers’ rights to protest, assemble, strike, and bargain were won at great cost, including the 1937 Memorial Day Massacre in Chicago.
Island and Hammond. After the strike ended, Congress established Labor Day, a significant marker on the national path toward better working conditions and living standards for all Americans. As President Obama noted when announcing the creation of the National Monument in 2015, “this site is at the heart of what would become America’s Labor Movement…”

That path had many turns and switchbacks. In 1937, workers at Republic Steel joined a mass strike of 85,000 workers from other plants as part of an organizing effort by the Steel Workers Organizing Committee of the Congress of Industrial Organizations. Met by 200 Chicago policemen at a Memorial Day demonstration, ten workers were shot dead by the police. The Steelworkers Organizing Committee won recognition from U.S. Steel in 1937, and by 1942 SWOC had become the United Steelworkers International Union of America.

The effort to widen the path to be inclusive of all workers is memorialized at the A. Philip Randolph Pullman Porter Museum. Randolph’s organization of the nation’s first African American union, the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, can be seen as an innovation in American history on par with the entrepreneurialism of the man who built the Pullman Company. It also points again to the steely mesh of interconnection between the region’s economy and its railroads, and the far-reaching effects it had on everyday American life.

Resilient nature, resilient people

The patchwork industrial development of the Calumet region did not create wall to wall industry. Some land was held by industry for its future use; other areas were platted for residences but were never built up; and other land was eyed for future development but time passed before action could be taken. The effect was that amidst the scenes of what David Nye has called the American “technological sublime,” “nature” persisted. Where it did, it helped to create the extraordinary juxtaposition of industry and ecology that characterizes the region today, especially in the wetlands and the dunes.

Remnant wetlands and natural areas. Wetlands had a chance to survive if they were located at some remove from the main watercourses and from the major rail junctions. Even here, however, “survival” might just be a phase in a cycle of land acquisition, subdivision, construction, abandonment, and/or neglect. Indian Ridge Marsh at 122nd Street and Torrence Avenue in Chicago—a haven for marsh birds—was a platted subdivision for most of the twentieth century that was never built out. Street grades, never lined with structures, cut across the dune and swale topography of the Shirley Heineze Land Trust’s Ivanhoe South preserve in Gary, a story repeated at a number of ecological restoration sites. Where sewer systems were installed in the dune and swale landscape, the ridges were drained of moisture and scrub oaks shriveled in response. Van Vlissingen Prairie was owned by the Norfolk Southern Railroad adjacent to its 103rd Street intermodal yard. After World War II era industrial structures on the prairie were developed, the railroad considered expanding the yard onto the site for decades. Hegewisch Marsh’s one hundred acres were about half covered over with railroad tracks and structures of the nearby steel supply warehouse operation. When they were removed, the Marsh slowly recovered. Wolf Lake and Lake Calumet, were simply too big to be filled entirely, though they are far smaller now than they were one hundred years ago. 31

The result of being passed by for real estate development was to create islands of water or patches of relatively undisturbed vegetation in a sea of dry land and urbanization. For some the interest was in the remaining waterfowl—hunting or “sportsmen’s” clubs sprouted at especially rich locations. Others preferred to shoot the birds with spotting scopes or cameras, and a birding avocation took flight through the twentieth century. For area children, the wetlands could be places to hunt frogs and to escape the neighborhood. Some corners of the region could be used for partying or for drag racing.
Scientists were aware of the riches of these places. After the founding of the University of Chicago a few miles to the north in 1892, the area attracted botanizing faculty and graduate students. Cowles’s student Norma Pfeiffer collected the endemic plant called *Thismia americana* in the shadow of a metallurgical coking facility in 1911. The plant was last seen in 1916.

**The dunes region.** Of course Cowles and his colleagues were also aware of the uniqueness of the dunes, which after the establishment of Gary seemed to be the next lakefront property in line for industrialization. In 1916, Cowles’s colleague Rollin D. Salisbury noted,

> The dunes are going and more are to go. I do not think we should stop it altogether, because the head of Lake Michigan is so advantageously situated for industrial development that industries must develop there...[But] it seems to me...that we of this city shall be negligent – it appears to me almost criminally negligent – with reference to future generations, if we do not do all that we can to secure the permanent preservation of a generous and well-selected tract, for the use of ourselves, and of the generations to come.

Indeed 1916 was the year when agitation for a Dunes National Park to be a part of the new National Park Service reached its peak. The park’s strongest political constituency was Chicago-based, centered on the Prairie Club (founded in 1908) whose members included Cowles, Carl Sandburg, Jane Addams, and landscape architect Jens Jensen. The effort enjoyed strong endorsement by NPS Superintendent Stephen Mather and 42 people testified strongly in favor at hearings held in Chicago that year. The war intervened, but by 1926 the Indiana Dunes State Park had been created.

But the challenge posed to natural areas by industrialization had another chapter. It was a story shot through with the themes we’ve already discussed: the steel industry’s desires for sprawling lakeside operations (Bethlehem Steel, in this case); economic development interests re-making hydrology (The Port of Indiana, in this case); removal of dune sand during construction; and a strong citizen reaction, led by Dorothy Buell of Save the Dunes Council. The result was also characteristic of the Calumet: a creative
compromise led by Illinois Senator Paul Douglas that in 1966 resulted in the creation of the Port of Indiana, the country’s first National Lakeshore, and its last integrated steelworks, dividing the Park in two.

At its industrial peak, the Calumet region muscled its way into the senses. In 1969, Mayer and Wade wrote:

*Huge blast furnaces and rolling mills, acres of stockpiled ore, coal, and stone, towering grain elevators, the exposed tubing of chemical and paint works, large gantry cranes hovering over wharves and ships, and mile upon mile of drab, almost sullen buildings, crowded in around the water. Fire and smoke charged into the sky as a constant reminder to the world of Chicago’s brute industrial strength. Most people were appalled by the dirt, pollution, and ugliness of the scene, but to some there was an elemental beauty to the rough shapes and raw power embodied in this steaming jungle of steel and brick and concrete.*

The elemental beauty changed again when the fires went out. By the turn of the 21st century, regional narratives spoke of “Rusted Dreams”, of a “Drossscape”, and of what anthropologist Christine Walley called “Exit Zero”, a place filled with brownfields and industrial relics, and, most importantly, dislocated people and communities. How would people respond? 33

**“Fire and smoke charged into the sky…”**

**“Soot in the air meant food on the table.”**

The “technological sublime” in East Chicago harbor.

---

### PART THREE

**“Exit Zero,” or “On the Path to Sustainability”**

A textbook landscape with a textbook economic history, including its booms and its busts, the Calumet region now finds itself at the center of efforts to write the new text on the next American city. New paths to sustainability and land conservation in an urban context are being marked in the region. The text draws on the wellsprings of heritage and innovation every step of the way, from brownfield redevelopment to education and stewardship to recreation to creative partnerships focused on sustainable development.

Since 1980, the region’s economy has changed markedly, as large-scale facilities have closed or shed jobs, all too frequently leaving joblessness and contaminated brownfields in their wake. How to build a productive job-providing regional economy is a major Calumet issue. This fate befell other places in the American Manufacturing Belt, and, indeed, what happened to all of them is one of the most significant national stories of the past four decades. While major investments in traditional Calumet industries such as oil, steel, and automobiles continue, the region is also home to intriguing “creative placemaking”

---

From top: A float from the annual Popcorn Festival rolls past local shops in Valparaiso; South Chicago retains its neighborhood character.
efforts, replete with vibrant main streets, arts and entertainment districts, and tourism-related developments that capitalize on the unparalleled crossroads character of the region and its cultural and natural assets. A major impetus for the National Heritage Area effort in the Calumet area is to turn the regional narrative from one of loss and destruction, to one that builds on assets of natural and cultural heritage. That sense is taking hold, another turn in the changing historical perception of the value of this area.

Deindustrialization

In the 1970s, the Calumet region was still the quintessential “blue-collar community” and the “land of the millrats”. But by the first part of the twenty-first century, far more people worked in white-collar occupations than blue-collar.

The reasons for industrial decline are many. Increased global competition, corporate failure to keep technological pace, difficult choices made by unions, changing structure of demand, and increased energy costs have all been mentioned prominently in the discussion of the industrial decline of the Calumet region. With so many of its eggs in the railroad-era industrial basket—in classification yards and shops, in railcar manufacture, in making steel for rails—the region suffered when the nation’s economy shifted away from rail to highway transportation.

The outcome was a greatly weakened industrial sector and widespread job loss. Table 3 shows some of the large industrial facilities that closed in the period after 1970. Other firms radically downsized their employment as they modernized their facilities.

Sudden and severe loss of employment caused social dislocation, population loss, economic decline, and the creation of brownfields. Patterns of job loss varied across the region, although unemployment rates tended to soar above statewide averages. Twenty-thousand jobs were lost in primary metals manufacture in Chicago alone in the decade of the 1980s. Losses continued through the 1990s, and across the entire region, the number of people holding manufacturing jobs declined 22% from 1990 to 2000.

Scholars have studied the Calumet region through a lens of resilience. This book display features works by authors and presenters at the 2013 Calumet Heritage Conference held in Pullman.

Table 3: SELECTED CLOSURES OF LARGE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Closed</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Peak Jobs</th>
<th>Other Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Youngstown Sheet &amp; Tube</td>
<td>South Chicago</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>Iroquois Steel; Later LTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975s</td>
<td>GATX</td>
<td>East Chicago</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>General American Tank Car Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Wisconsin Steel</td>
<td>South Deering</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>South Works (U.S. Steel)</td>
<td>Pullman; Hammond; Michigan City</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>North Chicago Rolling Mills, Illinois Steel, Carnegie Steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990s</td>
<td>Valley Mould &amp; Iron</td>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>100s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Republic Steel</td>
<td>East Side</td>
<td>6,335</td>
<td>Later LTV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Acme Steel</td>
<td>South Deering</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>Byproducts Coke; Federal Furnace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: “Company” is the name most commonly used with this facility; “other names” refer to antecedent or successor names. “Peak Jobs” is approximate based on various sources; peak years vary with the firm. Source: Chicago Encyclopedia.
While 16,000 people who lived on the southeast side of Chicago worked in manufacturing in 1990, by the year 2000 that number had dropped to 9,000. For a "blue collar" community, it is especially telling that this figure accounts for only 5.5% of the employment by industry for regional residents. The number of people employed in manufacturing increased in only five of the sixty-five census tracts in this corner of Chicago.  

Many people chose to leave the region to find other opportunities. While the overall Calumet region has gained population since 1990, that growth has been concentrated in previous open lands on the suburban margins of Indiana. Lakefront urban core cities all lost population during the ‘90s, including a 12% loss in the city of Gary and 27% drop in the neighborhood across the street from South Works. East Chicago was reduced to 56% of its 1960 population size. (Gary is 58%; Whiting is 63%; and Hammond, 74%).

Job loss and population decline have had other strong effects. Many people—traumatized by job loss; wracked by community change; frustrated by uncertain prospects—are left holding what David Bensman and Roberta Lynch call “rusted dreams.” Social service providers tried to keep pace with increased instances of substance abuse, family difficulties, and mental illness. Housing vacancies and abandonments have rippled through communities. Once vibrant commercial districts, already competing with newly constructed regional malls such as River Oaks and Southlake, downsized and long iconic businesses closed or moved onward to suburbia. Some areas are distinctly derelict.

The decline in industrial activity had another effect: it lowered traffic on the ports and railroads and helped to create large areas of dormant dockside facilities. Traffic along the river once served a number of fabricators; today an increasing amount of land is given over to the far less labor intensive bulk storage and transshipment industry.

Deindustrialization, and the white flight that preceded and accompanied it, has had a profound effect in fostering a “dual metropolis”: large areas of the historic core industrial cities occupied mostly by people of color surrounded by generally more affluent and whiter suburbs. The retail core and service sector employment in northwest Indiana has migrated southward to places like Merrillville, Munster, and Hobart. Relocated ethnic outposts have developed, with new churches and community centers being constructed far from their former sites in the core. Many employees at lakefront industries now live in these outer locations. This has served to extend the boundaries of the functionally connected region.

A Rustbelt landscape: challenges and responses

The Calumet region is an instance of what Alan Berger called a “drossscape,” a waste landscape resulting from deindustrialization and suburbanization. It is replete with ongoing releases to air, land, and water; brownfields; superfund sites; and more than its fair share of sanitary landfills. Rising from the drossscape, however, are nationally significant stories of resistance and recovery.
The term is pejorative, but it expresses a nationally significant reality as one outcome from the “Steel-Rail” period of American development. It is important to come to terms with this aspect of the “Rustbelt” and to state with conviction that this aspect of American history cannot be forgotten. At the same time, it is important to know this landscape because the next phase for regions like this are now underway. For us to understand transformation, it is important to know what has been transformed. Yesterday’s challenges may again become tomorrow’s assets.

One key element of the drosscape is continuing pollution-generating economic activity. Numerous industrial and commercial facilities still operate productively in the Calumet region, which is a landscape that fills not only the eyes but also the ears and nose. Byproducts of their activity are the wastes emitted to air, water, soil, or groundwater. Health issues of particular importance to residents include lead poisoning, asthma, skin rashes, and pesticide poisoning. Since 1986, companies need to report their releases from a list of 755 chemicals to the Toxic Release Inventory. The map of hazardous substance-producing or using facilities that appear in this annual report neatly describes the industrial core of the Calumet region.

The legacy of polluting industries is registered in the region’s large number of brownfield sites and polluted waters. Brownfields occur especially when unknown levels of contamination from prior activity deter reinvestment and reuse, especially when suburban “greenfields” appear to be less expensive, more extensive, and better connected to the freeway grid than railroad-oriented central city industrial properties. The most significant brownfield sites are those Superfund sites where the known contaminants must undergo costly cleanup. By one count there are more than twenty-five past and present seriously contaminated sites. And site-by-site cleanup may still not capture the long-term effects of windblown pollutants across vast territories. East Chicago’s West Calumet neighborhood is now facing housing displacement and disruptive soil cleanup of pollutants emitted by the now closed and cleaned up USS Lead Smelter when it was still operating decades ago.

The aquatic equivalent to brownfields are contaminated streams. The Grand Calumet River is nationally significant in being the only one of 43 EPA designated “Areas of Concern” that fails every one of the criteria “beneficial use impairments”.

Industry and nature exist side-by-side in the Calumet region. Operating industries of a certain size are required to report annual releases of major chemical substances to air, water, land, and landfill. Such facilities exist in close proximity to natural areas.
While Chicago area wastes have long ended up in the Calumet region, this trend increased rapidly in the past thirty years with the development of numerous sanitary landfills. Some landfills present serious issues of leaching, slope instability, and odor.

Significantly, and while not minimizing the challenge the region faces to make its lands and waters safe for people and for nature, there is positive movement to remove each one of these drosscape components in a way that points a way forward for the nation. For example:

- Overall toxic releases are down across the region since 1986. At the site of one of the largest emitters, and in response to a consent decree with the EPA for Clean Air Act violations, the BP Refinery in Whiting has established a fenceline system of air monitors and make the data collected available to the public.

- Fresh methods to tackle brownfields have been devised. The world’s largest urban solar array now covers a former brownfield site in the West Pullman neighborhood of Chicago, which has had an active brownfield recovery program dating back to its pathbreaking Brownfields Forum in 1995. The forum prompted new state laws that limit the liability of current landowners and that provide specific guidelines as to “how clean is clean.” This “tiered approach to cleanup objectives” takes specific account of the future land use of the site: if it is to be industrial in the future, cleanup objectives are a little more lenient than if the future use is to be residential. New ways to restore brownfields have been studied, such as “mining” remnant iron from the slag that covers so much of the region or using trees to take heavy metals into their vascular systems and then capturing the residue. Increasing interest in brownfield redevelopment signals a “paradigm shift” in urban land use planning: yesterday’s liabilities are today’s opportunities.

- Two of the beneficial use impairments have now been de-listed from the Grand Calumet River. Toxics in the river itself have been either capped or removed, and sixty-five acres of restored open space in the floodplain have been created.

- Gas recovery and recreational development characterize several sanitary landfill sites.

Berger thinks that “drosscapes have few stakeholders, caretakers, guardians, or spokespersons.” But this is not the case in the Calumet region. A number of the achievements listed above could not have happened without strong action by environmental advocates, such as the Alliance for the Great Lakes, Chicago Legal Clinic, Grand Calumet Task Force, Hoosier Environmental Council, and national organizations like the Sierra Club and National Resources Defense Council.

The environmental justice movement, which had one of its national points of origin in Chicago’s Calumet Region, continues to be a local force well into the new millennium. Above, Cheryl Johnson, of People for Community Recovery (PCR), continues the pioneering work of her mother Hazel Johnson, here speaking out against the development of a new coal to gas plant on Chicago’s Southeast Side. The project was ultimately cancelled. Below, the sign outside a United Methodist youth center, located in a former United Steelworkers Hall, advertises a forum on Environmental Justice. It brought together groups like PCR and Southeast Environmental Task Force, a coalition that successfully pressured the city to tighten regulations on the bulk storage of petcoke along the banks of the Calumet River.
Strong locally-based organizations advanced a pioneering interest in environmental justice. The modern struggle for environmental justice in the Calumet area has national implication, and not only because President Barack Obama began his political career working on environmental issues requiring citizen action in the Calumet region. He worked with people like Hazel Johnson and her daughter Cheryl, who articulated a notion of environmental justice especially relevant to low-income residents of places like the Calumet. Mrs. Johnson, the founder and president of People for Community Recovery (PCR), a community-based environmental organization located at the Chicago Housing Authority’s Altgeld-Murray Homes, referred to Altgeld’s regional position, surrounded by landfills, sewage treatment plants, and active industry, as being at the hole of a “toxic doughnut.” PCR, in league with partners like the Hegewisch-based Southeast Environmental Task Force is part of a vigorous environmental movement that is alive and well in the region. It can count some important victories: Waste Management was forced to close a non-compliant hazardous waste incinerator; large polluting companies that were forced to pay millions of dollars in fines now willingly enter into Good Neighbor Dialogues that focus on pollution prevention; and helping the city to hold the line on new landfill construction for more than twenty-five years. The Task Force now has a bi-state board and has recently taken up the struggle against the storage of large piles of fine particulate pet coke from the BP Whiting refinery at transfer terminals along the Calumet River.

Given the economic and environmental challenges of the past thirty years, it is tempting to say that a drosscape has indeed been created. In that case, the only solution to it would be to take drastic action through a major public works project. But key events in the region’s history surrounding just such a proposal would show that even when faced with major and continuing challenges, a number of actors were prepared to focus on the region’s assets, and build multiple innovative pathways to sustainability in the Calumet region.

**Resilience and Innovation: Pathways to sustainability in the Calumet region**

**An airport that didn’t fly and the idea for a park**

In 1990, Mayor Richard M. Daley of Chicago stunned the region with his announcement that the city would pursue construction of a Lake Calumet International Airport, which would grow to the size and activity of O’Hare. The proposal was part of ongoing Chicago regional questions of whether, how, and where to build a “third airport”. Other candidate sites included the existing Gary International Airport, which had been a major initiative of Richard Hatcher, and various sites in the southern Chicago suburbs. Daley’s ambitious plan entailed lowering landfills, re-routing the Calumet River, moving 40,000 people, and creating a border that would lap into Indiana.

In making the airport proposal, the City linked an effort to stimulate local economic development in an era of deindustrialization with a desire to resolve several major environmental issues. In opposing the airport proposal, environmental and economic development advocates found common cause, forming alternate scenarios for development based in the region’s considerable assets. In 1992, the City withdrew its proposal, choosing instead to align its efforts with Gary to create the Gary/Chicago International Airport. By this time, the ground had been laid on the city’s southeast side for a flurry of activity to re-envision the Calumet as a region for both people and nature.

One such vision came from Michigan City native, geographer, and birder Jim Landing, whose Lake Calumet Study Committee began to advocate for some sort of protection for the lake and adjoining marshes and their astonishing bird diversity. By 1995, this effort developed into a call for a “Calumet Ecological Park” and the Calumet Ecological Park Association was created to advocate for it. In 1998, the National Park Service conducted a Special Resource Study that considered such a designation, ultimately pointing out that its National Heritage designation would be a promising best avenue to pursue. As a result, the Calumet Heritage Partnership was formed, and a first annual Calumet Heritage Conference held in Whiting in 1999.
Meanwhile, the City of Chicago was re-framing its post-airport approach to the region. Already committed to a moratorium on future landfill expansion since 1989, and energized by a newer “greener” vision that included running a large, multi-stakeholder Brownfield Forum in 1995, the city deployed resources and energy to the issues of the region under its Calumet Initiative. Moving in concert with a State of Illinois Lake Calumet Ecosystem Partnership, created in 1999, the City began to take stock of the hydrology of the land it owned, to consider further purchase for conservation, to bring together stakeholders around a cluster of toxic landfills, and to begin to consider future land uses devoted to recreation, education, and conservation.

By June 2, 2000, Mayor Daley and Governor George Ryan announced a comprehensive rehabilitation plan for the Calumet regional environment, including a Calumet Land Use Plan, an ecosystem management plan, purchase and rehabilitation of two key marshes, and construction of a showcase Environmental Center in one of them. When the Mayor’s mind changed it signaled a new era for conservation in the Chicago portions of the region.

In May 2015, 188 stakeholders from the bi-state Calumet region gathered at the South Shore Cultural Center in Chicago for the Calumet Summit: Advancing Our Shared Agenda. Creating a Calumet National Heritage Area was a long term goal and provided an overarching framework for the presentations and discussions.

A series of regional summits, convened first in 2001 by the City of Chicago in Chicago to gather and discuss the social, environmental, and economic research of its new regional focus area, gradually evolved in location, scope, and purpose. In 2006, acknowledging that the issues it wanted to address were bi-state in nature, the City’s Research Summit was held in Hammond, Indiana. By 2010, acknowledging the importance of taking action on what was learned, the word “research” was dropped from the title of “Calumet Summit: A Call to Connect.” In 2013, a multiple set of partners was ready to convene the gathering, and the Calumet Stewardship Initiative formally became the event’s sponsor. The summit was held in Gary’s Marquette Park as “Calumet Summit: Connecting for Action.” CSI sponsored again – back in the city of Chicago – in 2015 to “Advance our Shared Agenda”. More than 175 attendees from the bi-state region attended each of these last three Summits, and by the third, a regional approach had been firmly established.

Building cultures of conservation and placemaking

“Have you always enjoyed musty, old things?” two leaders of the Calumet Heritage Partnership were asked by the moderator of a public affairs show. Here lies one popular view, that heritage is ancient and irrelevant. But environmental and economic development professionals increasingly express the desire to engage communities, to foreground regional assets, and to build regional identity by connecting to living regional heritage.

Residents in communities across the bi-state region beautify public and private spaces by making things grow.
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In 2001, The Field Museum worked with communities on either side of the state line to map local assets, identify key leaders, and discern the power of local networks. The Museum’s findings were gathered into a website called Journey Through Calumet. The Museum’s work revealed a region replete with activity, leaders, and important places, signs of the area’s grassroots strength even in the midst of deindustrialization.

Local festivals and traditions strongly express local love of place. A number of traditions, festivals, foods, music, and literature make the region and its heritage come alive. The East Side of Chicago’s commemoration of the Memorial Day Massacre and Labor Day events at the Pullman Administration/Factory building are rooted in a living understanding of the region’s labor history. Other events are rooted in national churches, such as Southeast Chicago’s AnnunciataFest. Whiting’s Pierogi Fest has become a powerfully attractive celebratory event of the town’s Slavic heritage. Valparaiso’s Popcorn Festival honors local resident Orville Redenbacher. The city’s Central Park Plaza enhances the festival and was named a Great Public Space by the American Planning Association in 2016.

A network of local museums and archives gathers the many aspects of family and associational life in communities. The Southeast Chicago Historical Museum crams displays, dioramas, posters, and archives into a room at the Calumet Park fieldhouse. The Suzanne K. Long Local History Room at the Hammond Public Library, Calumet Regional Archives at Indiana University Northwest, South Suburban Genealogical Society, Westchester Historical Society (Chesterton), Porter County Museum, and Pullman State Historic Site have gathered documents and photographs that tell the regional story at the local scale.

Using public art to interpret and enliven the landscape is becoming a regional specialty. Artist and former steelworker Roman Villarreal has declared that “art is the new steel.” His work is part of a vigorous public art movement that includes his sculpture at “Steelworkers Park”, on the site of South Works. A series of posters based on a South Shore railroad poster from the 1920s but using new travel destinations explicitly ties a regional look to tourism. Retrospective shows of the work of Frank Dudley have highlighted again...
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the inspiration provided by the dunes. Art has been used to convene and critique, as in a new showing of Terry Evans photographs of petcoke piles in Southeast Chicago and Thomas Frank’s work critiquing carbon-emitting industry in East Chicago.

The region’s active recreation possibilities have expanded significantly since the 1998 Resource study. The region’s legacy rail network has served as a framework for the extensive and award-winning “greenways and blueways” program developed by the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission. In Illinois, an extensive trail network is also being developed. An especially important path is the Calumet-Sag Trail, which will ultimately connect the Indiana trail network in the east with the Illinois and Michigan Canal area to the west. Throughout the network, these paths connect sites of local significance with strong potential for interpretation. Works of public art have become bicycle destinations in their own right.

Regional resources remain that tell the stories of past industrial endeavor, most notably in the Administration/Clock Tower building at the Pullman National Monument. The Landmarks Preservation Council of Illinois named the remnant Acme Steel structures to be one of the “ten most endangered structures” in Illinois and provided seed money for an effort to preserve them. The largest contribution came from the USWA Local. But the structures are mostly demolished, though a vigorous effort ensued to preserve materials, photos, documents, and key artifacts.

The region’s biodiversity and unique landscape is a major element of its heritage. Scholars and dunes advocates know this, but building a broad cultural base where people appreciate and benefit from these assets has led to creative solutions. With the idea that basic place literacy begins in childhood, regional leaders like the Dunes Learning Center, Shirley Heinze Land Trust, and The Field Museum have helped bring together a suite of programs designed to move children into the outdoors. In addition to the multiple benefits that kids receive, the concept is that children will become the next generation of conservation leaders, and, perhaps, come to work alongside the many “stewards” who volunteer as part of the vigorous ecological restoration programs happening on some of the region’s 42,000 acres of natural areas.

These initiatives have been pulled together into the multi-stakeholder Calumet Stewardship Initiative (CSI), which began simply as a way for key landowners to coordinate volunteer programs. It has since evolved into a 45-member bi-state network that has main user groups in the areas of education, recreation, and ecological stewardship. CSI took on the task of convening bi-state Summits to consider these focus areas and to connect them to other regional issues. In 2015, “heritage” was formally added as a main focus of the Summit. The linkage of “environmental” and “heritage” groups in this way has proven to be tremendously fruitful.

Creative collaborations for sustainable development

The “Steel Rail” period witnessed numerous inter-industry collaborations to build up the region’s integrated network of industrial suppliers and markets. Labor eventually developed something of a coordinated response. Now, government, for-profit, non-profit, and grassroots entities have been gathering to collaboratively re-vision the region in light of the changes it has undergone and the realities it faces. And
a sense of the region as a place that has ecological, economic, and cultural integrity, even across a state line, has taken deep root.

**Plans.** More than twenty plans and visions have been produced for the area since the 1990s. The last five years have marked the release of the first-ever regional comprehensive plans by the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, each of them distinguished by a pronounced concern to rebuild historic town centers and to integrate “green infrastructure” across the fabric of the region.

Indiana’s Marquette Plan, launched by Congressman Pete Visclosky, is a sustained effort to envision and create a coastal corridor that still has a place for industry and that embraces community access to the Indiana lakeshore. The 2015 Marquette Plan update incorporates historical and cultural resources and embraces the notion of a Calumet National Heritage Area.

In Illinois, a Millennium Reserve: Calumet Core was declared at the end of 2011 by Governor Patrick Quinn. The Millennium Reserve effort similarly calls out a Calumet National Heritage Area as a priority project with potential to fulfill the effort’s goals of linking community, economic, and environmental sustainability. An advisory committee of foundation, environmental, economic, cultural, and community leaders continued to meet autonomously to advance priority projects even after a change in administration in 2014.

**Environment.** A century of grassroots citizen activism has conserved, protected and restored the biodiversity, native beauty, and recreational quality of the natural environment, making the region a significant place to the American conservation and environmental justice movements.

Ecological restoration, a strong tradition in the Chicago region, has an especially strong hold in the Calumet region. A 2006 report by environmental advocate Lee Botts, sponsored by ten regional agencies, identified 166 restoration sites in Northwest Indiana. Botts said that this represented a complete change from her 1993 report on ecological restoration. CSI brings together a number of the land-owning agencies and non-profits, including the Cook County Forest Preserves, The Nature Conservancy, The National Park Service, and Shirley Heinze Land Trust.

Land conservation is now moving beyond restoration alone. In 2004, Chicago Wilderness, the multi-stakeholder regional conservation organization, prepared a “green infrastructure vision” as the spatial expression of its biodiversity recovery plan. The key to the vision was to reconnect fragmented natural areas by using river corridors and rights of way. In 2009, the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, a longtime supporter of land conservation in the Calumet region, funded a special issue of Chicago Wilderness magazine focused on the Calumet region, that told the region’s story to the general public with articles and a map. The map highlighted what could be accomplished at the regional scale, and was published with Chicago Wilderness’s green infrastructure map as a guiding vision.
More tools and multi-stakeholder collaborations were to come. In 2013, Chicago Wilderness released a GIS-based version of its green infrastructure vision, which provided specific areas for de-fragmentation to occur. Millennium Reserve convened five landholding partners (the Chicago Park District, Cook County Forest Preserves, Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Illinois Nature Preserves Commission, and The Nature Conservancy) and worked out a “conservation compact” in which the entities would align their conservation targets and cooperate on management. Audubon Chicago Region hired The Wetlands Initiative to study and plan for marshbird restoration in the Calumet marshes, first in Illinois, and then across the state line. And in Indiana, the multi-stakeholder Grand Calumet Area of Concern completed more of its $675 million restoration of 20 of the 22 miles of the Grand Calumet, including the spectacular DuPont and Seidner restorations involving The Nature Conservancy and Shirley Heinze Land Trust.

A group of conservation partners, including Shirley Heinze Land Trust, Save the Dunes, Northwestern Indiana Planning Commission, The Nature Conservancy, Openlands, The Field Museum, National Parks Conservation Association, and Metropolitan Planning Commission, began to gather in 2014 to consider how to move forward in key geographies to “de-fragment” the lands of the region. Tools could include acquisition, restoration, management, policy, and community engagement. Working with a number of other partners, and building on opportunities that surfaced at the 2015 Summit, the group settled in on four major focus areas, including:

- The East Branch of the Little Calumet corridor
- Hobart Marsh
- Indiana Dunes Ecosystem
- “Heart of Calumet”, including the ridge-and-swale systems of the Tolleston sandplain between the Pullman National Monument and the city of Gary.

The group has made it explicit that, lest its conservation efforts be viewed as a red herring by adjoining communities, it must root its conversations with local communities in their own understanding of community strengths, traditions, and heritage.

Toward “integration.” The energy that propels the many efforts described in this Study draws on rich wellsprings of activism and concern that the assets provided by nature and culture be used to fashion a future that is sustainable. The region has entered a historic moment, in that through efforts like the Heritage Area, many voices are now being brought to bear in a coordinated way to reach this end.

The Calumet Heritage Partnership has carefully tended the idea of a National Heritage Area since 1998. With significant support from The Field Museum, CHP has developed a board that is broadly representative of “heritage” interests in the region, including representatives from the history, landmarks, industrial, community development, academia, and arts communities. It has worked by combining its efforts with that of the Calumet Stewardship Initiative. At the same time, it has drawn the heritage conversation into key regional plans and initiatives as it has drawn together the understanding of the region’s national significance, key themes, resources, and boundary that undergirds this study.

Meanwhile, an important regional development to build bi-state capacity to undertake the Heritage Area has unfolded as the Millennium Reserve, with the support of Illinois Governor Bruce Rauner, has moved to become a bi-state non-profit. Through a facilitated process of organization, the new entity, provisionally called the Calumet Collaborative, has drawn together regional leaders from two states. That collaborative and CHP have formally agreed to work together to coordinate the creation and management of a Calumet National Heritage Area, to integrate program elements, and ensure the long-term success of the integrated effort.

Artist Corey Hagelberg’s ironic woodcut, This Is not a Peace Pipe, illustrates contrasts central to the Calumet region. The inscription moves from explaining that the Calumet was a reed pipe famously smoked by the Illiniwek and Father Marquette as a universal sign of peace, to noting that the Grand Calumet River now disappears into a pipe in an industrial zone near the site of the historical encounter.

Page 25: Vertical lift bridge marks Calumet Harbor.
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Alternatives and Management

When community partners come together to commemorate and celebrate their heritage, there can be many different approaches to interpretation, education, and economic development that honor and build upon a region’s stories and its collection of cultural, natural, and historic resources. The priorities of residents and organizations in each region also vary widely. The challenge for developing National Heritage Areas is to find a vision for managing a region’s heritage that allows for the partners to participate while corralling these varying interests into a unified, coordinated strategy where the sum is greater than the parts. For this reason, there is no single model or plan for celebrating and managing a region’s heritage; rather they are created locally to fit the unique aims, regional visions, and resources of each place.

Regional conversation is inherently built upon the core stories and the concept of a nationally distinctive landscape to enhance regional identity and create a platform for collaboration based on a shared regional vision.

This chapter includes a summary of alternative approaches to meet regional goals, the selection of a preferred alternative, and a concept for how that alternative will be realized.

PART ONE

Management Alternatives

Residents, organizations, and units of government at different levels have re-imagined futures for the Calumet region many times since its initial development. Since the mid-1990s, plans for the future increasingly reflect concepts that build upon the region’s natural, cultural, and industrial assets. Those plans, discussed in more detail on the following page, helped lay important groundwork for the current feasibility study and consideration of a National Heritage Area. Regional goals and priorities surfaced through many planning efforts over the years, and through the current feasibility study process were articulated and connected to the Calumet’s shared stories and the heritage resources that express the region’s history. Goals and priorities include:

- Foster education and stewardship
- Preserve globally/nationally/regionally significant natural and cultural resources
- Increase visibility and access to the region through branding and wayfinding
- Use heritage as a driver for economic development
- Prioritize bi-state collaboration

One aim of the feasibility study was to consider how National Heritage Area designation would help the Calumet residents care for and build upon the heritage resources in the region and the stories that bind
together people and place. As part of that process, constituents also considered other forms of management and whether or not they might benefit the region. While many were raised, the following alternatives merited the most discussion:

- National Heritage Area Designation—Designation by U.S. Congress, technical assistance from NPS
- Legislated Bi-State Designation—Designation by the states of Illinois and Indiana
- Local initiative without legislated designation—Establish a local initiative to promote the Calumet’s shared heritage
- No Action

Residents, organizations, and governments within the Calumet Region resoundingly chose National Heritage Area designation as the preferred management alternative to accomplish the goals set forth above. They understood the power of a strategy to unify people around a regional vision centered on shared heritage and the cultural, natural, and industrial assets that underpin it.

While all of the alternatives might contribute to successful accomplishment of some of the goals above, only National Heritage Area designation provides the bi-state collaboration, federal technical assistance, and—perhaps most importantly—the “zoomed-out” perspective that shows the unified importance of the whole region and offers the points of pride that allow people to buy into regional identity and regional action. National Heritage Area designation will help elevate and unify the region by connecting the urban areas between the Indiana Dunes and Pullman, two already nationally designated resources, to the stories of both those places, thereby validating the historical, cultural, and natural importance of the region in its entirety. A National Heritage Area extends these stories across a living natural and industrial landscape, drawing attention to the importance of the land between the parks. Of the alternatives above, only National Heritage Area designation links the story on both sides of the state line to tell the full nationally significant narrative of the region.

Furthermore, national designation will create both a discourse and a structure for bi-state collaboration, arching over long-standing divisions at the state level that have undercut unified thinking and action in the region. Designation of state heritage areas on either side of the border are unlikely to occur as no program currently exists in either state. Moreover, such a solution would fail to bridge a divide that residents, communities, and conservation efforts are keen to overcome. Initiatives including heritage, conservation, and economic development have had some success on either side of the border—namely Millennium Reserve in Illinois and One Region in Indiana. However, both of these initiatives have been hampered by their mandate to stop at the state line. Recognizing the importance of working on both sides of the border, Millennium Reserve recently has evolved into the Calumet Collaborative and has joined efforts with the Calumet Heritage Partnership in order to address the need for cross-border vision and action.

The No Action alternative is simply not an option. It would leave in place the status quo of significant state, county, and municipal boundaries that submerge regional commonalities. While excellent education and stewardship programs would continue in the region, they would exist in relative isolation. They would not benefit from the connection to one another or across natural and cultural sectors that national designation would provide. Organizations such as the Calumet Heritage Partnership, newly encompassing the Calumet Stewardship Initiative, would continue to do bi-state programming, but with no explicit recognition or creation of a regional or National Heritage Area, would not have the capacity to make the most of the region’s potential.
In community conversations, stakeholder interviews, and two rounds of public meetings, individuals and organizations alike expressed strong support for a Calumet National Heritage Area. The feasibility study process led by the Calumet Heritage Partnership has reflected a wider movement within the region over the last two years, in which several leading regional organizations joined CHP in explicitly stating designation of a National Heritage Area as a key undertaking. In short, the region is ready, and the time for National Heritage Area designation is now.

PART TWO

The Partnership Network for a Calumet National Heritage Area and Its Regional Goals and Priorities

The Calumet National Heritage Area (CNHA) effort is supported by a rich array of partners. They represent a diversity of stakeholder interests, are rooted in scales that range from the very local to national, and extend from one end of the region to the other. The partners have also become part of a growing network—largely but not exclusively coordinated by the Calumet Heritage Partnership—that wants and can support a National Heritage Area.

Organizations and institutions in the Calumet region have forged network connections that have increased in capacity and cohesion over the past two decades, through three stages of development:

1. **Convening.** Partners are called into an evolving network because they perceive some common issue to address.
2. **Aligning.** Partners work to develop a common vision and core objectives.
3. **Producing.** Projects resolve to work together to achieve on-the-ground impact.

Convening

The Calumet National Heritage Area network was originally convened by the Calumet Ecological Park Association (CEPA), which grew from a node of activities on the Southeast Side of Chicago in the 1990s. Leaders of CEPA, frustrated that the City of Chicago’s only solution to deindustrialization and pollution was the Lake Calumet Airport proposal, had the insight that the region’s existing environmental assets could form the core of a “Calumet Ecological Park”. This ultimately led to the NPS study that, in turn, led to the creation of the Calumet Heritage Partnership. The Southeast Environmental Task Force shares office space with CEPA, and when its leaders noticed that Chicago’s last steel structures were being demolished in 2004, worked to create the Steel Heritage Task Force that ultimately blended with CHP.

When the Calumet Heritage Partnership first convened in 1999 with the technical assistance of NPS, a range of partners first became engaged in the Calumet National Heritage Area (CNHA) effort. Of the 32 different entities and individuals who attended the convening meetings in 1999, 20 have remained engaged with the process, either through hosting or attending meetings, serving on the CHP Board, Advisory Group, and Task Force, or engaging with the Calumet Collaborative. In short, this initial convening phase, first by CEPA and then by CHP, not only established a regional goal of forming a National Heritage Area, but it recruited a core group of individuals and organizations that worked diligently as partners on the effort for more than a decade.

The first decade of the new millennium also saw the significant development of the Calumet Stewardship Initiative (CSI) as a bi-state regional network that ultimately grew to forty-four partner organizations devoted to environmental education, volunteer ecological stewardship, and programming in green infrastructure and recreation. CSI includes...
not only staff from key landowning agencies, but representatives from grassroots organizations such as United Urban Network (Gary) and the Southeast Environmental Task Force (Chicago). Significant funding by the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation brought support to land conservation efforts across the region and the preparation of a map that helped to re-establish a strong regional consciousness across state lines. In 2010, the Foundation was a major supporter of the Calumet Summit: A Call to Connect, a two day event attended by over 200 people that built strong connections from “place to place”, from “people to place”, and from “people to people”. One other strong connection, “from past to future”, supported dialogue around the region’s heritage. In concluding the Summit, Sir Peter Crane, then Dean of the Yale School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, signaled a transition from “convening” to “alignment” with these words: “What is the new slogan that will define this remarkable region? We need to decide, and the watchwords should be: regionalism—not parochialism; landscape scale—not just our own backyard; and, partnerships—not blinkered individualism.”

**Aligning**

A second, “alignment” phase has characterized the evolving network since then. In 2011, The Field Museum, which had sponsored a community asset mapping effort that resulted in the Journey Through Calumet website, set up the Calumet Environmental Education Program, sponsored a Bioblitz in 2002, and supported the growth of CSI, and was recruited as a major partner for the heritage effort. The Museum was able to devote significant staff time to the effort and also secured an important resource commitment from the region’s largest employer, ArcelorMittal, to vest the effort with the means to support conferences, build communications, and hire consultants to guide the process. There is a direct link to CHP, as that organization’s President became a Field Museum employee. Significant effort was devoted to building the capacity of the CHP board, which reflects a bi-state, regional reach and broader network capacity within the organizations:

- City of Blue Island, IL
- Calumet Area Industrial Commission, IL
- The Field Museum, IL
- City of Gary, IN
- Indiana Landmarks, IN
- InSites, IL
- Southeast Chicago Historical Society, IL
- Southeast Environmental Task Force, IL
- South Shore Arts, IN
- Valparaiso University, IN

In addition, the board has enjoyed a longstanding close relationship with the Pullman State Historic Site, which houses CHP’s collection of rescued Acme Steel artifacts. It has also joined forces with the Calumet Stewardship Initiative and has recruited new members from CSI to serve on the board from CSI. CHP served as fiscal agent for two regional summits that CSI convened, the 2013 Calumet Summit: Connecting for Action and the 2015 Calumet Summit: Advancing our Shared Agenda. These events served as milestone moments in the alignment of a broad set of regional stakeholders around the concept of a National Heritage Area.
The process of building a regional partners network also included integrating the National Heritage Area concept into significant regional planning processes. When the National Heritage Area process slowed in the early 2000s, Indiana Congressman Peter Visclosky supported the development of the Marquette Plan, focused on future development along and access to the Lake Michigan shoreline in Indiana. The Plan is a joint product of work by the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC), the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, and the cities of East Chicago, Gary, Hammond, Portage, and Whiting. The 2015 update to the Marquette Plan integrated historic and cultural resources into the plan, and a Calumet National Heritage Area was called out as an excellent mechanism to accomplish some of the plan’s goals.

In Illinois, the Millennium Reserve Steering Committee was convened in 2013, with more than two dozen community leaders gathered to foreground priority projects for the Calumet region. Members of the Committee include the directors of public entities such as the Chicago Park District, Cook County Forest Preserves, Metropolitan Water Reclamation District, South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association, the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning, the Illinois Coastal Management Program, and Illinois International Port District, leaders of key non-profits like Openlands and the Metropolitan Planning Council, the heads of strategically important foundations like the Chicago Community Trust, Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, and leaders from leading corporations like ArcelorMittal. When Governor Pat Quinn, who had created Millennium Reserve by Executive Order, was defeated for re-election, the group voluntarily stayed at the table for a year until new Governor Bruce Rauner issued a new Executive Order, charging it to build partnerships, including across the state line, and creating a pathway for it to attain non-profit status in its own right so that it could serve as a fiscal agent on projects, hire staff, and better coordinate the work. Clearly, here was a group with capacity to incubate and grow projects across the region.

The Millennium Reserve Steering Committee selected the National Heritage Area as a priority project, and Chairs of the Steering Committee and of the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC) spoke at Summits and Heritage Conferences in support of the concept. As the group evolved, it renamed itself the Calumet Collaborative and sought a set of Indiana entities roughly parallel to those in Illinois to join. Key Indiana networks like the business-oriented Northwest Indiana Forum and the quality of life-oriented One Region group joined, along with other entities like the NIRPC, the Indiana Coastal Zone Management program, and community foundations such as the Legacy Foundation.
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The period of alignment included not only the gathering of support for the concept of a National Heritage Area, but a growing appreciation for what it could accomplish. As the network gathers strength, the feasibility of a National Heritage Area is assured. To summarize, some of the core institutions now involved include:

**Networks and Partnerships**
- One Region. The new executive director previously worked at The Field Museum on the CNHA.
- Northwest Indiana Forum.
- South Suburban Mayors and Managers. CHP invited to present to the Mayors at a monthly meeting.
- Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission. CHP invited to present to the Mayors. The executive director has presented on the concept. The director of environmental affairs co-led the Marquette Plan update with a CHP board member.
- Calumet Area Industrial Commission. The EHS Director serves on the CHP board.
- Calumet Stewardship Initiative. CHP served as fiscal agent for two Summits. CSI and CHP have agreed to combine, with CSI serving as a committee of CHP.
- Calumet Heritage Partnership.
- Calumet Land Conservation Partnership. A group of ten partners focused on land conservation, especially questions of acquisition/protection, management, and restoration.

**Partner Entities**
- Shirley Heinze Land Trust. The executive director served as co-chair of CSI with the President of CHP and worked to combine the two entities.
- The Field Museum.
- South Shore Arts. The executive director serves on the CHP board.
- Calumet Ecological Park Association.
- ArcelorMittal. The President of ArcelorMittal Foundation serves as co-chair of the Calumet Collaborative. ArcelorMittal has provided financial resources to support the effort.
- Metropolitan Water Reclamation District.
- Openlands. The President of the organization was an inventor of the concept of National Heritage Areas and has had long experience with them.
- National Park Service. CHP works in collaboration with the Superintendent of the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore and the Pullman National Monument.
- National Parks Conservation Association. NPCA included the concept of a National Heritage Area in its strategic plan for the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore.

**Foundations**
- Chicago Community Trust.
- Legacy Foundation.
- Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation.

**Producing**

As the CNHA effort moves to a phase where it wants to “produce”, that is, to take action on the ground, it can be guided by other major planning efforts.

Key themes in both Illinois’ and Indiana’s comprehensive regional plans would be supported by an emphasis on heritage. Creating livable communities is one of four important themes of the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning’s GO TO 2040 Plan. Echoing that, NIRPC’s 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan strongly supports urban reinvestment in the region’s “core cities” along the shore of Lake Michigan.

Other plans have advanced themes that strongly complement the CNHA effort. Chicago Wilderness, the regional biodiversity consortium of over 200 members, prepared a *Biodiversity Recovery Plan* in 1999 that put the Chicago region at the vanguard of metropolitan ecological restoration centers and that still guides restoration work today. *The Greenways + Blueways 2020 Plan* is an update and extension of the 2007 *Greenways + Blueways Plan* and the 2010 *Ped & Pedal Plan*. The plan, created by NIRPC, outlines strategies to create new public walking trails (greenways) and paddling routes (blueways) in Northwest Indiana. It outlines the basic principles of trail design, evaluates the feasibility of creating specific routes, details the benefits and drawbacks of each proposed path, and discusses the benefits of such public recreational resources to the quality of life of local citizens, the community, and the environment. Similar plans exist in Northeastern Illinois, though as yet the trail plans have not been formally stitched together across the state line.
Some plans specifically call for a National Heritage Area, such as the Millennium Reserve and Marquette Plan. Fewer documents have been more explicit than the National Parks Conservation Association’s 2011 *The Future of Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore: National Park, Regional Treasure*. That plan brought forward the idea of a “Heritage Trail” to connect downtown Chicago with the Dunes. It went on to make a direct connection to the 1998 NPS *Calumet Ecological Park Resource Study* and noted NPS’s suggestion that the Calumet region might be a candidate for a National Heritage Area. The plan went on to say: “We agree, provided there is significant local leadership and funding to make a Heritage Trail or Heritage Area a meaningful way to draw tourism and interest to the region. The Field Museum is already taking the lead to identify and research these important sites—The Calumet Heritage Partnership should be at the core of those efforts.”

As described in Chapter 2, key regional goals and priorities emerge from a close reading of regional plans and many community conversations. We return to those goals and priorities now, but with a finer appreciation at this point of feasible potential approaches to meet the goals, and now with a sense of actors in the network who could take the lead. This table is meant to be suggestive only. It is also important to note again that CHP and the Calumet Collaborative will have the critical coordinating and prioritizing roles.

### TABLE 4: Key Regional Goals and Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ENVIRONMENT AND STEWARDSHIP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Calumet region has played an important role in conservation, ecological study, and environmental protection. The area continues to possess a rich conservation ethic, ecologically significant sites, and outstanding services by agencies to protect the environment and public health. Priorities to enhance environmental treasures across the bi-state region are:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify, connect, and enhance important sub-geographies such as the Dune &amp; Swale, Moraine Forest, and river corridors</td>
<td>Convene the member organizations of existing conservation consortia and partnerships that are already working in the region.</td>
<td>CLCP, CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coordinate land management, ecological restoration, land acquisition, and trail development activities in key habitat areas</td>
<td>Convenings described above and include key civic stakeholders like block and social clubs, congregations, and service agencies with geographically defined constituencies so they can give input on acquisition, management, and development.</td>
<td>CLCP, CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provide improved access to existing natural areas</td>
<td>Build partnerships among ecological conservation and management organizations and community groups who can collaboratively identify barriers and incentives to access.</td>
<td>CLCP, CSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Restore, manage, and promote healthy watershed systems</td>
<td>Convene point source polluters and pollution concerned stakeholders to discuss pollution impacts and remedies.</td>
<td>NWI Urban Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote the protection of coastal and estuarine areas and waters</td>
<td>Encourage conservation behaviors and improve access. Use ethnographic data and CBSM approaches to leverage diverse social norms to promote behavioral change.</td>
<td>CSI, TFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop a stewardship model for bi-state Calumet that includes measures of success for both ecosystem restoration and volunteer engagement</td>
<td>Measures will depend on the nature of individual programs.</td>
<td>CSI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GUIDE TO ABBREVIATIONS:** CC = Calumet Collaborative; CHP = Calumet Heritage Partnership; CMAP = Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning; Coastal = Illinois/Indiana Coastal Zone Management Program; CSEDC = Chicago Southland Economic Development Corporation; CSI = Calumet Stewardship Initiative; DLC = Dunes Learning Center; Hourglass = Hourglass Museum; NIRPC = Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission; NWI Forum = Northwest Indiana Forum; POCO = Porter County Museum; PSHS = Pullman State Historic Site; SECHM = Southeast Chicago Historical Museum; SHLT = Shirley Heinze Land Trust; SSA = South Shore Arts; SSMMA = South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association; TFM = The Field Museum; USFS = United States Forest Service
# CULTURAL HERITAGE / HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The communities of the Calumet region are sites of significant cultural history. But sites of significance are often unrecognized and unappreciated. Priorities are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Identify and showcase the industrial, natural, and community heritage of the bi-state region through education, festivals, and other cultural activities</td>
<td>Create bi-state regional consortium/network of local heritage groups, museums, archives, and historical societies to increase capacity and visibility for individual and potential collaborative work. Develop a range of interpretive tools (e.g., tours and quests) that teach about important Calumet places while placing them within their regional and national context. Linked to “improved access to natural areas” above, create materials/events that highlight links between the landscape and human history and cultural practice.</td>
<td>CHP, SECHM, PSHS, Ind. Landmarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Protect, conserve, and restore significant landmark sites, including homes, commercial and religious structures, public buildings, and planned industrial communities</td>
<td>Help consortia or individual organizations leverage preservation resources. Convene dialogue among interested stakeholders on regional priorities. Develop coordinated archival strategy, starting with three core partners who operate the Calumet Industrial Heritage archives.</td>
<td>CHP, Ind. Landmarks CHP, PSHS, SECHM, Cal Regional Archives, POCO, Hourglass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify, protect, and preserve important archeological sites in the region</td>
<td>Consider if Calumet region needs public archaeological sites to increase awareness of early European and Native American precontact periods.</td>
<td>TFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Build a bi-state regional dialogue</td>
<td>Expand participation and perhaps frequency of Calumet Summits Expand participation in annual Calumet Heritage Conference, while considering if its scope should change. Identify and bring together all possible Calumet partner organizations in an effort to build a heritage alliance that represents the cultural diversity of the Calumet region.</td>
<td>CHP, CSI, CC TFM, CHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# RECREATION

The Calumet region historically has contained significant places to relax and to play. Priorities across the bi-state region are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Continue to develop the region’s system of trails and improve the connections between them</td>
<td>See strategies under “Provide improved access to existing natural areas.” Raise awareness of regional history and identity, and use this broader regional self-concept and the opportunities of NHA status to leverage participation by formerly reluctant municipalities.</td>
<td>CLCP, CSI, NIRPC, SSMMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improve existing and develop new recreational sites</td>
<td>See above. Convene broad input on recreational priorities and opportunities, particularly those that might bring people together across lines of social division.</td>
<td>CHP, CC, NIRPC, CMAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increase access to the Lake Michigan shoreline</td>
<td>See cell above, but in this case with attention to the contentious nature of shoreline control and access.</td>
<td>Coastal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote tourism and ecotourism</td>
<td>Convene existing tourism agencies to explore complementary and collaborative ways to promote the NHA and leverage the NHA designation to promote the areas they are charged with promoting.</td>
<td>CHP, CC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# THE ARTS

The region’s landscape and heritage are significant sources of artistic inspiration, especially with attention-grabbing proximity of nature and industry. There is a thriving arts community in the Calumet region but it is not well recognized. Priorities are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Promote and support: the existing folk and fine arts heritage of the region artists and arts organization</td>
<td>Make the variety and quality of the arts that exists at the regional scale visible across the many social and political boundaries that artists cite as barriers to reaching wider audiences. Increase residents and visitors comfort crossing boundaries by stressing the shared Calumet regional identity. Create arts events that focus on regional heritage themes of broad appeal.</td>
<td>CHP, SSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote the role of the arts in regional-scale place-making</td>
<td>Use art to transform heritage spaces in ways that build community, enhance civic engagement, and are compelling to visitors. This can be particularly important in places where original structures and landscapes have been erased/badly degraded and new constructions that evoke a blend of the old and new meanings are needed.</td>
<td>CHP, CC, TFM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Chapter Four

### Goals and Priorities

**ECONOMY**

Industry has been a key identifying factor and the backbone of the Calumet region. The region’s industries are in flux, making stability and redevelopment key goals. Conserving the industrial heritage of the Calumet region is important, but should be coupled with efforts to support existing industries and attract new investment, and build on environmental and community assets. Priorities are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Make the most of opportunities that meet the “triple bottom line” that enhance economy, build community, and protect environment</td>
<td>Structurally serve as an organization that facilitates the collaboration of heritage, non-profit, governmental, and commercial entities</td>
<td>CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improve the lakeshore in ways that balance industrial development and water based tourism and recreation</td>
<td>See previous entry. Offer interpretation and historical insights on the shoreline to inform planning initiatives.</td>
<td>NIRPC, CHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilize brownfield sites for industrial development</td>
<td>See previous entry, both points, and substitute “brownfield” for “shoreline.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Increase tourism marketing at the bi-state regional scale</td>
<td>Convene the range of cross-sector stakeholders with an interest in regional brand identity building. Play a leading role in developing regional marketing themes, on the group identity markers, and wayfinding priorities.</td>
<td>CC, CHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Attract and retain a workforce that enjoys a high quality of life by residing in the region</td>
<td>A consequence of all the other strategies.</td>
<td>CC, CSEDC, NWI Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify and elevate opportunities for adaptive reuse of buildings and other structures, such as closed steel mills and Union Station in Gary, to become regional gateways or interpretive centers</td>
<td>Lead the convening of stakeholders to consider a range of appropriate repurposing of historic buildings and to identify them. Within the consortium, lead efforts to repurpose locations as heritage education and tourism stops.</td>
<td>CC, CHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WAYFINDING AND BRANDING

| • Develop a comprehensive regional system of signage and wayfinding to guide visitors and local residents through the region, provide details about specific locations, build regional identity through branding, and connect the region’s places through themes and stories. | See Potential Approaches for “Economy” section above, in particular the “Increase tourism marketing at the bi-state regional scale” bullet. | |
| • Create a brand identity for wayfinding that boosts regional connectivity and pride in place | See above, and contract consultants to advise on this process for the region. | CC, CHP |
| • Interpret sites and spaces through signage, exhibitions, and other media | Already a set of approaches, so just a question of appropriate role players. | |

### EDUCATION

The cultural and environmental heritage of the Calumet region offer unique opportunities to engage children and adults in place-based learning. A National Heritage Area could provide a network to facilitate the creation, connection, and enhancement of educational programming around environmental conservation and stewardship, economy, the arts, cultural heritage and historic preservation, and interpretation. Priorities are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals and Priorities</th>
<th>Potential Approaches</th>
<th>Potential Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Develop heritage-based curricula in partnership with local primary, secondary, and post-secondary educational institutions</td>
<td>Connect to professional organizations of academics and educators to develop/partner in development of curricula. Identify and establish buy-in of local “users” of curricula, and their potential contributors to development process.</td>
<td>CHP, TFM, SHLT, DLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop life-long learning programs</td>
<td>Create, or coordinate the creation, of substantial learning opportunities for adults.</td>
<td>TFM, Treekeepers, USFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Connect with area scientists</td>
<td>Benchmark and document programs and best practices for citizen science/social science, and hands-on/on-site learning Identify interested scientists from institutions (universities, colleges, museums, archives, etc.) across the region who would like to participate in programming.</td>
<td>TFM, NPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Identify local geographies within the region as priority areas for programming and types of programs to prioritize for those regions</td>
<td>Convene regional stakeholders in science, social science, and citizen science to coordinate prioritization and the roll out of actual programs.</td>
<td>CSI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The benefits of a broad partnership base are crucial for all National Heritage Areas. As demonstrated above, the Calumet enjoys strong partnerships that have been developed and nurtured over decades. New partnerships will continue to be established as a management plan is developed and implemented.

Partnerships can exist in a wide-ranging number of formats. Some partnerships are formally defined through Memoranda of Understanding, Memoranda of Agreement, and even contracts that establish the framework for the working relationship between the parties in the national heritage area. The partnership between CHP and the Calumet Collaborative, formalized through a Memorandum of Understanding (described later in the chapter), is a perfect example. Other partnerships may be defined by legislation or resolutions passed by a local government and even the coordinating entity for the National Heritage Area. While these agreements might be necessary in some instances for carrying out the activities of the partners for the conservation of resources, there can be other types of partnerships that do not require a legal document for a partnership to develop and flourish. These hand-shake relationships within National Heritage Areas often provide the greatest flexibility to the parties in defining changing roles and may have very positive results.

It should be noted that the benefit of partnership, if successful, can and should work both ways in benefiting the partners. The coordinating entity must be able to bring as much to the table to benefit its partner as it is expecting to receive. If the partner is a corporation or business, the National Heritage Area can assist in several ways including website positioning to thank the partner; access for the business and its employees to gain greater exposure in the community, tax credits or tax benefits from contributions of labor, supplies, or money, among other benefits.

The partnerships developed in the Calumet region can be further forged with opportunities between the heritage areas (existing and developing), and other organizations for shared services, shared employees, shared office or other capital equipment, and continued shared marketing and promotions.
The selection or creation of an organization to manage the NHA is not the single most important issue for federal designation. It is, however, the single most important factor in what makes NHAs sustainable and have long-term success. Too often, burgeoning National Heritage Areas with outstanding cultural and historical significance have struggled or floundered because the long-term management question was not given enough consideration or the questions that partners raised concerning capacity were left unanswered. The strongest coordinating entities for NHAs have been the ones that have recognized the needs of the region and the partners and built coalitions that support and have confidence in the coordinating entity. In the best world, the identification of a coordinating entity should be left to the management plan, but the National Park Service puts more emphasis on this question not just being explored but being finalized in the feasibility study. Although resolving this issue is generally preferable, it is possible to identify an interim coordinating entity that carries the NHA through the planning, leaving the determination of long-term management to the management plan.

The Calumet Heritage Partnership and its partners understand the feasibility study process as primarily conceptual. Future required planning, especially the management plan, will result in more specificity concerning projects and the details of regional collaboration as well as NHA organizational operations.

Through the feasibility study process, the Calumet Heritage Partnership and its partners have identified an interim management arrangement that will guide the region through the next phase of National Heritage Area development. CHP and the new bi-state organization evolving from the Millennium Reserve, the Calumet Collaborative, will share the role of coordinating entity through the management planning phase. This direction was formalized in September 2016 through the development of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Calumet Heritage Partnership and the Calumet Collaborative.

Calumet Heritage Partnership is a bi-state, all-volunteer, non-profit organization whose board represents history, landmarks, environmental, arts, industrial, tourism, community development, academic, archives, and museum communities. CHP enjoys strategic partnerships with both the Calumet Stewardship Initiative and The Field Museum. The Calumet Collaborative is a new bi-state non-profit organization that has built upon the Millennium Reserve Steering Committee’s leadership representing government, industry, foundation, and non-profit communities. The provisional board of the emerging Calumet Collaborative includes an equal number of Indiana and Illinois partners.

The Memorandum of Understanding provides a framework governing collaboration between CHP and the Calumet Collaborative. CHP will serve as the “public face” of the National Heritage Area. Its members have a strong knowledge base and regional expertise. Through the volunteer resources of its members, CHP will plan, coordinate, and publicize the day-to-day activities of the National Heritage Area. Under the MOU, CHP’s roles and responsibilities include:

- Provide oversight of the Calumet National Heritage Area program, ensuring alignment with statements of national significance, themes, resources, and geographic scope as defined in the feasibility study
- Convene an annual conference that fosters bi-state conversations
- Assume the functions and coordinating role for the Calumet Stewardship Initiative
- Collaborate with the Calumet Collaborative to develop project ideas for regional redevelopment in concert with the Calumet National Heritage Area’s mission and themes
- Collaborate with regional partners to bring projects to fruition with roles and responsibilities to be clearly determined as projects evolve
The Calumet Collaborative will support “back office” operations of the National Heritage Area. As an organization that represents regional agency, foundation, and non-profit leaders, the Calumet Collaborative has management expertise, a track-record of fiduciary responsibility, and fundraising experience and resources. Under the MOU, the Calumet Collaborative’s role includes:

- Design a financial sustainability model for the Calumet National Heritage Area
- Hire staff to carry out the program for the Calumet National Heritage Area with CHP’s approval
- Lead fundraising efforts and coordinate financial affairs and human resources on behalf of the Calumet National Heritage Area
- Design a governance structure such that CHP is integrated into the governance of the Calumet Collaborative
- Lead the development of regional-scale projects and incorporate heritage themes and methods in collaboration with CHP

Collaborate with CHP to develop a Calumet National Heritage Area management plan

The relationship between the two groups allows CHP to further develop as an organization – to incubate under the Calumet Collaborative – while staffing, bookkeeping and other “back-of-the-house” responsibilities of business of the NHA falls to the Calumet Collaborative. Under the management plan, these roles may be further examined and defined and a final coordinating entity will be determined.

**PART FOUR**

**Conceptual Financial Plan**

The financial plan demonstrates the ability of the interim coordinating entity to meet federal matching requirements that will be stipulated upon NHA designation. For most NHAs, this match requirement is one non-federal dollar per NHA dollar allocated through an appropriation. At present, NPS permits in-kind support as match, but the ratio on that match may change to be less than one-to-one in the future. As a part of the Calumet feasibility study, the capability of the coordinating entity partnership to leverage federal funding with other potential financial resources has been considered.

With its vast array of partners in both Illinois and Indiana, CHP and the Calumet Collaborative are positioned to advance the National Heritage Area effort throughout the region. Critical to the success of the effort is the capacity of the coordinating entity partnership to raise the necessary funding that will be required with a NHA designation.

The example below of financial capability provides an estimate of anticipated federal funding over a 10-year period and potential sources of local matching contributions. While most NHA legislation provides federal funding authorizations of up to $1 million a year over a 10-year period, in recent years no NHA has received $1 million in any year, and newly designated NHAs rarely receive more than $150,000 in the first few years until the completion and approval of a management plan. The sample portrays anticipated federal funding below the maximum authorization.

The table on the next page shows the minimum potential of funding for the Calumet National Heritage Area after designation. Recognizing that newly designated NHAs are limited to no more than $150,000 per year until a completed management plan is approved by the Secretary of the Interior, this table illustrates funding for the first ten years after designation. In
years one through three, the Calumet anticipates an additional $150,000 in local match. Noted in this table is “Grantee Match” which is the minimum amount a grant recipient must provide if it receives a grant from the National Heritage Area. In years four through ten, the federal funds could increase to $300,000 per year with the completion of the required management plan. In those same years, the Calumet anticipates increasing the amount of funding available as grants to its partners, as noted in the table.

Potential funding sources include, but are not limited to the states of Illinois and Indiana, the City of Chicago, other city and county governments, along with several foundations and corporation already allied as partners in the Calumet effort. Anticipated federal funding from the National Heritage Area program will be used to match these local funds enabling the Calumet to expand its programming and projects as the new National Heritage Area advances. In addition, an NHA designation could attract new funding partners to the heritage coalition.

A strong track record exists of government and foundation support for regionally-focused projects that enhance cultural heritage, embrace land conservation as a regional goal, and build community engagement. Key funders—notably the Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation, ArcelorMittal, Chicago Community Trust, Legacy Foundation, and the Illinois and Indiana Coastal Management programs—have been intimately involved in the creation of the Calumet Collaborative. This bodes very well for the feasibility of meeting the matching goals outlined above.

**Strategy**

One of the most important factors for any National Heritage Area is the creation and implementation of a fundraising and development strategy for its operations and programming. For National Heritage Areas, two words are needed—“sustainability” and “self-sufficiency.” Despite the ease of interchangeability of these words, sustainability and self-sufficiency are two very different terms. Sustainability for any non-profit (including National Heritage Areas) is the result of a carefully crafted development strategy that incorporates funding from a variety of sources, balancing the organization’s operations and programs with this funding stream. Self-sufficiency, on the other hand, is the ability of the coordinating entity to exist without public or private support; in essence, to be revenue-generating. To become self-sufficient, a National Heritage Area would need to become a for-profit entity, generating sufficient revenue to operate like a private business. While non-profits and National Heritage Areas can create programs that generate revenue, they rarely generate enough revenue to offset all operational expenses. *The real intent and goal, then, is to become sustainable:* to raise a balance of funds from public and private sources, including grants, donations, and revenue-generating events, earned income, or other sources to support operations and programs.

Like many other non-profit organizations and National Heritage Areas, the joint coordinating entity must plan properly for long-term financial stability and take full advantage of all the financial resources at its disposal.
The limited federal dollars available can make for hard choices: place the NPS funds into a pot to provide for projects and programs and struggle to meet administrative expenses, or cover annual operational expenses to the detriment of the Calumet National Heritage Area’s programmatic mission. For the Calumet National Heritage Area, it is important to have an ongoing fundraising strategy for resource development as part of its overall strategic and operational plans.

When developing a fundraising strategy, it is important to keep in mind that sustainability planning involves more than just identifying the funding to keep the Calumet National Heritage Area programs and organization alive. Besides identifying diverse sources of funding, a well thought-out strategy should address developing other resources, including volunteers, program partners and collaborators in the initiative, as well as identifying and cultivating effective leaders and key champions who can assist in fundraising.

National Heritage Areas are confronted by many demands, none greater than the need to raise the necessary funds to meet their programmatic and operational requirements. The NPS funding has stagnated over the past few years creating strains on funding and the needs to support existing NHAs while seeding support to new and developing NHAs. At its current state of existence, the funding for the NHA program is not sustainable for the needs of all the existing NHAs. Furthermore, the continuation of annual funding in the federal budget is always uncertain.

Too often, the primary reason for the partners in a developing National Heritage Area to pursue NHA designation is to ensure access to funding from NPS that comes with the designation. While this is a very important outcome that is crucial to the National Heritage Area’s establishment, the annual funding from NPS is not the solution to all of the financial needs of the National Heritage Area and is never sufficient. Some National Heritage Areas are struggling financially because of limited access to funds. The singular dependence on funding from NPS places these NHAs in considerable jeopardy, as there is no guarantee from budget year to budget year that the Congress will appropriate funds to the National Heritage Area program.

As it builds toward a management plan, the joint coordinating entity should incorporate into this work a three-to-five year development strategy that focuses on growing the NHA’s revenue. The focus of the development should examine public funds (local, state and federal), private funds (corporate donations and foundations), and individual donors. To raise these funds and to maintain operational flexibility, both CHP and the Calumet Collaborative should maintain 501(c)(3) status as a charitable organization (providing the tax benefit to the contributing private party or individual).

A plan for annual giving also should be developed as part of this strategy. An annual giving plan will set targets or goals for total amounts to be raised through grants, donations, and contributions and will help by keeping a constant message across the Calumet region of the need for donations and contributions. Simple things can immediately be started, like providing a “Donate Now” button on the Calumet National Heritage Area website with an active link to PayPal or other online payment system to collect contributions from individuals. The partners can also create a list of needed items, equipment, or other materials important to its operations and post it to the website enabling viewers to see a need and possibly donate an item or sufficient funding to purchase the item.
The joint coordinating entity, with the Calumet Collaborative in the lead, must be aggressive in the pursuit of public funds from other state programs and/or federal programs related to environment, education, tourism, history, and community development. Similarly, private corporations and foundations often provide funding for projects in these categories. Securing funding from a public grant may help lay the foundation for a grant from a private foundation furthering the goals of a program or project. Board members should be versed in fund identification and grant writing to ensure this necessary action advances, whether as a board activity or via staff. To assist with this work, either partner could consider hiring AmeriCorps interns who are trained within specific fields of community development work, and whose training often includes grant writing and grant identification. The joint coordinating partners should also examine the makeup of other national heritage areas, or partner organizations’, funding portfolios. Often these organizations have already done the research and have identified potential grant sources that support similar programs and projects.

A membership program should also be considered. It must be noted that no membership program alone is ever going to provide sufficient funding for a non-profit to operate. What non-profits derive from members is the development of a pool of potential donors to solicit for contributions beyond their paid membership amount. This database can become vital to the establishment of a successful annual giving strategy, generating unforeseen contributions. The membership can also become a good source to mine for potential volunteers and board members. In the end, a sound, effective, and carefully thought-out development strategy, which includes an annual giving plan, will help the partners to broaden the revenue base for the National Heritage Area and make it less vulnerable to state budget problems, or fluctuations with economic changes that occur.

Current and former Calumet Heritage Partnership board members locate newly mapped natural and cultural resources at a public meeting review.

Looking out onto the newly restored landscape from the Marquette Park Pavillion terrace.

Page 61: The executive director of Northwest Indiana’s Regional Development Authority gives a presentation at the 2013 Calumet Summit.
Summary of Feasibility

The Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study has examined the big idea generated by regional stakeholders over the past two decades: that the region’s disparate themes and interests can be gathered into a shared vision and that a Calumet National Heritage Area would be an excellent way to make it happen. The Study has asked: is this idea feasible? Is there really a nationally significant story about this place? Are there resources on the ground that could help to tell that story? Is there a framework and capacity to tell that story?

To frame the answers to these and other relevant questions about the feasibility of a Calumet National Heritage Area, the National Park Service lists ten interim criteria for evaluation of candidate areas by the NPS, Congress, and the public. The Study answers these questions. Each criterion is listed below, followed by a statement describing how this Study has demonstrated the feasibility and suitability of National Heritage Area designation for the Calumet region.

1. An area has an assemblage of natural, historic, or cultural resources that together represent distinctive aspects of American heritage worthy of recognition, conservation, interpretation, and continuing use, and are best managed as such an assemblage through partnerships among public and private entities, and by combining diverse and sometimes noncontiguous resources and active communities;

The Calumet region has an important story of national significance to be told concerning how the natural world was changed to make way for industry, transportation, and peoples from across the country and around the world. Through the process of preparing the feasibility study, engaged residents and organizations identified 440 resources that contribute to the region’s industrial, natural, and cultural heritage. Of those, 226 resources of special significance have been selected to illustrate key themes of the story.

2. Reflects traditions, customs, beliefs, and folklife that are a valuable part of the national story;

The rich cultural diversity of the region is sustained in a variety of ways that are reflected in the resource inventory.

3. Provides outstanding opportunities to conserve natural, cultural, historic, and/or scenic features;

The region is one of the nation’s richest visual landscapes, combining a heavy industrial presence with meticulous ecological restorations. Large opportunities exist in land conservation, especially in working with a partner network to manage and connect existing protected lands. Very large opportunities exist in historic and industrial archaeological conservation.
4. Provides outstanding recreational and educational opportunities;

Strong partner networks exist to build connected curriculum on natural and cultural heritage. Urban recreational opportunities are outstanding, with a rapidly expanding network of land and water trails, new bike parks, heritage tourism, and better connections being made to the Chicago market.

5. The resources important to the identified theme or themes of the area retain a degree of integrity capable of supporting interpretation;

The resources needed to interpret key themes are able to support interpretation, especially if action is taken soon to secure them.

6. Residents, business interests, non-profit organizations, and governments within the proposed area are involved in the planning, have developed a conceptual financial plan that outlines the roles for all participants including the federal government, and have demonstrated support for designation of the area;

A vigorous partner network to support the National Heritage Area has been assembled by the Calumet Heritage Partnership. A new Calumet Collaborative will bring capacity to regional-scale projects. The two organizations have a Memorandum of Understanding to operate as the joint coordinating entity.

7. The proposed coordinating entity and units of government supporting the designation are willing to commit to working in partnership to develop the heritage area;

Lead regional partners include the Northwestern Indiana Planning Commission, the regional planning agency in Indiana. The Calumet Collaborative includes leaders of key government agencies involved in land management across the Calumet region.

8. The proposal is consistent with continued economic activity in the area;

The region is a major American working landscape. This economic activity includes a twenty-first-century balance with the nationally significant natural and historical context in which it sits.

Regional leaders work to identify existing key recreational and educational opportunities at 2015 Calumet Summit.

The landmark South Shore railroad connects two national parks, major steel production areas, and downtown Chicago.
9. A conceptual boundary map is supported by the public;

A core multi-trait regional boundary is widely accepted, and an operational/administrative boundary that includes all of three northwest Indiana counties is also accepted.

10. The coordinating entity proposed to plan and implement the project is described.

The Calumet Heritage Partnership and Calumet Collaborative have agreed to be joint coordinating entities for the management planning process. The final coordinating entity will be named in the management plan.

Conclusions and Some Next Steps

In short, this Study shows that the Calumet National Heritage Area meets the criteria set by the National Park Service. As said in Chapter 1, the Calumet Region’s story has been years in the telling, and now it can be clearly stated that a Calumet National Heritage Area is desirable, feasible, and poised to get started.

In a large, complicated region like the Calumet area, and with an agenda full of goals and priorities, what should the National Heritage Area actually begin? A good answer to that question can be found in the voices of community members and regional leaders who made recommendations through the range of meetings and conversations held over the course of the feasibility study. These recommendations point to particular projects to be undertaken in a regional context that should move forward soon:

1. Pursue a federal designation for a Calumet National Heritage Area.
2. Develop a consolidated bi-state calendar of heritage-oriented events.
3. Convene and engage a broad group of local heritage organizations.
4. Build a consortium of local archival, historical, and cultural institutions.
5. Coordinate existing wayfinding and trail efforts into a bi-state, regional plan.
6. Pursue funding for a management plan for the Calumet National Heritage Area.

While all of these actions are desirable, none of them is the only litmus test for whether the Calumet is described as a National Heritage Area. In many critical ways, it already is.

Next steps in the region may take you into the restored Miller Woods area near downtown Gary.
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Every project big or small is successful largely due to the collective efforts made by dedicated individuals and organizations. The full completion of the Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study can be attributed to the individuals and partner organizations listed in this section who have made invaluable contributions towards this project.
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Identifying themes that demonstrate the significance and uniqueness of the Calumet region is a key step in developing a feasibility study and proposal for designation of a National Heritage Area. The Themes Task Force helped review, refine and draft the selected themes.
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The Advisory Committee offered input on aspects of the feasibility study that related to their specific areas of expertise and gave direction towards future work.
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Arthur Pearson  
Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation
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Doug Ross  
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Calumet Collaborative
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The Field Museum Staff, Interns, and Volunteers

Mark Bouman  
Aasia Mohammad Castañeda  
Rebecca Collings  
Chao Fan  
Lara Gonzalez  
Mark Johnston  
Marc Lambruschi  
Andrew Leith  
Mario Longoni  
Jim Louderman  

Sherry Meyer  
Laura Milkert  
Bill Peterman  
Izabella Redlinski  
Doug Stotz  
Robb Telfer  
Madeleine Tudor  
Alaka Wali  
Catie Witt  
Ellen Woodward

Consultants
The two consultants provided overall guidance through the 11 feasibility study tasks, conducted stakeholder interviews, helped facilitate public comment meetings and prepared components of the study that required an outsider’s perspective.

Nancy Morgan  
Point Heritage Development  

August Carlino  
Rivers of Steel National Heritage Area

Volunteers
Many volunteers helped spread the word, design print documents, and distribute materials at community meetings and through social media. Barb Dust and Pat Hansen, however, deserve special mention for their extraordinary efforts towards this project.
At the outset of the project, the Calumet Heritage Partnership and The Field Museum created a community engagement and communications strategy to increase the public’s understanding of National Heritage Areas and the feasibility study process, maximize stakeholder participation in each of the appropriate feasibility study tasks, and connect with potential partner organizations. This appendix is divided into two parts: first the discussion of engagement, understood as the face-to-face conversations and presentations intended to explain the potential heritage area, gather public input, and garner support. Second is an overview of the marketing done to make the feasibility study process visible and compelling to potential participants.

Engagement in the Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study Process

Face-to-face engagement took a variety of forms: Community Conversations, annual Calumet Heritage Conferences, biennial Calumet Summits, Public Meetings, and Presentations and Briefings. The numbers, frequency, or locations of each of these are shown in the following tables.

Community Conversations

Held at public locations across the region, these were gatherings with anywhere from 10 to 25 participants at each. Facilitators presented the idea of the Calumet National Heritage Area, but the bulk of the time was spent eliciting feedback on national significance, themes, resources, and boundaries. Each conversation was focused on single heritage category such as local history and historic preservation, or recreation and heritage (see these categories in the table below). Data gathering was done variously through mapping, object or photo elicitation, short surveys, and note taking on conversations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic and Location</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Arts and Heritage Community Conversation at Calumet College of St. Joseph</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Arts and Heritage Community Conversation at Vodak East Side Public Library</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Local History and Historic Preservation Community Conversation at Indiana Landmarks</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Local History and Historic Preservation Community Conversation at Vodak East Side Public Library</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Industrial Heritage Community Conversation at Porter County Public Library</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Industrial Heritage Community Conversation at Vodak East Side Public Library</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Environment and Heritage Community Conversation at Meadowbrook Conservation Center and Preserve, Shirley Heinze Land Trust</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Environment and Heritage Community Conversation at Vodak East Side Public Library</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Recreation and Heritage Community Conversation at City Hall, Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Recreation and Heritage Community Conversation at Vodak East Side Public Library</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Ethnic and Cultural Heritage Community Conversation at Porter County Public Library</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Ethnic and Cultural Heritage Community Conversation at Village of Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conferences and Summits

The Calumet Heritage Partnership holds an annual Calumet Heritage Conference that moves around the region, and the Calumet Stewardship Initiative hosts a biennial Calumet Summit every two years. During the time of the feasibility study they were integrated into the process. The 2015 Calumet Heritage Conference and the 2016 Calumet Summit were marketed and structured to fulfill the 1st and 2nd rounds of public meetings mandated within the feasibility study process. A full list of previous Calumet Heritage Conferences may be found at [http://www.calumetheritage.org/conference/conference.html](http://www.calumetheritage.org/conference/conference.html)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Conference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference A Calumet Heritage Area Revisited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference Network Calumet: A Heritage Resources Journey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Calumet Summit Connecting for Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference Art and Heritage: The Making of the Calumet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference What is most nationally significant about the Calumet region?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Calumet Summit Advancing Our Shared Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference Calumet Heritage Area: The Proposal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Comment Meetings
Two rounds of public meetings were held to gather feedback from the public on the themes, study boundary and proposed boundary, goals and priorities, and management alternatives. The first round was a series of four meetings over two days, at four distinct locations (see first four entries below). The second was a single large gathering at a central location in the region (final entry below).

1  The National Significance of the Calumet Region, Public Comment Meeting
   East Chicago Public Library (2015)
   IN

2  The National Significance of the Calumet Region, Public Comment Meeting
   Vodak East Side Public Library (2015)
   IL

3  The National Significance of the Calumet Region, Public Comment Meeting
   City Hall, Michigan City (2015)
   IN

4  The National Significance of the Calumet Region, Public Comment Meeting
   South Suburban College (2015)
   IL

5  Calumet National Heritage Area: The Proposal, Public Comment Meeting
   Lake Etta County Park (2016)
   IN

Public Presentations and Briefings
A total of 34 presentations and briefings were made to a variety of local and regional community organizations throughout the Calumet region from 2014 through 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Organization</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Calumet Revisited, Calumet College of St. Joseph</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Urban League of Northwest Indiana</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Whiting/Robardsdale Historical Society</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  Lansing Historical Society</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  Northwest Indiana Green Drinks, Valparaiso Chapter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  Southeast Chicago Historical Museum</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  Northwest Indiana Life, Next Conversations at Porter County Museum</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  South Shore Arts Board Members Meeting</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  Commercial Avenue Revitalization Committee</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Calumet Area Industrial Commission Board of Directors</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Calumet Area Industrial Commission, Environmental Committee</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Northwest Indiana Green Drinks, Gary Chapter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Calumet City Historical Society</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 League of Women Voters, LaPorte County Chapter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Friends of the Parks Policy Committee</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 10th Ward Alderman Office</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Congressman Pete Visclosky’s Office</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 South Suburban Genealogical and Historical Society</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 LaCaRe Art League, Lake and Calumet Region</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Thornton Historical Society</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Saint Xavier University</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Porter County Museum</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission, Environmental Management Policy Committee</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Northwest Indiana Regional Planning Commission, Executive Commission</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Michigan City Conference on the Environment</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Rotary International, Valparaiso Chapter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 League of Women Voters of the Calumet Region</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marketing and Promotion of the Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative

This section provides a sampling of the communications and marketing materials and illustration used throughout the life of the project to support the aforementioned engagement and communications goals. They include: a Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative logo and website, social media outlets, printed and digital documents, and media outreach.

A designer was hired to create the Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative logo for the purpose of building a brand and visual identity for the project. The logo, shown below, highlights the study area boundary. The logo resonates with local communities as it is easily recognized as the Calumet region, though unique from other regional project logos.

Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative’s online community was established through the Calumet Heritage Partnership website, Calumet Heritage Facebook page and Twitter profile. The website, www.calumetheritage.org, serves as the information hub for the project with feasibility study news, events, and opportunities for the public to stay engaged throughout the process. The Calumet Heritage Facebook page and Twitter profile has regular scheduled posts varying in content including; feasibility study news, video presentations, and local events that highlight the natural and cultural resources of the Calumet region.
Print Materials

A variety of materials were developed for the purpose of educating and promoting the Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative including a Question and Answer brochure, project postcard, community meeting flyers, electronic newsletters, and an “I Support” sign. All materials were also made available online. Event appropriate print materials were made available at conferences, summits, public meetings, community conversations, and briefings (all listed below). Additional flering was done at events in the region (e.g. ecological restoration work days, Cook County Forest Preserves Kid’s Fest, Wolf Lake Active Living Fair, etc.) and via face-to-face visits to businesses, manufactures, libraries, and municipal service buildings.
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A CALUMET NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA will put local pride into the national spotlight.

Here is a region like no other, its people, industries, and nature combine to tell stories the whole country needs to hear. That’s why the Calumet Heritage Partnership and The Field Museum are working to create a Calumet National Heritage Area, so that everyone here and around the country knows how special this place is.

Visit CalumetHeritage.org/heritage.html
- Learn about the project
- Join our mailing list to receive event information and newsletters
- Support the effort

Questions? Email us at calumetheritage@calumetheritage.org

Have you met the Calumet?

Industrial Heritage in the Calumet Region
Community Conversations

Current and former industrial workers, union members, historians, Calumet residents — this gathering is for you!

The Calumet region has a rich and significant industrial heritage, serving as an economic engine for America for over a century. If you have worked or currently work in Calumet industry or have been influenced by it, come share your reflections on the region's industries, from steel mills to railroads to food processing. How do you connect with Calumet's industrial heritage? How is it relevant today? We are interested in hearing your take on the unique nature of the Calumet region, its contributions to industrial America, and the ways you would like the heritage represented in a future Calumet National Heritage Area.

Tuesday, March 10, 2015
3:30-7:30 pm
Forte Library
2005 Irving St.
Pawnee, IN 46366

Monday, March 16, 2015
3:30-7:30 pm
South Side Branch
2050 East 106th Street
Chicago, IL 60617

About a Calumet National Heritage Area
Across the country, National Heritage Area designations have helped benefit local communities and landscapes. This set of industrial heritage conversations are the next in a series of community discussions about the potential for creating a heritage area for the Calumet region. In the coming months, the Calumet Heritage Partnership is planning a series of events to explore the Calumet region’s heritage and how it can help to build its future. Hosted by The Field Museum in collaboration with the Calumet Heritage Partnership, these conversations will conclude in a feasibility study for a Calumet National Heritage Area in Northeast Illinois and northwest Indiana. Future topics will include the region’s environmental, cultural, and recreational resources.

*All are welcome at both events, but you do not need to attend both for your voice to be heard.

To RSVP or for more information, contact Nadelene Tuexen:

AROUND THE REGION

Industrial Heritage Exhibition

The Partnership kicked off its 2016 Event Series at Calumet’s Industrial Heritage Exhibition. A series of talks and exhibits came out to address the work of two local historic preservationists, Mark Spurlin and Marcene Kaplan, who shared their knowledge and photographs that capture the unique history of the industrial landscape. The event was held at South Shore No. 8 in Hammond. Did you miss the exhibit? We will be traveling to another South Shore Arts location for community engagement events for teachers, youth, and local community members.

Regional Heritage

City of Hammond hosted the first “Holiday” celebration for the region. The event was called “215 Days,” named after Northwest Indiana’s area code. The event featured a variety of local artists, including a White Castle-inspired draw. The event was focused on local artists and featured a White Castle-inspired draw. The event was held at the Hammond Civic Center. Carole Lawrence

Shores and Lakes

City of Hammond hosted the first “Holiday” celebration for the region. The event was called “215 Days,” named after Northwest Indiana’s area code. The event featured a variety of local artists, including a White Castle-inspired draw. The event was held at the Hammond Civic Center. Carole Lawrence

I support the Calumet National Heritage Area

Find us on www.calumethertitage.org
www.calumethertitage.org/calumethertitage@calumethertitage.org
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Media Outreach
Feasibility study updates and press releases were distributed to 187 media outlets throughout the duration of this effort resulting in multiple radio and television appearances, newspaper articles, online news stories and social media highlights. A photo from an interview with Lakeshore Public Television and two newspaper articles that materialized from this media outreach are highlighted below. For a complete list of Calumet National Heritage Area Initiative media coverage visit http://www.calumetheritage.org/heritage.html
This appendix is divided into three tables:

A. **Key Resources**: Resources that directly support the proposed National Heritage Area themes, or resources that are essential in combination with others to tell the national and regional story of the themes.

B. **Archives, Museums, and Interpretive Centers**: The organizations in the region where detailed or comprehensive heritage knowledge is curated for scholarly or public benefit; includes historical societies with collections and archives, as well as those with few material assets but which have knowledgeable members. The organizations on this list will be essential partners in telling the heritage story of the Calumet region.

C. **Events and Festivals**: A partial list of recurring events or festivals, as of Fall 2016, which draw thematically on regional heritage.

### A. Key Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1 - Nature Reworked: The Calumet’s Diverse Landscape</th>
<th>Theme 2 - Innovation and Change for Industries and Workers</th>
<th>Theme 3 - Crucible of Working Class and Ethnic Cultures</th>
<th>Nat’l Historic/Natural Landmark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>106th Street Bridge</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rec., CL, HD</td>
<td>91st and Commercial &quot;Heart and Soul&quot; Street</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IR, HS, CL</td>
<td>95th Street Bridge</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>ACME Steel Company</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Agudath Achim-Bikur Cholim Synagogue</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Altgeld Gardens</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Ambler Flatwoods</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IR, HS, CL</td>
<td>American Bridge Works</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>American Sheet and Tin Mill Apartment Building</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>American Slovak Club Inc</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HS, MS</td>
<td>American State Bank Building</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NRO, HE</td>
<td>Annunciata Fest</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HD, IR</td>
<td>ArcelorMittal, Burns Harbor</td>
<td>Burns Harbor</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>HS, CL, IR</td>
<td>ArcelorMittal, Indiana Harbor</td>
<td>East Chicago</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>HD, IR</td>
<td>ArcelorMittal, Riverdale</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Bamboo Lounge</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Barney Sablotny House</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See end of Appendix C for list of abbreviations.
### A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CL, AS</td>
<td>Baum’s Bridge Archaeological Sites and Collier Hunting Lodge</td>
<td>Kouts</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Beaubien Woods Forest Preserve</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rec., HS</td>
<td>Bessemer Park Field House</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Beverly Shores Century of Progress Architectural Historic District</td>
<td>IDNL, Beverly Shores</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rec., NF, HS</td>
<td>Beverly Shores South Shore RR Station</td>
<td>Beverly Shores</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Rec., NPG</td>
<td>Big Marsh</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>IR, HS</td>
<td>Blue Bridge</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>IR, HS</td>
<td>Blue Island Lock and Dam</td>
<td>Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>IR, HS, CL</td>
<td>British Petroleum Whiting Refinery</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Burnham Greenway</td>
<td>Chicago to Lansing</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>NPG, OD</td>
<td>Burnham Prairie</td>
<td>Burnham</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Calumet Fisheries</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Rec., IR</td>
<td>Calumet Harbor and River</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>HS, HD, HR, CL, Rec.</td>
<td>Calumet Park and Field House</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Calumet Regional Archives</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>IR, HS</td>
<td>Calumet-Sag Channel Bridges and Double Diamond</td>
<td>Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>IR, OD, NRO</td>
<td>Calumet-Saganashkee Channel</td>
<td>Starts Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Cargill Inc.</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Carter G. Woodson Regional Public Library</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Cathedral of the Holy Angels</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>HS, IR</td>
<td>Chicago, South Shore, and South Bend RR Station</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Childhood Home of Michael Jackson</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>City United Methodist Church</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Clark and Pine Dune and Swale</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>HR, NPG, NP</td>
<td>Cowles Bog</td>
<td>Chesterton</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Crown Point Courthouse Square Historic District</td>
<td>Crown Point</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Dan Ryan Woods</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Dixie Highway</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Dolton Prairie</td>
<td>Calumet City</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>HS, Rec., CL</td>
<td>Dune Acres Clubhouse</td>
<td>Dune Acres</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>Dupont Natural Area</td>
<td>East Chicago</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Edison Concept Homes</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Edison Concept Homes (row houses)</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Eggers Woods Forest Preserve</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>First Unitarian Church of Hobart</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Ford Airport Hanger</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Forsythe Park and Wolf Lake Channel</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Franklin Street Commercial Historic District</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Gary City Center Historic District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Gary Land Company Building</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>NPG, SP</td>
<td>Gibson Woods</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>NRO, Rec.</td>
<td>Grand Calumet Lagoons</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Grand Calumet Restoration (Roxanna Marsh &amp; Tern Site)</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Grand Illinois Trail</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Grand Kankakee Marsh County Park</td>
<td>Hebron</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Great Lakes Café</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Griffith Historical Park and Depot Museum</td>
<td>Griffith</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Hammond Lakefront Park and Bird Sanctuary</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Hammond Public Library (Suzanne G. Long Local History Room)</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Haskell and Barker Historic District</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>AMI, IR</td>
<td>Hesston Steam Museum</td>
<td>Hesston</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>HD, CL, HS, NRO</td>
<td>Historic Butternut Tree in Riverdale</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Hoosier Prairie State Nature Preserve</td>
<td>Schererville</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Horace Mann Historic District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Hoxie Site</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Immaculate Conception Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Indian Boundary Line</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Indian Boundary Prairies</td>
<td>Markham</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Indian Ridge Marsh North</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>NPG, IR</td>
<td>Indian Ridge Marsh South</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>NWR, NP, Rec.</td>
<td>Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Indiana Dunes Pavilion and Beach House</td>
<td>Westchester Township</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>SP, NPG</td>
<td>Indiana Dunes State Park and Dunes Nature Preserve</td>
<td>Chesterton</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>NRO, SP</td>
<td>Ivanhoe Dune and Swale</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>SP, NRO</td>
<td>Ivanhoe South</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>HS, AMI</td>
<td>John H. Barker Mansion</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Joseph Bailly Homestead and Cemetery</td>
<td>IN Dunes Nat Lakeshore</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Rec., IR, NRO, SP</td>
<td>Kankakee River</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL, IN</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Rec., NPG</td>
<td>Kickapoo Woods</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Kingsbury Fish and Wildlife Area</td>
<td>La Porte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>IR, HS</td>
<td>Kingsbury Industrial Park</td>
<td>Kingsbury</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Lake Calumet</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>NRO, Rec.</td>
<td>Lake George</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Rec., Cl, NRO</td>
<td>Lake George and George Lake Woods</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Rec., Cl, HS, NRO</td>
<td>Lake Michigan</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL, IN</td>
<td>all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>HS, IR</td>
<td>Lake Shore &amp; Michigan Southern Railway, Bridge No. 6</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Rec., NRO</td>
<td>LaPorte Chain of Lakes</td>
<td>La Porte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>LaPorte County Historical Society Museum</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>HR, CL</td>
<td>Lincoln Highway (Ideal Section)</td>
<td>Schererville, Dyer</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Little Calumet Headwaters Nature Preserve</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>HS, NRO, IR, Rec.</td>
<td>Little Calumet River</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>all</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>Little Calumet Wetlands</td>
<td>Chesterton</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>NRO, Rec.</td>
<td>Lost Marsh Golf Course</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>HS, IR, AMI</td>
<td>Louis J. Bailey Branch Library and Gary International Institute</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Mahencha Apartment Building</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Major Taylor Trail</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Cl, IR, HS, NPG</td>
<td>Marian R. Byrnes Natural Area</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>HS, MS</td>
<td>Market Square Building</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>NWR,NPG</td>
<td>Markham Prairie</td>
<td>Markham</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Marktown Historic District</td>
<td>Indiana Harbor</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Rec., CL</td>
<td>Marquette Greenway</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Marquette Park and Pavilion (Gary Bathing Beach Aquatorium, Miller Beach and Chanute Hill)</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>MS, CL</td>
<td>Marshall J. Gardner Center for the Arts</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>IR, HS</td>
<td>McGill Manufacturing Company Inc.</td>
<td>Valparaiso</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>HS, NPP</td>
<td>Meadowbrook Conservation Center and Preserve</td>
<td>Valparaiso</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Memorial Community House</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>CL, HS, MM</td>
<td>Memorial Day Massacre Site and Memorial</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Method Soap and Gotham Greens Facility</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>HS, IR</td>
<td>Michigan Avenue Rail Yard</td>
<td>East Chicago</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>HS, IR, Rec.</td>
<td>Michigan City East Pierhead Light Tower and Elevated Walk</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Michigan City Old Lighthouse Museum</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>NRO, IR, HR</td>
<td>Michigan City Road</td>
<td>Calumet City</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Michigan Road State Historic Highway</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>HD, CL</td>
<td>Midtown Gary African American Historic District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Miller Beach Arts and Creative District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Miller Town Hall</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Monon Park Dance Hall</td>
<td>Cedar Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>NPP, Rec.</td>
<td>Moraine Nature Preserve</td>
<td>Valparaiso</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Mural: &quot;South Chicago: We all come together as one&quot;</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>National A. Philip Randolph Pullman Porter Museum</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Nelson Algren's Cottage</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Nike Missile Site C-47</td>
<td>Portage Township</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>NIPSCO - Bailly Generating Station</td>
<td>Chesterton</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>North Gleason Park Pavillion</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Rec., MS</td>
<td>Oil City Stadium</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>MS, HD</td>
<td>Old Western Avenue</td>
<td>Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>HE, CL</td>
<td>Our Lady of Guadalupe Parish</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Pacesetter Gardens Historic District</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Park Forest Planned Community</td>
<td>Park Forest</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Paul H. Douglas Center For Environmental Education</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Paul Henry's Gallery</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Pennsylvania RR Station</td>
<td>Hobart</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>CL, MS</td>
<td>Pierogi Fest</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Pilgrim Missionary Baptist Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>NWR</td>
<td>Pinhook Bog</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Pinhook Methodist Church and Cemetery</td>
<td>New Durham Township</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, Ft. Wayne, and Chicago Railway and Calumet River Bridge</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Polish Army Veterans Post No. 40</td>
<td>Crown Point</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>CL, NRO</td>
<td>Portage Lakefront and Riverwalk</td>
<td>Portage</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>AMI, HS</td>
<td>Porter County Museum of History</td>
<td>Valparaiso</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Powderhorn Lake Forest Preserve</td>
<td>Burnham, Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Power Circle Center/Former Union Hall</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Pride of East Side/Blues Brothers Mural</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Pullman Greenstone Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>NP</td>
<td>Pullman National Monument</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Pullman Plant</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Rec., NRO, HS</td>
<td>Rainbow Beach</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Ralph Waldo Emerson School</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Rec., NPG</td>
<td>Red Mill County Park</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Reformation Lutheran Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Riverdale Original Fire House</td>
<td>Riverdale</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>NRO, IR</td>
<td>Riverdale Quarry/Clay Pit Lake</td>
<td>Dolton</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Roosevelt High School</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Rumely Companies' Agricultural Products</td>
<td>La Porte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Sacred Heart Church</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>NPG, OD</td>
<td>Sand Ridge Nature Preserve</td>
<td>South Holland</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>NRO, Rec.</td>
<td>Saugany Lake</td>
<td>Birchim</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### A. Key Resources (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Theme 1</th>
<th>Theme 2</th>
<th>Theme 3</th>
<th>NH/NL*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>HS, HR, IR, CL</td>
<td>Sauk Trail</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>all</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Seidner Dune and Swale Preserve</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Rec., CL</td>
<td>Serbian Social Center</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Smith Family House</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>South Chicago Bank Building (former)</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>South Chicago People's Park</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>South Side Irish Parade</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>HS, AMI</td>
<td>Southeast Chicago Historical Society</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>CL, NRO</td>
<td>Southeast Environmental Task Force (Eco-Tours)</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Springfield Fen</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>St. Ann of the Dunes Roman Catholic Church</td>
<td>Beverly Shores</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>St. Anthony of Padua</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>St. Augustine’s Episcopal Church</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>HE</td>
<td>St. Donatus Festival</td>
<td>Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>St. Francis de Sales High School</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>St. John the Baptist Catholic Church</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>St. John's Hospital</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>St. John's Lutheran Church</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>St. Mary of the Lake</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>St. Michael the Archangel Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>St. Michael the Archangel Serbian Orthodox Church</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>St. Philip Lutheran Church</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>St. Simeon Mirotocivi Serbian Orthodox Church</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>State Bank of Hammond Building</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>IR, HS, CL</td>
<td>State Line Generating Plant Gate</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>State St. Commercial Historic District</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Steel Mill Quarter</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>CL, Rec.</td>
<td>Steelworkers’ Park</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>CL, AMI</td>
<td>Stewart House</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Stony Island</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Streamside Elevated Pool Aeration Station (SEPA)</td>
<td>Blue Island</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Rec., NPP</td>
<td>Taltree Arboretum and Gardens</td>
<td>Valparaiso</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>The Bartel Grasslands</td>
<td>Tinley Park</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Theme 1</td>
<td>Theme 2</td>
<td>Theme 3</td>
<td>NH/NL*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>The Dunbar House</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Thomas J. O'Brien Lock and Control Works</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>NPP, OD</td>
<td>Thornton Fractional North High School Prairie</td>
<td>Calumet City</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>IR, OD</td>
<td>Thornton Quarry</td>
<td>Thornton</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>NPG, NP</td>
<td>Tollesten Ridges</td>
<td>Gary, Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Towle Theater</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Trail Creek Fen</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>HS, CL</td>
<td>Trumbull Park Homes</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>HD, CL, IR</td>
<td>U.S. Steel Worker’s Housing Historic District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Unilever Home and Personal Care Plant</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>MS, HD</td>
<td>Uptown Arts District</td>
<td>Michigan City</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>US Bike Route 35</td>
<td>La Porte</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>US Bike Route 36</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL, IN</td>
<td>all</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>HS, OD</td>
<td>US Coastguard Station</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>CL, IR</td>
<td>US Steel Gary Works</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>Rec., HS, IR</td>
<td>US Steel South Works</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Veterans’ Memorial</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Vietnam Veteran’s Mural</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>View to North on Cline Avenue (industrial viewscape)</td>
<td>East Chicago, Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>CL, NRO, OD</td>
<td>Vincennes Trace</td>
<td>multiple</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>CL, HS</td>
<td>Von Zirngibl Gravesite</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>NPG, Rec.</td>
<td>Wampum Lake Woods and Thornton-Lansing Road Nature Preserve</td>
<td>Lansing</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>NPG, OD</td>
<td>Wentworth Prairie and Woods</td>
<td>Calumet City</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>HD</td>
<td>West 5th Avenue Apartments Historic District</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Whiting City Hall</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>CL, Rec.</td>
<td>Whiting Community Center</td>
<td>Whiting</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>IR, SP</td>
<td>William W. Powers State Recreation Area</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>Rec., NRO, IR</td>
<td>Wolf Lake Memorial Park and Pavilion</td>
<td>Hammond</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>CL, HS, MM</td>
<td>Wolf Lake Nike Site</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B. Archives, Museums, Interpretive Centers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alton Goin Museum (Portage Historical Society)</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Beecher Historical Society Museum (C &amp; EI Train Station)</td>
<td>Will</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Blue Island Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Blue Island History Museum (Blue Island Public Library)</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Brauer Art Museum</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Calumet City Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Calumet Environment Resources Center (CERC) (Chicago State University)</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Calumet Regional Archives (Indiana University Northwest)</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Carter G. Woodson Regional Public Library (Vivian Harsh Research Collection)</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dorband Howe House Museum (Homewood Historical Society)</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dyer Historical Society</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Griffith Historical Park and Depot Museum</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hammond Public Library (Suzanne G. Long Local History Room)</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Heritage Cabin (Verteers Park, Calumet City)</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hesston Steam Museum</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hobart Carnegie Library</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hour Glass Museum (Ogden Dunes Historical Society)</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Indiana Harbor Public Library</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>John H. Barker Mansion</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Joseph Bailly Homestead and Cemetery</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Lake of the Red Cedars Museum (Cedar Lake Historical Society)</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>LaPorte County Historical Society Museum</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Little Red Schoolhouse (Hessville Historical Society)</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Luhr Park Nature Center</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Merrillville-Ross Township Historical Museum</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Michigan City Old Lighthouse Museum</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>National A. Philip Randolph Pullman Porter Museum</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Paul H. Douglas Center For Environmental Education</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Plum Creek Nature Center</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Porter County Museum of History</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Pullman National Monument Information Center</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Pullman State Historic Site Archives</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ridge Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Riverdale Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Sand Ridge Nature Center</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Schererville Historical Society</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>South Shore Cultural Center</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### B. Archives, Museums, Interpretive Centers (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Resource Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>South Suburban Genealogical and Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Southeast Chicago Historical Society</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>St. John’s Historical Society</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Stagecoach Inn and Panhandle Depot Museum</td>
<td>Porter</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Stewart House</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Thornton Historical Society Museum</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Wilhelmina Stallbohm Kaske House and Barn</td>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>IN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Events and Festivals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Event/Festival Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“A Christmas Story” Comes Home Celebration</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Annual East Side Community Day</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Annunciata Fest</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Beaubien Woods Celebration Day</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Blue Island Historical House Walk</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Calumet Heritage Conference</td>
<td>both</td>
<td>multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Calumet Outdoor Series (guided hikes)</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Century of Progress Homes Tour</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Chesterton European Market</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cook County Forest Preserve Kids’ Fest</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Drivin’ The Dixie Tours</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Earth Day Celebration (Sand Ridge Nature Center)</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Eggers Grove, Nature Block Party</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Festival of the Lakes</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>First Fridays Art Walks</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Garage Mahal</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Green Gary Festival</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Greening of the Arts</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hammond Art Tour</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Historic Pullman House Tour</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C. Events and Festivals (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Holiday Light Parade</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Illinois Archaeology Day</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Lake County Fair</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Little Calumet River Cleanup at Kickapoo Woods</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Little Calumet River Festival</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Major Taylor Trail (cycling events)</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Maple Sugar Time</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Memorial Day Massacre Remembrance</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Miller Beach Arts and Creative District (arts events)</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Miller Beach Farmers Market</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Miller Garden Club, Annual Walk</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>New Year's &quot;Rock around the Clock&quot;</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Northwest Indiana Earth Day</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Paul Henry's Art Gallery (arts events)</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Pierogi Fest</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Popcorn Festival</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Porter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Powder Horn Lake Teen Fest</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Pullman Farmers Market at Arcade Park</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Rainbow Beach (restoration workdays)</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Ship and Shore Blues Festival</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>LaPorte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>South Chicago People's Park</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>South Holland Memorial Day Parade</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>South Side Irish Parade</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Southeast Side Summerfest</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>St. Donatus Festival</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Toxics to Treasures Tours</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Cook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Tribute to Jean Shepard</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Winter Wonderland at Wolf Lake Festival</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Wolf Lake Active Living Fair</td>
<td>both</td>
<td>Cook and Lake</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Resource Type" Abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>Archive, Museum, or Interpretive Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS</td>
<td>Archaeological Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Cultural Landscape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD</td>
<td>Historical District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HE</td>
<td>Heritage Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>Historic Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>Historic Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Industrial Resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Monuments and Memorials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Main Street Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU</td>
<td>Museum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>National Battlefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>National Forest (Grassland, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH/NL</td>
<td>National Historic Landmark or National Natural Landmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>National Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPG</td>
<td>Nature Preserve - Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>Nature Preserve – Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRO</td>
<td>Natural Resource Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWR</td>
<td>National Wildlife Refuge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rec.</td>
<td>Recreation Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>State Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>Other Designations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWR</td>
<td>State Wildlife Refuge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Active Transportation Alliance
http://activetrans.org

Alliance for the Great Lakes
https://greatlakes.org

ArcelorMittal – Partnerships in the Calumet Region

Art Organizations and Institutions in the Calumet Region

Association for the Wolf Lake Initiative (AWLI)
http://www.wolflakeinitiative.org

Blacks In Green (BIG)
http://blacksingreen.org

Calumet: An Ecological & Economical Rebirth (U.S. Forest Service)
https://www.nrs.fs.fed.us/urban/calumet/

Calumet Area Industrial Commission
http://calumetareaindustrial.com

Calumet Collaborative
See http://www.millenniumreserve.org/about

Calumet Ecological Park Association
http://calumetstewardship.org/member-organizations/calumet-ecological-park-association#.WFraZxQcMlI

Calumet Ecological Park Feasibility Study
http://www.csu.edu/cerc/documents/calumetecologicalparkstudy.pdf

Calumet Environmental Resource Center (CERC)
https://www.csu.edu/cerc/

Calumet Heritage Partnership
http://www.calumetheritage.org/index.html

Calumet Heritage Partnership - Heritage Resources Directory
http://www.calumetheritage.org/connections/calumetresourcedir.html

Calumet is My Back Yard (CIMBY)
https://www.fieldmuseum.org/at-the-field/programs/calumet-my-back-yard-cimby

Calumet Region: An American Place Brauer Museum of Art, Valparaiso University
http://www.valpo.edu/calumetregion/

Calumet Stewardship Initiative (CSI)
http://calumetstewardship.org

Centro Comunitario Juan Diego
http://ccjuandiego.org/

Chicago Community Trust
http://www.cct.org
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP)  
http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/

Chicago Park District  
http://www.chicagoparkdistrict.com

Chicago Southland Economic Development Corporation  
http://ssmma.org/economic-development-6/

Chicago Wilderness  
http://www.chicagowilderness.org

City of Blue Island  
http://www.blueisland.org

Claretian Associates, Inc  
https://www.claretianassociates.org/index.html

Cynthia Ogorek - the Public Historian  
http://www.centerofknownhistory.com

Dunes Learning Center  
https://duneslearningcenter.org

Field Museum (The) - Journey Through Calumet  
http://archive.fieldmuseum.org/calumet/

Field Museum (The) - Keller Science Action Center  
https://www.fieldmuseum.org/science/research/area/keller-science-action-center

Field Museum (The) - Youth Conservation Action  

Fishin' Buddies  
http://www.fishin-buddies.net

Forest Preserve District of Cook County  
http://fpdcc.com

Friends of the Calumet-Sag Trail  
http://www.calsagtrail.org/about-us/

Friends of the Chicago River  
http://www.chicagoriver.org

Friends of the Forest Preserves  
http://www.fotfp.org

Friends of the Parks (FOTP)  
http://fotp.org

Gary, Indiana – Midtown The Central District (video)  
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IzwR0KdiQNo

Gaylord and Dorothy Donnelley Foundation – Chicago Region Land Conservation  
http://gddf.org/land-conservation/chicago
Global Alliance of Artists
http://www.aex.globalallianceartists.org

Golden Apple Foundation
http://www.goldenapple.org

Hammond Parks Foundation
https://www.facebook.com/Hammond-Parks-Foundation-Inc-168196029981747/

Hoosier Environmental Council
http://www.hecweb.org

Hour Glass Museum
http://odhistory.org/3701/7901.html

Illinois Department of Natural Resources
https://www.dnr.illinois.gov/Pages/default.aspx

Illinois Natural History Survey
http://www.inhs.illinois.edu

Illinois/Indiana Coastal Zone Management Program
https://coast.noaa.gov/czm/mystate/

Illinois/Indiana Sea Grant (IISG)
http://www.iisgcp.org/index.php

Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore
https://www.nps.gov/indu/index.htm

Industrial Heritage Archives of the Calumet Region (IHACCR)
http://www.pullman-museum.org/ihaccr/

Knowledge Hook-Up
https://www.facebook.com/Knowledge-Hook-Up-137593262973757/

Legacy Foundation
http://www.legacyfdn.org

Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
https://www.mwrd.org/irj/portal/anonymous/Home

Millennium Reserve
http://www.millenniumreserve.org

National A. Philip Randolph Pullman Porter Museum
https://www.aprpullmanportermuseum.org/

National Parks Conservation Association
https://www.npca.org/regions/midwest#sm.00001k0s0qy3nady4wi6ye9q75bmd

Nature Conservancy (The)
http://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/unitedstates/index.htm

Nature Conservancy of Illinois (The)
http://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/unitedstates/illinois/index.htm
Nature Conservancy of Indiana (The)
http://www.nature.org/ourinitiatives/regions/northamerica/unitedstates/indiana/index.htm

Northwest Indiana Forum
http://www.nwiforum.org

Northwest Indiana Paddling Association
http://www.nwipa.org/index.html

Northwest Indiana Restoration Monitoring Inventory (NIRMI)
http://www.nirmi.org

Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC)
http://www.nirpc.org

One Region
http://www.oneregionnwi.org

Openlands
https://openlands.org

Porter County Community Foundation
https://www.portercountyfoundation.org

Porter County Museum
http://pocomuse.org/

Pullman Civic Organization
http://pullmancivic.org

Pullman National Monument
https://www.nps.gov/pull/index.htm

Pullman State Historic Site
http://www.pullman-museum.org

Rowing Group (The)
http://rowinggroup.com

Save the Dunes
https://savedunes.org

Shifting Sands: On the Path to Sustainability (documentary)
http://www.shiftingsandsmovie.com

Shirley Heinze Land Trust
http://www.heinzetrust.org

South Chicago Chamber of Commerce
http://www.southchicagochamber.org

South Shore Arts
http://www.southshoreartsonline.org

South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association (SSMMA)
http://ssmma.org
Southeast Chicago Historical Society
https://www.facebook.com/groups/120664941289363/

Southeast Environmental Task Force (SETF)
http://setaskforce.org

Spotlighting Southeast Chicago
http://spotlightingsoutheastchicago.com/index.html

Taltree Arboretum and Gardens
http://www.taltree.org

Village of Riverdale Tree Commission
http://www.villageofriverdale.net/231/Tree-Commission

United States Forest Service
https://www.fs.fed.us/

United Urban Network Inc.
http://unitedurbannetwork.blogspot.com

Wild Ones Chapter 38
http://www.gw-wildones.org/home.html

Wildlife Habitat Council
http://www.wildlifehc.org
The community engagement process followed in the heritage initiative, the structure and analysis of the alternatives, and the information included in this study is sufficient to address the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at the appropriate time.

No National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) pathway was selected for the current study for two reasons. First, guidance regarding NEPA for emerging and existing National Heritage Areas is currently under revision, and there is no uniform direction for application of NEPA to National Heritage Area feasibility studies at this time. Second, based on precedent, the current study aligns with one of the categories that could qualify as a Categorical Exclusion under NPS Director’s Order 12—“Legislative proposal of an administrative or technical nature, for example...proposals that would have primarily economic, social, individual or institutional effects.” (DO-12 Handbook, NPS 2005). If and when a NEPA pathway becomes necessary for this planning phase, a Categorical Exclusion would be sought.

If Congress creates the Calumet National Heritage Area, then a comprehensive management plan would be developed for the region. Depending on the types of projects, programs, and other actions proposed in that plan—and later in the implementation of that plan—additional consideration of the NEPA process will be required. If a National Heritage Area is established, it will comply with all applicable federal laws.

The Feasibility Study in its entirety describes the region’s social and natural environment (see Appendix C). Now that a proposed boundary for the Calumet National Heritage Area has been established, it is possible to gather some key information at that scale.

The following table indicates the population boundary as of the 2010 U.S. Census.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Pop Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White alone, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>46.82</td>
<td>777,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American alone, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>744,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian and Alaska Native alone, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>13,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>86,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>33,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1,660,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino, percent, April 1, 2010</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>217,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The region’s protected land encompasses 61,000 acres.
## Number of Threatened and Endangered Species by County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th><strong>FEDERAL</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>STATE</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Watch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook Co., IL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Co., IN</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaPorte Co., IN</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter Co., IN</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>18</strong></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>276</strong></td>
<td><strong>347</strong></td>
<td><strong>51</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources; Indiana Department of Natural Resources; U.S. Fish & Wildlife
# Federal List of Threatened and Endangered Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>SPECIES NAME</th>
<th>COMMON NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Charadrius melodus</td>
<td>Piping Plover</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN LaPorte Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calidris canutus rufa</td>
<td>Red knot</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering Plants</td>
<td>Asclepias meadii</td>
<td>Mead's milkweed</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dalea foliosa</td>
<td>Leafy prairie-clover</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lespedeza leptostachya</td>
<td>Prairie bush-clover</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platanthera leucophaea</td>
<td>Eastern prairie fringed orchid</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cirsium pitcheri</td>
<td>Pitcher's thistle</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Platanthera leucophaea</td>
<td>Prairie White-fringed Orchid</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN LaPorte Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Somatochlora hinea</td>
<td>Hine's emerald dragonfly</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Papaipema eryngii</td>
<td>Rattlesnake-master borer moth</td>
<td>Candidate</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bombus affinis</td>
<td>Rusty patched bumble bee</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lycaedes melissa samuelis</td>
<td>Karner blue butterfly</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicrophorus americanus</td>
<td>American Burying Beetle</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neonympha mitchellii mitchellii</td>
<td>Mitchell's satyr butterfly</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>LaPorte Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammals</td>
<td>Myotis septentrionalis</td>
<td>Northern long-eared bat</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN LaPorte Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myotis sodalis</td>
<td>Indiana bat</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN LaPorte Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollusks</td>
<td>Plethobasus cyphyus</td>
<td>Sheepnose</td>
<td>Endangered</td>
<td>Lake Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptiles</td>
<td>Sistrurus catenatus</td>
<td>Eastern massasauga (rattlesnake)</td>
<td>Threatened</td>
<td>Cook Co., IL Lake Co., IN LaPorte Co., IN Porter Co., IN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calumet Summit Report (2013)

At the 2013 Calumet Summit: Connecting for Action, attendees named the creation of the Calumet National Heritage Area as their top “big idea” for the region. The Calumet Summit Report serves as a comprehensive outline of the Summit, and includes the meeting’s agenda, basic demographic information about those who were in attendance, an overview of attendees’ reactions to each presentation, and collectively-determined goals and priorities for the future of the Calumet region.


The 2015 Calumet Summit: Advancing Our Shared Agenda built on previous Summits, with participants identifying key themes, projects, and sites for future action. The Report describes the Summit’s four broad focus areas: environment, recreation, stewardship, and regional identity. The report includes a brief history of previous Summits, accomplishments in the Calumet region since 2013, an outline of goals and recommendations from experts and participants of the Summit, and summaries of the dialogue that took place. The Summit was a key resource for the Feasibility Study effort, as it worked to identify key regional themes and resources for a National Heritage Area.

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP) GO TO 2040 Plan (2010; Updated in 2014)
http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/about/2040

The Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP), the official regional planning organization for Northeastern Illinois, has developed the GO TO 2040 plan to address anticipated population growth in the Chicago metropolitan area and to plan for sustainable prosperity through the year 2040 and beyond. The plan details strategies that will help the region’s 284 communities address transportation, housing, economic development, the environment, and other quality-of-life issues. These strategies are centered around four themes—Livable Communities, Human Capital, Efficient Governance, and Regional Mobility—and include plans to conserve water and energy, improve education and workforce development, reform state and local tax policy, and develop a more efficient public transit system. The Green Infrastructure Vision developed by Chicago Wilderness has been incorporated into the plan.

Chicago Wilderness Biodiversity Recovery Plan (1999)

The twenty-year old Chicago Wilderness coalition includes more than 200 partners who work to protect, restore, maintain, and celebrate various aspects of the region’s natural inheritance, including crucial natural areas in the Chicago region. The Chicago Wilderness Biodiversity Recovery Plan outlines the steps necessary to protect and restore the natural communities in order to help preserve global biodiversity and enrich the quality of life for the citizens of the Chicago region. The plan is intended to provide a general direction for the future of Chicago’s wilderness, and to illustrate the types of actions that can be taken to conserve wildlife. It is not a set of mandates—instead, it should be thought of as a blueprint for action, a reference source for ideas, and a complement to the many other planning efforts that are currently guiding the region towards a better and more sustainable future.

In 2011, the National Parks Conservation Association, in partnership with The Field Museum and Indiana University’s Eppley Institute for Parks and Public Lands, presented a collection of suggested strategies to improve the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore. The ideas outlined in the report are centered around six core goals: garnering financial and community support, protecting the park’s natural resources through effective management, improving the park’s accessibility and navigability, promoting scientific research in the region, ensuring that the park has advocates in state and federal government, and fostering a deeper emotional connection between community members and the land. The report specifically suggests the creation of a Calumet National Heritage Area as a means to connect the region’s fragmented natural, historical, and cultural resources and to integrate the park further into the life of the region.

Greenways + Blueways 2020 NW Indiana Plan (2020)

The Greenways + Blueways 2020 Plan is an update and extension of the 2007 Greenways + Blueways Plan and the 2010 Ped & Pedal Plan. The report, created by the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Committee, outlines strategies to create new public walking trails (greenways) and paddling routes (blueways) in Northwest Indiana. It outlines the basic principles of trail design, evaluates the feasibility of creating specific routes, details the benefits and drawbacks of each proposed path, and discusses the benefits of such public recreational resources to the quality of life of local citizens, the community, and the environment.

Marquette Plan (2005; 2008; updated in 2015)

The Marquette Plan is a collaborative effort by the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission, the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, local municipalities, and Indiana Congressman Peter Visclosky, to revitalize and redevelop Northwest Indiana’s Lake Michigan shoreline region. The first two phases of the Marquette Plan set the goal of increasing public access and redeveloping the region’s urbanized coastal areas and created a vision that identified greenways for protecting and accessing the coastline ecosystem, with possible watertrails along the lakeshore. The 2015 update integrates the vision and strategies of these two earlier phases across the entire region. The Marquette Plan 2015 continues to emphasize the importance of Lake Michigan as the greatest natural asset of our region, and the need to increase public access to its shoreline. The plan prioritizes improving the physical, social, and economic connections throughout Northwest Indiana’s lakefront communities, expanding and improving the region’s trail and transportation infrastructure, and protecting the long term health of our environment and natural resources. The 2015 plan foregrounds regional projects presented as examples of comprehensive ways stakeholders are working together across jurisdictional lines to implement the vision of the Marquette Plan. The Calumet National Heritage Area is one of these regional projects.
Millennium Reserve Report (2014)
http://www.millenniumreserve.org/Priorities/

The Millennium Reserve was established in 2011 by then Illinois Governor Pat Quinn. In 2013, he created the Millennium Reserve Steering Committee, a council of both public and private sector partners, and charged its members with the task of identifying specific projects of significance to the Millennium Reserve region and recommending policy initiatives to be pursued by the State of Illinois. In 2014, the Committee released a report listing 14 such “opportunities for action” — which included strong support for the Calumet National Heritage Area Feasibility Study — with the ultimate goals of stimulating vigorous and sustainable economic growth, restoring and enhancing natural ecosystems, supporting healthy and prosperous communities and residents, and honoring the region’s cultural and industrial past. In 2016, Illinois Governor Bruce Rauner issued a new executive order that encouraged partnerships with Indiana and laid the groundwork for the development of a bi-state collaboration.

Northwest Indiana Profile: 2012 Quality of Life Indicators Report (2012)

In 2012, the non-profit organization One Region released the Northwest Indiana Quality of Life Indicators report to provide an objective assessment of conditions in ten categories considered to be leading indicators of the quality of life in Northwest Indiana, to identify and evaluate trends in each of these categories during the period from 2000 to 2010, and to stimulate dialogue and actions that address opportunities to enhance the quality of life. The report is structured around data collected throughout the Northwest Indiana region pertaining to the area’s people, economy, environment, transportation, education, health, public safety, housing, culture, and government. It compares this data with that of the past and highlights trends in each of the ten categories. In 2012, One Region reported that compared to past years, transportation and health declined, culture improved, and all other indicators remained steady.

Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan (2011)

With the 2040 Comprehensive Regional Plan, the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC) has laid out an all-inclusive vision for the revitalization of Indiana’s Lake, Porter, and La Porte counties with four goals in mind: supporting urban reinvestment, ensuring environmental justice, protecting natural resources and minimizing impacts to environmental features, and integrating transportation and land use to improve mobility and job accessibility. It includes both a long-range regional transportation proposal and a comprehensive strategy for environmental conservation, sustainable economic growth, and land use. The plan focuses specifically on rejuvenating the region’s “core cities” along the shore of Lake Michigan, and promotes a vibrant, revitalized, accessible, and united Northwest Indiana community.

Positioning Pullman (2016)
http://www.positioningpullman.org/assets/PositioningPullmanIdeasBook.pdf

Two months after President Barack Obama signed the declaration creating the Pullman National Monument in February 2015, AIA Chicago and the National Parks Conservation Association conducted a three day community design workshop in Pullman, in order to start visioning what the designation would mean for the site and for the region. The “ideas book” that resulted from the workshop focuses on Park Experience, Historic Preservation and Adaptive Reuse, Access Connections, and Community Development.
Calumet Heritage Partnership & Calumet Collaborative  
Memorandum of Understanding on Becoming Joint Coordinating Entities  
for the Calumet National Heritage Area

This is a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Calumet Heritage Partnership (CHP) and the Calumet Collaborative. These two organizations enter into this agreement with the immediate goal of collaborating to form a Calumet Heritage Area (CHA), and the long term goal of becoming joint coordinating entities for a proposed Calumet National Heritage Area (CNHA).

Background:

Both organizations are bi-state non-profits, supporting the creation of a CNHA. CHP is coordinating a feasibility study to create the CNHA. A central requirement for the feasibility study is the need to identify one or more coordinating entities for the heritage area. CHP has a long history of successful advocacy for heritage in the Calumet region but as an all-volunteer organization currently faces capacity constraints that prevent it from assuming the role of sole coordinating entity. The Calumet Collaborative was created with the intent to create capacity to conduct regional-scale projects. CHP and the Calumet Collaborative offer complementary strengths as potential joint coordinating entities for a heritage area.

Regardless of when or whether the proposal to create a national heritage area succeeds, the organizations intend to pursue the creation of the CHA. The purpose of this MOU is to provide a framework governing collaboration among the two organizations in designing mechanisms to become joint coordinating entities for the CHA and interim coordinating entities for the CNHA.

MOU Goals:

CHP and the Calumet Collaborative, through a project team consisting of CHP’s President and Vice-Presidents and the Calumet Collaborative’s Board Chair and Program Manager, seek to reach a proposed formal relationship between the two organizations in principle by September 29, 2016, so that:

- CHP may incorporate this MOU into the Feasibility Study by October 31.
- The Calumet Collaborative may integrate within its emerging governing structure during Fall 2016 the principles listed below.
- CHP and the Calumet Collaborative can declare the formation of a Calumet Heritage Area at the annual Calumet Heritage Conference on October 29th, 2016 as coordinating partners.

Principles:

As much as possible, the following principles representing the roles and responsibilities of each organization are to be incorporated into the final feasibility study document.

General Roles and Division of Responsibilities:

Generally, CHP will serve as the “public face” of the heritage area. It will draw upon its existing intellectual capital, regional expertise, and volunteer resources of its members to plan, coordinate, and publicize the day-to-day activities of the heritage area and will work to achieve the long term priorities and goals outlined in the feasibility study. Generally, the Calumet Collaborative will support “back office” operations of the heritage area drawing upon the management expertise of its members. It will assume fiduciary responsibility for the heritage area. It will also design a financial sustainability model, secure financial capital for operations, and manage financial and human resources for the heritage area.
CHP’s Roles and Responsibilities:
- Provide oversight of CNHA program, ensuring alignment with statements of themes, national significance, resources, and geographic scope as defined in the feasibility study.
- Convene an annual conference that brokers bi-state conversations wherever it is productive.
- Assume the functions and coordinating role for the Calumet Stewardship Initiative collective.
- Collaborate with the Calumet Collaborative to develop project ideas for regional redevelopment in concert with CHA/CNHA mission and themes.
- Collaborate with regional partners to bring projects to fruition with roles and responsibilities to be clearly determined as projects evolve.

Calumet Collaborative’s Roles and Responsibilities:
- As an organization that represents regional agency, foundation, and non-profit leaders, design a financial sustainability model for the CNHA.
- Provide staff to carry out the program outline for the CNHA.
- Lead fundraising efforts and coordinate financial affairs and human resources on behalf of CNHA.
- Design a governance structure such that CHP is integrated into the governance of the Calumet Collaborative.
- Lead the development of regional-scale projects and incorporate heritage themes and methods in collaboration with CHP.
- Collaborate with CHP to develop a CNHA management plan.

Duration and Review of Agreement:

The terms of the MOU are effective as of the date of mutual signing and will continue for one year. The MOU will be reviewed by both parties no later than one year after signing and may be extended by mutual written agreement of both parties. Upon mutual consent of the parties the agreement may be modified during the term of the agreement.
The Field Museum was established in 1893 at the end of the World’s Columbian Exhibition. Its first home was in Jackson Park in the building previously occupied by the Fair’s Palace of Fine Arts. Jackson Park is located on the northern edge of the then-emerging industrial Calumet region.

Since that time, the Museum has grown to become one of the world’s leading collections-based natural history museums. The Field’s collections include objects and specimens from four primary scientific disciplines: Anthropology, Botany, Geology, and Zoology. Field Museum curators and scientists have ranged the globe to find specimens and objects that tell the story of life on earth. They have also focused attention on how that story unfolded closer to home.

The Calumet region has been of interest to Museum scientists for over 100 years. In Calumet, scientists have collected birds, fishes, insects, amphibians, plants, and prehistoric objects that aid in determining the region’s human and biological inheritance and resources. Significant scientific work continues today, through close observation of flora and fauna as well as some targeted collecting of new specimens and objects, including a Contemporary Urban Collections Initiative, which documents twenty-first century urban social and cultural life.
CALUMET BOTANICAL HERITAGE

Robb Telfer, Keller Science Action Center, The Field Museum, Chicago IL


One of the Calumet Region’s most unique qualities is its high biodiversity, which perseveres despite being one of the most heavily industrialized and populated places on Earth. Calumet is the meeting place of three major North American biomes: the boreal forests from the North, the tallgrass prairie from the West, and the broadleaf forests from the East. The sandy soils here are ancient dunes deposited by the movement of Lakes Chicago and Algonquin (precursors of Lake Michigan) over thousands of years. There are many rare plants in the Calumet, which contribute to the diversity that this guide celebrates as the region’s one-of-a-kind botanical heritage.

RARE CALUMET SPECIES

FT  Meal’s Milkweed
Asclepias moulis

SE  Little Grapefern
Botrychium simplex

ST/SE  Blue Hearts
Bucknea americana

SE  Little Prickly Sedge
Carex echinata

FT  Pitcher’s Thistle
Corizum pitcheri

SE  Bunchberry
Cornus canadensis

ST/SR  Spoon-Leaved Sundew
Drosera intermedia

ST/SE  Jack Pine
Pinus banksiana

SE  Orange Fingered Orchid
Platanthera ciliaris

SE  Nodding Trillium
Trillium cernuum

OTHER IMPORTANT CALUMET SPECIES

Eastern Prickly Pear
Opuntia humifusa
Calumet’s only cactus

Black Oak
Quercus velutina
Keystone species

Showy Goldenrod
Solidago speciosa
Important late season nectar sources

Sky Blue Aster
Symphyotrichum oslentangiense

Banded Trinity
Thermis americana
Calumet’s only endemic

FT – Federally threatened
SE – State endangered in IL and/or IN
ST/SE – State threatened in IL and State endangered in IN
ST/SR – State threatened in IL and State rare in IN